ED 233 089	UD 022 818
AUTHOR TITLE	Inbar, Dan; Resh, Nura Learning of the Disadvantaged and School Climate. Publication No. 89.
INSTITUTION	Hebrew Univ. of Jerusalem (Israel). School of Education.; National Council of Jewish Women, New York, N.Y. Research Inst. for Innovation in Education.
SPONS AGENCY PUB DATE GRANT NOTE	Ford Foundation, New York, N.Y. Mar 83 805-0326 158p.
PUB TYPE	Reports - Research/Technical (143)
EDRS PRICE DESCRIPTORS	MF01/PC07 Plus Postage. *Academic Achievement; Academic Aspiration; *Classroom Environment; *Desegregation Effects; *Disadvantaged; Educational Environment; Ethnic Groups; Foreign Countries; Goal Orientation; Junion High Schools; Locus of Control; Outcomes of Education; *Racial Composition; *Student Attitudes; Teacher Attitudes: Teacher Influence
IDENTIFIERS	*Israel

ABSTRACT

In Israel, efforts to narrow the cognitive and social gap between pupils have been directed toward integration through structural changes in the educational system, along with expected changes in the educational process. This study analyzes the relationships between the school climate and the different educational outcomes for students in various class situations. The study is based on analysis of data from a Junior High School study which was aimed at evaluating the reform in the Israeli educational system, and the conclusions of a case study of five integrated junior high schools in Israel. The ethnic composition of the classes studied varied due to the specific demographic situation in each area, to the location, and to individual school policy. It was hypothesized that school climate may have a differential effect for different types of classes, according to their composition and grade. On a school level analysis, two distinctive climates were revealed: an achievement-conservative one and an integrative-open one. The type of climate was related to various student variables, such as achievement, aspirations, locus of control, self image, and anxiety. Results showed that classes are differentially sensitive to the effects of school climate, according to their ethnic composition. Also, in analyzing "extreme school climate situations," the potential impact of school climate was found to be quite consistent. It is suggested that school climate is a dynamic phenomenon, changing through an interactive process, having different effects on different classes and with different situations. (Author/AOS)



LEARNING OF THE DISADVANTAGED AND SCHOOL CLIMATE

NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC) This document has been reproduced as

- This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction guality.
- Publication No. 89

Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official NIE position or policy.

March 1983

DAN INBAR

NURA RESH

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
"PERMISSION TO RI MATERIAL HAS BEE	EPRODUCE THIS EN GRANTED BY	
Dan E. I. HEBREN U	MBAR Inversity	Ϋ́
	IAL RESOURCES	
	TER (ERIC).	
	(\$\$ i \$ -	

The National Council of Jewish Women RESEARCH INSTITUTE FOR INNOVATION IN EDUCATION THE HEBREW UNIVERSITY OF JERUSALEM • SCHOOL OF EDUCATION



818

22Q

. 2

האוניבריסיטה העברית בירושלים • בית הספר לחינוך THE HEBREW UNIVERSITY OF JERUSALEM • SCHOOL OF EDUCATION

המכון לחקר הטיפוח בחינוך

THE NCJW RESEARCH INSTITUTE FOR INNOVATION IN EDUCATION

The NCJW Research Institute for Innovation in Education was established in 1968 by The National Council of Jewish Women, U.S.A. at the School of Education of the Hebrew University of Jerusalem.

The Institute was established with the goal of undertaking research and carrying out new experimental programs in the area of the educational nurturing of the weaker segments of Israel's population. Through a wide range of research and applied activities, the Institute aims to confront the special educational problems and needs of children and youth from these strata in the population so as to promote their educational and social advancement. It attempts to give them the opportunity to develop their potential to attain social mobility and to participate on equal terms in Israeli society.

The Institute operates within the School of Education and is administered by a Board of Directors, an Academic Board and an Executive Committee. Since its establishment, research has been conducted in the following areas: Early Childhood Education - Education in the Family and the Community -School Integration - Informal Education - Career Education and its Evaluation -Recovery and Second Chance Institutions - Cross-Cultural Research -Experimentation and Intervention in the School and its Evaluation.

Research findings are published as research reports. A formal report on the Institute's activities is published periodically and updates of the Institute's work appear also in Newsletters.

As a result of the knowledge obtained through the various research activities, the Institute is involved in the implementation of a number of educational programs, in cooperation with government offices and other institutions. These include: HIPPY (Home Instruction Program for Preschool Youngsters) -HATAF (Home Activities for Toddlers and their Families) -MANOF (A Residential Youth Center for Rehabilitation of Disattached Youth) the Hebrew University Apprenticeship Program.

Established by the National Council of Jewish Women, U.S.A.

Director: Professor Chaim Adler Tel. 02-88201. Jerusalem 91905 Assistant Director: Mrs. Lorraine Gastwirt Tel: 02-882016 ISRAEL



The research reported here was funded by grants from the Ford Foundation (Grant No. 805-0326) and the NCJW Research Institute for Innovation in Education.



4

.

TABLE OF CONTENTS ______

ABSTRACT 1 Introduction Theoretical Discussion: Review, Analysis Part I: 4 Development of New Perspectives 4 Chapter 1. Overview and Analysis 4 1. The Concept of School Climate 4 2. Definitions 6 3. Overview 9 4. Approaches 14 Chapter 2. New Perspectives 14 Conceptual Framework 1. 14 2. Perceptions of Climate 3. Time and Stability: "Stability Coefficient"? 17 18 4. "Life Cycle"? 18 5. Methodological Remarks Suggestions for a New Look at School 6. 19 Climate Types 21 7. Proposed "Stability Coefficients" 24 8. Significant Time 25 9. Research Implications 26 Part II. Empirical Analysis 26 Chapter 1. Foreward 26 1. Organization 26 2. Research Limitations



5

1. f

Page

	3.	The Israeli Educational Reform	27
	4.	Sample	27
	5.	Variables	28
Chapte r	2.	School Level Analysis	29
	1.	Teachers' Variables	29
	2.	Students' Va r iables	32
	3.	Procedure	32
	4.	Results of SSA Analysis	33
	5.	Further Procedures	36
	6.	Concluding Remarks	38
Chapter	3.	Class Level Analysis	40
	1.	Purpose	40
	2.	The Variables	41
	3.	Class Achievement Distribution Between Schools	, 44
	4.	Analysis of Variance: Teachers, Students, Class Composition and Grades	46
	5.	Intercorrelation of Teachers' Variables According to School Composition	48
	6.	Class Educational Outcomes and School Climate – SSA	50
	7.	Schcol Climate and Educational Outcomes of Classes	55
	8.	Achievement and Climate	57

Page



9.	Student Attitudes and Climate	59
10.	Differential Effect of Climate on Classes' Attitudes in Various Levels of School Selectivity	75
11.	Extreme School Climate: Assumptions and Methodology	83
12.	Class Compusition and Relative Class Position	94
13.	Summary and Conclusions	102
Appendix 1:	The Variable List	109
Bibliography		111



Page

Abstract

In Israel, efforts to narrow the cognitive and social gap between pupils have been directed towards integration through structural changes in the educational system, along with expected changes in the educational process. Since school climate is one indicator of the educational process, it is particularly important in the context of the integrated school. Hence, the main purpose of this study is to analyze the relationships between the "school climate" and the different educational outcomes for students in various class compositions, i.e., various integrational situations.

The findings reported are based on a secondary analysis of data from the Junior High School Study, which was aimed at evaluating the reform in the Israeli educational system (Chen, Lewy and Adler, 1978). It also draws on the conclusions of a case study of five integrated junior high schools (Resh, Adler and Inbar, 1980).

Although the analysis was initially carried out on the individual level, this analysis takes schools and classes as a unit of analysis. The ethnic composition of the classes themselves varies, due both to the specific demographic situation in each area, location, and to individual school policy. Our main hypothesis was that school climate may have a differential effect for different types of classes according to their composition and grade.

On the school level analysis an SSA based on teachers' variables revealed the development of two distinctive climates: an achievementconservative one and an integrative-open one. The type of climate was related to various students' variables, such as achievement, locus of pontrol, aspirations and anxiety. Similarly, results can be observed on class level SSA.

Analysis of variance reveals a differential association between students' variables, class composition and class grade. Regression analyses were carried out on classes' attitudinal variables: aspirations, plans for high school, locus of control, self-image and anxiety.



١.

Here findings suggest that classes are differently sensitive to the effects of school climate, according to their ethnic composition. Furthermore, in analyzing "extreme school climate situations" the potential impact of school climate was found to be quite consistent.

The study reveals enough evidence to show the importance of school climate for educational outcomes of students. But the important point is that the school climate has a <u>different</u> effect according to the different situations as elaborated in the study. This might explain the general, vague outcomes of climate effects when dealt with without such differentiation. Hence, research on school climate must be based on the assumption that climate is a dynamic phenomenon, changing through an interactive process, has different effects on different classes and has different effects in different situations.



Introduction

Although learning is a function of individual effort in the school system, it cannot be treated as a separate phenomenon detached from the atmosphere of the whole school. This holds particularly true for the disadvantaged. Here, the teacher's attitude, peer group behavic and school policy in general may turn out to be vitally important comments in the learning process. Although school climate is fundamental to educational research, it is highly perplexing. A survey of the literature clearly reveals that no common conceptual framework or classification of school climate has yet emerged. Each researcher has developed his own concept and definition of the phenomenon. Yet essentially, they refer to the same thing: the interrelationships between the individual and the sets of attributes of the environmental to in which he functions.

- 1 -

In Israel, efforts towards narrowing the cognitive and social gap between pupils have been directed towards integration through structural changes in the educational system, as well as through changes in the educational process. School climate, as an indicator in the educational process, is particularly important in the context of the integrated school. Hence, the main purpose of this study is to analyze the relationships between the "school climate" and the different educational outcomes for students in various class compositions, i.e. various integrational situations.

In doing so, we may also enrich our understanding of the complex phenomenon of social climate and its possible differential influence on educational outcomes in various class contexts. Even though the study is based on data from the Israeli educational system, the findings may have more general implications.

The findings reported are based on a secondary analysis of data from the Junior High School Study, which was aimed at evaluating the reform in the Israeli educational system (Chen, Lewy and Adler, 1978).



it also draws on the conclusions of a case study of five integrated junior high schools (Resh, Adler and Inbar, 1980). Originally, it was planned to also reanalyze another data base of a study in the Israeli elementary school (Minkovitz, Davis and Bashi, 1977). Complex technical problems with the data undermined this intention and we reanalyzed only the reform study data.

- 2 -

Secondary analyses typically involve "the reanalysis of data for the purpose of answering the original research questions with better statistical techniques, or answering new questions with old deta" (Glass, 1976, p.3). The analysis summarized below refers marchy to the second part of Glass' definition. Hence, this study is not a reanalysis of the major questions of the first study, or a reexamination of a previously arrived at conclusion. Rather, this study can be seen as the inductive process which evolved new hypotheses for future studies.

As a secondary analysis, the study is limited by the boundaries of the existing data and their accessibility. It turns out that reanalysis of a composite and complicated concept such as school climate creates severe problems when the whole study design and its variables were not built in the first phase for this purpose.

Although we had a large number of students and teachers in the original sample, the number of schools was small (N=19), which was a major limitation. The statistical base for the analysis was much improved when based on classes (N=105).

The findings in this study suggest new possible avenues of investigation and hypotheses which seem worthwhile following about the relationships between school climate and educational outcomes for classes.

One could not expect to find strong and unequivocal effects of timate on any educational outcome: the decisive impact of personal remainees relative to school resources on students' outcomes and the complexity of school climate indices make this unachievable. Yet, the



emergence of consistent patterns of interrelationships might shed light on the learning process, on the one hand, and encourage further studies in this direction, on the other.

- 3 -

The main purpose of the study was to analyze patterns of relationships between school climate, as defined by school policy and teachers' attributes and attitudes, and educational outcomes for classes. Guided by the notion that these interrelationships may be differential for various types of classes, we ran the analyses separately for the three grade levels (7th, 8th and 9th) and in three types of class compositions.

The report may seem at times to be quite technical and detailed, even redundant. However, since we are suggesting new ways of conceptualization and analysis, we preferred not to limit ourselves just to the bottom lines, but rather to present all the stages of our deliberations.

The report is based on several stages. First is an analysis of the climate concept and a theoretical discussion of several newly suggested climate characteristics which should be considered in future studies. In the second stage, analysis on the school level reveals the creation of two distinctive climates in the junior high schools. The third stage includes a whole series of analyses on the class level investigating the associations between school climate variables and classes' achievement and motivational variables. As mentioned above, this was done separately on three class levels and three types of class composition. In the fourth stage we developed a new avenue of analysis. Here, the associations between climate and students' variables were analyzed in four categories of extreme school climates. In the fifth stage the analysis concentrated on the possible impact of the relative class position in the school on students' attitudes.

is conclusion, a summary of the major outcomes and a discussion of their main implications is presented.



Part I

Theoretical Discussion: Review, Analysis and Development of New Perspectives

Chapter 1: Overview and Analysis*

1) The Concept of School Climate

An analysis of school climate is an attempt to configurate variables into a tangible concept. The importance of analyzing the climate phenomenon is widely recognised (Boocock 1966, Shaycroft 1967, Dyer 1968, McDill, Rigsby and Meyers 1969, Johnson 1970, Walberg 1966).

Although climate is a fundamental concept of social science, it is a highly perplexing one, and a survey of the literature clearly reveals that no common conceptual framework has yet emerged. Each researcher has developed his own definition and variables' specification, although the underlying conceptual framework referred to is the same: the relationships between the individual and the sets of attributes of the environmental unit in which he functions.

2, Definitions

An overview of the literature clearly reveals the ambiguous definition of the climate phenomena.

<u>Brookover</u> (1978, p.302): "The school social climate encompasses a composite of variables as defined and perceived by the members of this group. These factors may be broadly conceived as the norms of the social system and expectations held for various members as perceived by the mem-



[•] We are grateful to Mrs. Hana Dvir for her help in completing this chapter.

- 5 -

bers of the group and communicated to members of the group."

<u>Johnson</u> (1970, p.231): "Each school has its own climate, which in turn is made up of a whole spectrum of more or less recognizable subcultures affecting student behavior and performance. The climate of an organization is a combination of all the organizational factors and of all the personality characteristics of the members of the organization."

Boyle (1965, p.232) conceptualized climates as "consisting of both structural characteristics of the school and the characteristics of the students."

Backman (1968, p.232): "... three factors define the climate:

a) The personality characteristics, abilities, motives, values, career and educational plans and past experiences of the entering students.

b) The norms, values, role requirements and other characteristics of the school itself.

c) The values and norms of the informal organization within the school, that is the traditions and collective feelings passed from one generation of students to another."

Walberg (1966, p.240) conceptualized classroom climate as consisting of both structural and affective dimensions. The structural dimension refers to the role expectations for the student of the teachers and other school personnel. The affective dimension pertains to the idiosyncratic personal dispositions of the students to act in given ways to satisfy their individual personality needs...".

The common denominator of the various definitions is the perception of climate as a <u>cluster of variables</u>, without determining exactly which variables, or what interrelationships are among them. This weakness implies that almost any variable related to school may pertain to climate.



3] Overview

Studies of organizational climates can be found as far back as the 1940s, although most of them treated the idea indirectly. The a the research on school climate which emerged since the early 60s has enriched significantly the knowledge and understanding of school climate. Still, it seems as though we have reached a point where a breakthrough, conceptual as well as empirical, is greatly needed.

The following (Table 1) is an attempt to summarize most of the school climate studies. This classification is based on an <u>input</u> orientation, in which the studies are classified according to the three main groups of input variables: student characteristics, school-staff characteristics, and the school milieu. Essentially it is a chronological list, but studies are grouped together when they use similar variables and the main emphasis of the climate variables is described. The table clearly reveals the wide-spread use of different variables and concepts, and the continuous effort to identify climate characteristics.

Students' c	haracteristics	
f.mphasis	Researcher	Year
Scholastic orientation or scholastic ability	Coleman Boyle	1961 1965
Majority influence, norms and values	Astin and Holland Coleman	1961 1965
^r eer groups and normative groups	McDill	1973
S.E.S. (socio-economic status)	McDill St. John Alexander	1968 1975 1979

15

Table 1: Classification of School Climate Studies*

After completing this table, a similar analysis was published (Anderson 1982).



- 6 -

Emphasis	Researcher	Year
Tradition and collective feelings	Secord	1 964
Degree of group cohesiveness	Schmuck	1966
Individual emotional factors	Johnson	1970
Inglaidual choice	Heist	1961
Fealing of helplessness, and fate control	Battle	1963
Pacial composition	Brookover Chen, Lewy and Adler	1978 1978
Behavioral categories like: friction, difficulty, satisfaction, cohesiveness, compliance, attention, volunteering, play, and non-attendance	Sheehan Hoge	1978 1979
Factors based on attitudes towards other peer groups, toward teachers, school; relation to friends, to educational aims; anxiety, aspiration, and self-image.	Chen, Lewy and Adler	1978
Staff characterist	ics	
Professional background, teachers' motivation and performance	Waller	1932
Teachers/parents relationships: interrelationships of the staff and the teachers' point of view and atti- tude toward children, teachers' psycho-physic environment	Sharp and Green	1975
Teachers' conformity as a reward system	Weiyne	1957
Teachers' attitudes toward work and image of principal's behavior	Halpin	1963
Estimation of teachers' ability and professional background	Coleman	1966
Teachers' personal characteristics	Carson	1978
Teachers' rules toward children's	Walberg and Anderson	1968
Terchers' organizational task defined by authoritative and democratic behavior	Bossert	1977



.

16

,

.

Emphasis	Researcher	Year
lasriers' and principals' perseption of needs	Minkovitz et al.	1977
Wentor based on the relation fetreen students' and teachers' per aptions	Sheehan	1978
Statt relationships, role image, tweaters' satisfaction, optimism, perception of educational approaches	Chen, Lewy and Adler	1978
Relating structural model of school takeher variables to student learn- ing autoomes	Centran and Potter	1980

<u>Educational Milieu</u>

Ecclogical and psychological environment

Size of school, city, metropolitan district, big or small communities. School location.	Street Boyle Miles Swan Chen, Lewy and Adler	1962 1965 1970 1974 1978
The public image of the school	Astin Webster et al.	1961 1962
Relation between school size and fifferent kinds of leadership and extra-curricular activities	Barker	1964
Level of equipment and sources, Learning facilities and equipment, financial dependence	Minkovitz et al. Coleman	1977 1966
Sultural Hero"	Кпарр	1953
-sized intellectual criterion	Davis	19E3
Spacel pressure for learning and achievement	Thistlethwaite	1966
Institutional compliance system	Boyle	1965
The school spirit	Mitchel	1968
Extaclia degree of selectivity, methods of learning	Chen, Lewy and Adler	1978
Correstructure and class	Chen, Lewy and Adler Weinstein	1978 1979
Pittovi Saga	Boldridge	1975



17

.

4) Approaches

Structure and phenomenology are two basic, distinct research # approaches when studying human behavior. The first relates to the objective aspects of the phenomena of behavior; the latter to its subjective meanings. Relating these approaches to the study of school climate, the structural approach tries to identify and analyze school variables as objective entities. In that case, structural variables such as school size, class size, school/class composition, teachers' education or years of experience will be independent variables whose relationships with, or effects on any educational outcomes will be a alyzed. Undoubtedly, many of the structural, so-called objective variables are, indeed, functions of school policy, which in turn represent principal or staff values, attitudes, and approaches.

In the phenomenological approach, variables are not viewed as objective entities, but rather as subjective ones. The theoretical basis for such an approach is derived from the assumption that peoples' behavior is more a function of their perception about reality than of the objective situation itself. Obviously, in this case, there is no way, even theoretically, to develop a causal relationship between independent and dependent variables. The relationship between them is interactive.

The importance of the distinction between the two approaches is two-fold: theoretical and empirical. Theoretically, each of the approaches assumes, <u>a priori</u>, different relationships between the independent and the dependent variables. If, in the former approach, a uni-directional relation is assumed, in the latter the very distinction between dependent and independent variables is essentially arbitrary. Empirically, in the objective approach, an attempt will be made to gather objective data, and when that is difficult or impossible, data will be based on professional assessments. In the subjective approach, the subjective perception will be the essential data.



- 9 -

to give a small example: when the first approach is used the number of accounted conflicts will be the indicator for staff-tension, while in the second approach, teachers' perception of staff relationships will be the indicator. There is nothing "right" or "wrong" about these two approaches. Both could and should be used, and in a simultaneous information gathering the objective one may enlighten the inner validity of the subjective. Indeed, both approaches were used, although, often in a mixed manner, or even with combined indices, without enough attention being paid to their different implications. Table 2 summarizes scool climate studies according to the two approaches and within * I categories which were found to be the most common in the various studies: the physical-structural category; the category dealing with the realm of value systems and goal-orientation; the category dealing with role behavior; and the category referring to the state of feelrigs, the affective category. Obviously, these categories are not mutually exclusive, and their distinction is more for practical purposes than of theoretical importance. However, the combined classification of approaches and categories elucidates the different orientations revealed in climate studies and may help in developing the school climate concept.

- 10 -



Table 2: School Climate Research Variables According to Approach and Categories. (Numbers indicate references in the bibliography lists.)

Approactes	Structural-objective	Phenomenological-subjective
categories	variables	variables
Structure- physical	<u>Student</u> : S.E.S. (1, 41, 5 76, 130, 178)	
	Class or school compositi (40, 54, 73, 128)	.on
	Ability (40, 60, 130, 145	5)
	<u>Manpower</u> : Professional bac ground (46, 48, 53, 57, 6 134, 192, 200)	sk- 54,
	Education experience (53))
	<u>Milieu</u> : Ecological enviror (133, 204)	nment .
	Structural dependency (34	4) alienation environment (109)
	Student classification ar selection (28, 53, 128	nd aspects of environment (204)
	Class structure and desig (28, 53, 69, 102, 123, 128, 193, 204)	gn
	. School size (26, 40, 10	2, 180) perceived school building
	Religiousness (53)	
	City and community size (40, 180)	
	School district organiza tion (34, 134)	1-
	School location (53, 1?	(0)
	Equipment and facilities (64, 134)	equipment (134)



20

A te behavior

- <u>Student</u>: personal ecological environment (133) Classroom behavior (56, 148, 177)
- <u>Manpower</u>: teaching style (37) Teachers' role structure (37, 194, 202) Work habits (148)

Teaching methods (53)

Level of problems (53)

Role climate (53)

<u>Milieu</u>: cultural learning structure (180) Formal order (162)

Structure and hierarchy (129) Organizational structure (145, 150, 183)

```
normative group (133)
peer group (40, 144, 162)
reference group (60, 129)
```

role image (39, 53)

perceived teaching approaches (53, 69, 70, 184)

perceived management style
 (95, 134)

perceived problems (53)

manifest function (40)

perceived organizational structure (53)

⊢*+ective

<u>Student</u>: aggression and conflict with school (60, 132, 134, 178)

Personal characteristics and affective structure (101, 108, 172)

```
attitudes toward teachers
  (53)
attitudes toward school
  (19, 53, 60)
attitude toward friends (53)
anxiety (53)
aspiration (53, 108, 207)
conformism (142, 145, 191)
cultural hero (115)
cumulative experience (40)
expectations, group
  pressure (108)
group cohesiveness (144, 162)
locus of control (30, 53, 64)
school as social object (191)
self-image (53)
social identities (19, 53,
  79)
student choice (98)
```



	Manpower: staff relation- ships (167, 169) Milieu: subculture	alienation (30, 95, 117) optimism/pessimism (53) motivation (93, 95) perceived relationships (53) satisfaction (41, 46, 53, 90, 144, 167, 212) self determination (40) psychological environ-
	(190, 193)	ment (173, 193) school spirit (132)
Values	<u>Student</u> :	academic values (41, 60, 63) evaluation of other groups (69) subculture (59)
	<u>Manpower</u> :	attitudes toward educa- tional goals (53) goal preference and perceived school goals (53)
	<u>Milieu</u> : intellectual scale (74) School selective policy (53)	<pre>informal values (121) the image of school (20, 203) school intellectualism (19, 20, 41, 59, 60) saga (35) integration as school value (112)</pre>

- 13 -



Ż

L'apter 2: New Perspectives

1, Conceptual Framework

To organize all the different categories into one frame of reference and to move one step further in developing a conceptual framework to the study of school climate we suggest the use of an elaborated inputprocess-output model, with multiple inputs and outputs and feedback cycles (Fig. 1) when, for the purpose of this study, achievement and integration are considered as the main outputs.

2) Perceptions of Climate

Four main climate perceptions are suggested by many climate studies. In a way, these are also derived from Table 1 and Table 2. They may be referred to as: <u>control variable</u>, <u>input</u>, <u>process</u>, and <u>image</u>. As a second step we will suggest a fifth perception, the <u>interactive</u> one.

a. Control Variable

The first perception argues that climate refers essentially to all statistically yet <u>unexplained variation</u> in educational outcomes. Hence, if one could control and measure <u>every</u> relevant variable, unexplained variation would be minimized, and climate as an observable and changing phenomena would diminish.

b. Input

In the second perspective input variables are perceived as the school climate. Hence, variations in school inputs will be studied to explain, predict or control desired outputs. School size is a common example. This perception will generally tend to minimize the input variables in order to simplify the core element of climate.

However, a more elaborated variation is the input-<u>cluster</u>. Here, inputs are considered as clusters rather than discrete variables. In the even more complicated elaboration, inputs are considered a dynamic configuration where variables interact with each other. Hence, similar input variables may have different impacts depending on the whole input



23

- 14 -

Input variables

Process variables

Output variables





- 15 -

configuration. Practically, it means that input-variables should be weighed differently in different input configurations. However, the common denominator of this orientation is that input variables are "responsible" for the climate phenomena.

c. <u>Process</u>

The third perception assumes that the most important variables of climate are not the inputs themselves, but rather the way they are manipulated and approached. Climate is, consequently, a function of the <u>process</u>. Again, there are several levels of analysis, from that which tries to identify one or a few dominating process variables, such as authority, or consideration style, to an attempt to determine a cluster of process variables, and, in the more elaborated perception, to analyze and categorize a process configuration.

d. <u>Image</u>

This perspective is based solely on the subjective approach. Here, climate is a function of peoples' <u>perception</u> of the situation. Obviously, this might be influenced by input as well as process variables, but what really matters is what people perceive, think and feel. Halpin's and Croft's OCDQ (1963) is an enlightening example.

e. The System Loop: Interactive Perception

The point of departure of this perception is that in the long run input, process and output variables are interacting and affect each other. Thus, input variables may be conceived as dependent rather than independent variables. A good example is the student body composition (an input variable) which may be affected by school policy regarding the selection process. Furthermore, and maybe more interesting, outputs which are considered as dependent variables may affect the school input variables. School success or failure, school image will influence the input flow on the one hand, and the educational process, and students and personnel feelings on the other. This is a most complicated perception and no doubt creates enormous research problems.



26

- - - -

It suggests a dynamic concept of climate, which in itself is part of the <u>system loop</u>. This necessitates the following theoretical constructions.

3) Time and Stability: "Stability Coefficient"?

One of the main questions in this perception will be: what is the climate stability coefficient? If it is, indeed, a constantly changing phenomena, time becomes a significant climate variable. In an interactive phenomena such as this, to develop generalizations applicable to concrete school problems is not an easy matter. Even if climate is based only on few relevant variables, a large number of interactive effects can be considered. This means, practically, that an experiment with a great number of dimensions is needed to develop any generalizations of this situation. Still, climate might change in time, which brings us to Cronbach's (1975) conclusions about interactive studies: "Our troubles do not arise because human events are in principle unlawful; man and his creations are part of the nature world. The trouble is, as I see it, that we cannot store up generalizations and constructs for ultimate assembly into a network.... If the effect of a treatment changes over a few decades, that inconsistency is an effect.... Such interactions frustrate any would-be theorist who mixes data from several decades indiscriminately into the phenomenal picture he tries to explain." (p. 123)

Our questions about <u>stability coefficient</u> become crucial, since under such assumptions it turns out that the best that can be hoped for is to picture a contemporary climate phenomenon -- not prediction and control, but merely a temporary understanding.

If this is so, then beyond the theoretical importance in considering the practical implications, the situation seems very dim. Roughly, the climate research findings took the form of "this is type A climate" or more precisely, "the probability of this cluster of variables to create type A climate is p," and in more advanced studies findings took



G.

the notion of "the probability of this cluster of variables, as considered type A climate to effect type B outcomes are p." Hence, if one has a set of preferred outcomes (value premises), one obtains a linking channel between the "ought" and the "is". One has a clue of the "how". But if the findings represent only a temporary "is", as suggested above, the "how" diminishes as a constant variable.

4) "Life Cycle"?

Focusing again on the main theoretical as well as practical problem:, an attempt should be made to describe, analyze and understand the suggested concept of <u>stability coefficient</u>. Under what conditions is climate relatively stable? If it is not stable, does it have any changing rule? Can we delineate climates' "life cycles"? Does such a "life cycle" take a linear, wavey or circular form? And, if we return to the imput-output model, does the climate-effect coefficient change, and, if so, in what direction? Does the coefficient develop a cumulative effect? On the assumption that it does, is it mainly an accumulation in time? Or, does the effect diminish in time, on the assumption that people get used to the climate? Some of these questions will be touched on in the empirical findings; however they should always be borne in mind when considering any climate's empirical evidence. In other words, as long as stability coefficients are not developed, empirical findings about climate should be regarded very cautiously.

5) Methodological Remarks

In continuing this line, a word of warning is necessary about possible methodological pitfalls. Most climate studies are based on aggregative measures. Astin and Holland (1961) clearly state that since the major portion of environmental forces is transmitted through people, the dominant feature of an environment is dependent upon the typical raracteristics of its members; thus if enough individual characteristics were statistically controlled, any environmental effect would altimately disappear. Boocock (1966), in response, argues that the



- 18 -

aggregation of individual characteristics produce an average which will take an effect that is really on the group level. Tannenbaum and Backman conclude that aggregative data may provide a more stable and accurate estimate of true structural effects than data at the individual level (1964, p.592), and McDill, Meyer and Rigsby state that the agpreventive properties of the schools, such as medians and percentages rether than individual responses, produce more reliable measures because random response and perspective distortion are reduced (1967, p.185). To be sure, any aggregative measurement of climate should be dealt with set. great care in order to minimize the problem of spurious climate efforts.

- 19 -

There is, as Tannenbaum and Backman put it, some conceptual haziness about variables which are, somehow, characterizations of both the organization and the individual (1964). The transformation of generalizations on the individual level to generalizations on the group level, based on the aggregate measurement, is conditioned by the assumption that the <u>additional operation corresponds to some social phenome.pro-</u> thus, the concept of climate, when based on an aggregate measure, should have meaning at the group level beyond that of the individual. This measure no longer refers to any characteristic of the individual but to a characteristic of the group.

6) Suggestions for a New Look at School Climate Types

For an enlightening example, we will summarize this part of the theoretical analysis by a classification of school climate. The suggested climate profiles should be considered only as possible and speculative profiles, calling for more comprehensive research in this direction. These suggested climates are derived from five case studies which followed the Israeli Educational Reform research (Resh, Adler, Indar 1980). Although this classification is mainly theoretical, bened on insight, analysis of the various climate studies and the main educational approaches, it is possible to say that the empirical case



studies suggested enough clues to justify their presentation and to encourage research in this direction. However, they are presented more as "ideal types" than as an empirical result. The case studies these examples are based on are a follow-up of the comprehensive study of the Israeli Educational Reform which had two basic goals: encouraging social integration and improving students' scholastic achievements* (Green: Lewy and Adler, 1978). Hence, climates are analyzed with reference to the basic dilemma of emphasizing integration or achievement.

a. "Calmative"

"Calmative" climate is characterized by the continuous effort to reduce tension and to increase satisfaction. This can be seen through the principal's method of easing staff relationships, as well as teachers' orientation toward the children.

Practically, it means reductions of interpersonal conflicts, encouraging friendship, and considering satisfaction with work and school as first priority. Under these conditions social integration will be encouraged by efforts to increase friendships and good social relationships, even if at the cost of scholastic achievement.

b. "Activ<u>ist</u>"

The "activist" climate is characterized by encouraging student activities as an educational-learning experience. From a school management angle, this means an orientation for continuing group and inditidual self activity. Such an orientation is, indeed, backed by theory as well as by empirical evidence (Klein and Eshell, 1980). Operatively it is produced by a comprehensive structure of activities, social as well as educational. The assumption here is that student activity is a preferred way of learning as well as of developing and improving social relationships. Thus cooperative activity is approached not only



for a full description of the study see Part II, Chapter 1.

as a good method for improving learning achievement but also as a promising social integration tool. In the same manner, an accent will be put on teacher activity, each being assigned special roles. In this case having an "activated" school might, at least in the short term, be associated with conflicts.

- 21 -

c. "Achievement"

The "achievement" climate is characterized by emphasis on scholar ship. Such a climate is related to a continuous process of evaluation and comparison. Hence, such a climate will often be distinguished by selectivity, streaming, comprehensive testing and special compensatory learning programs, all aimed at improving scholastic achievement. Leachers, in addition, will be selected mainly on the basis of previous educational background, i.e., academic education, and then on excellence of performance.

This emphasis on scholastic achievements, even at the cost of social integration, is made on the assumption that social integration, in the last analysis, is a function of scholastic equality, or at least of a reasonable gap. If in the short run emphasis on scholastic achievement might increase social tension, in the long run it will, it is hoped, pay off.

?) Proposed "Stability Coefficients"

At this point in the development of our analysis we should ask how stable a climate is. If we consider scholastic achievement and social integration as dependent variables, it is possible to suggest three different stability profiles. In order to make the proposed analysis, we start with a relatively low degree of scholastic achievement and social integration school level. (It would be interesting to find out if different starting points would, indeed, affect the profiles.)



a. Calmative-Stability Profile

This climate is assumed to be highly stable, with relatively few fluctuations and low degree of inner variation in time.

- 22 -



Figure 2: Calmative Profile

The assumption here is that a relaxed atmosphere will gradually improve scholastic achievement and social relationships. This will level out on a medium level of achievement. But after a while, getting used to the atmosphere and having relatively few challenges, may result in a decrease of achievement level. The same might happen to social relationships.

b. Activist-Stability Profile

Since this climate emphasizes learning as well as social activity, the profile will appear wavier.



Figure 3: Activist Profile



This profile is based on several assumptions: First, that "activity" is indeed an effective learning method and consequently achievement level will rise, over a relatively long period of time and with some ups and d. ..., based on personal frustration. Similarly, if activity might improve social relationships in the short run, it will produce a reactive effect after a while. In the same manner, emphasis on social activity might reinforce social differences. Furthermore, and this is an interesting assumption, if indeed "activity" improves learning, and thus scholastic achievement, it is obvious that different students will improve differentially. Potentially excellent students will improve more, since the better the student the more he will gain from better learning methods. Hence, there is the possibility that the achievement gap will increase. From this viewpoint, as long as scholastic achievement remains the main evaluative mechanism in our achieving society and school system, and as long as there is a correlation between ethnic origin and achievement, the "activity" method will have a ceiling level 1. developing social relationships. But, from a different viewpoint, "activity" will improve social relationship, enhance integration, which ... return will improve the learning motivation of the disadvantaged group, thus improving their scholastic achievement. And since the adwantaged group might approach a ceiling in achievement, the achievement gap will decrease (Klein and Eshell, 1980).

c. Achievement-Stability Profile

Inasmuch as this climate is defined as putting constant emphasis, even considerable pressure, on scholastic achievement, we can assume a rapid increase in the achievement level. The question is, of course, two fold: the assumed long range direction and the price.



- 23 -



- 24 -

Figure 4: Achievement Profile

The achievement profile will take a double S shape: the learning curve rises sharply, levels, and decreases, and then levels again on a relatively high plane. A new S shape begins when new pressures, effort or new teaching methods have a breakthrough effect. The downward curve is a general reaction to the familiarization process. Social relationships are assumed to be negatively related to the pressure for achievement. An achievement-oriented climate means an evaluative, comparing, competing environment. Hence, it might increase social tension, and take its toll in decreasing social relationships among students as well as among faculty. In the very short period of time, such pressure would probably induce group coalescence. But in the long run, school-status, achievement, social adjustment and selection would join forces to improve social relationships again, based on a more homogenized student body. However, since competition is inherent, social relationships will level.

8; Significant Time

It can immediately be seen that if the above assumptions are treoretically sound, one of the main set of questions will be what are the significant time tables? Furthermore, to what degree do such durations of time correlate with educational periods (semesters, elementary schooling, various high school periods, etc.)? Such synchroniza-



tion can be seen as the <u>significant time</u>. Obviously, differential significant times will have different educational implications.

- 25 -

9) Research Implications

Following the above analysis, results of school climate studies may also depend on when in the life cycle of school climate the study took place. Consequently, only after accumulative knowledge of longitudinal studies will a more accurate picture be established. Furthermore, research should be directed toward a more thorough understanding of the movement of this life cycle. Only then can answers to our cleations be derived. Could, for instance, one climate be switched to another in order to improve school effects on all outcome variables? When: A very interesting perspective will be in studying the possible interactions among all three climate types, i.e., developing a comprehensive climate life cycle which will be based on a combination of all three.

To sum up, this analysis is quite speculative and should be considered as such. It is an attempt to relate some of the suggested new concepts to preliminary research clues in order to direct some new ways of analyzing school climate.



- 26 -

Part II

Empirical Analysis

Chapter 1: Foreword

1; Organization

In this part we reanalyze data of the original reform study. First, results of analysis on the school level from the original study are presented. Second, we reanalyze some of the data on the <u>class</u> let al, investigating three questions derived from one general hypothesis, as follows: <u>School climate will have a differential effect</u> <u>on different classes</u>: (a) a differential effect according to class-<u>grade</u>; (b) according to class student-<u>composition</u>; and (c) according to the relative position of the class in the school.

2) Research Limitations

It should be clearly emphasized at this stage that secondary analysis is limited by the boundaries of the existing study variables, their measurement, and categorization, and the way they are organized on computer tape, i.e., accessibility. School climate is based on aggregate variables and it was almost impossible to reorganize them on the existing tapes. It turns out that the reanalysis of data creates severe problems when variables were not built in the first place for this purpose (i.e., for the study of climate).

As was already emphasized in the theoretical discussion, school climate is conceptually complicated and its definition is not always clear. Still, an <u>a priori</u> theoretical framework and a research design following this framework allows much better and more adequate data to be collected. In our case, the existing data, which we had to fit to our conceptualization, was a limitation. Another disadvantage was in the sample size: only 19 schools within which there were 105 classes.



36

within restricted boundaries. The findings are, however, interesting and they may suggest trends which open avenues for more research in the directions which were taken in this study.

- 27 -

3) The Israeli Educational Reform

The educational reform approved by the Knesset (Israeli Parliament) in 1968 had two declared purposes: to raise the level of academic achievement, particularly among disadvantaged children, and to encourage the integration of students of different ethnic origins and scholastic backgrounds within the framework of the school. In the Israeli case that means integrating students from higher achieving American-European backgrounds with those from Asian-African backgrounds. Structurally a change from 8;4 to 6;3;3 (elementary; high school to elementary; junior high; high school) took place with unselective admission of all pupils, who had completed the 6th grade in a neighborhood elementary school, to an integrated district junior high school. These schools, by rezoning school districts, would become heterogeneous in terms of the S.E.S. (social economic status) and ethnic origin of the student bady. A four-year comprehensive follow-up study was conducted to evaluate the reform.*

4) <u>Sample</u>

The data was gathered from about 3,000 students and 600 teachers from 105 classes (grades 7, 8 and 9) of 19 junior high schools selected as representative of various types of schools in the country. The number of teachers interviewed in each school was proportionate to the



[&]quot;This study was a joint effort of scholars from the Department of Eduation at Tel Aviv University and the National Council of Jewish Women Ausearch Institute for Innovation in Education at the School of Educetion of the Hebrew University of Jerusalem (Chen, Lewy and Adler, 1978).
size of the school, but hever less than 25 teachers per school.*

ariables

iwo groups of variables were used in this study.

- Teachers' variables, based on the assumption that aggregates of teachers' attitudes and characteristics are essential to the school climate phenomenon. All variables are school mean scores of teachers' information.
- (b) Students' variables: achievement and attitudinal variables. These variables are also aggregates, schools' means in the school level analysis and classes' means in the second part of the reanalysis.

The considerations for the choice of the variables will be discussed later.**

For a full description of the variables, see Appendix I.





The data on the students were collected by the Tel Aviv team, headed by Prof. M. Chen and Prof. A. Lewy, including Mrs. D. Cfir, Mrs. H. Regev and Mrs. B. Fresko. The data on the teachers and parents were collected by the Jerusalem team, Prof. C. Adler and the authors of this study. A full description of the study can be found in the Educational Reform Final Report (Chen, Lewy and Adler, 1978). The data in this study refer only to the research sample and not to the matrol groups of the elementary and high schools, students, teachers, and parents.

Chapter 2: School Level Analysis

The reform in the Israeli educational system, which constituted a major change both in school structure and in the pedagogical policy, forred the school administration and teaching staff to face a new and protlematic situation for which many of them were not prepared and with which many did not know how to cope. Big, heterogeneous schools were created with specialized teaching, role differentiation, a varied teaching staff, some of whom had high school teaching experience, some elementary school experience, some who had no previous experience with disadvantaged students of very few who had any idea what should be df with a heterogeneous class. Although the structural change was the same for all schools in the reform,* its implementation and the edicational processes within the schools varied from one to another. The researchers realized this both through observation and in analysis of data which showed significant differences <u>between</u> schools for many attitudinal aspects (Chen, Lewy and Adler, 1978).

- 29 -

Our first analysis is aimed at defining the dimensions which characterize these differences, i.e., different school climates. This adalysis was done on the school level with variables which were aggregates of teachers' and students' attitudes except three which were defined as school variables.**

() Teachers' Variables

Since we are dealing with an <u>educational reform</u>, teachers' attitides toward the change, the policies behind it and its implementation are of major concern. Four aspects of school and teachers' attitudes



^{*} There were, though, some differences also in this matter, depending on demographic and ecological limitations.

Appendix 1.

1) be included in this analysis.

a' Attitudes toward and implementation of the reform's goals

- 1: Teachers' attitudes towards the dilemma of <u>achievement</u> vorsus <u>integration</u>. As the direct implementors of the reform, teachers' preference for achievement or integration as the main goal of the educational reform may be an important indicator of the process which eventually takes place within the school.
- 2: School degree of selectivity. In the implementation of the reform policy within school, there were schools which deviate from the Ministry of Education directives requiring heterogeneity on class level. The deviation from this principle by constructing homogeneous classes and by allowing a relatively high rate of drop-out, mainly of poor students, defined the degree of selectivity in ths chool (Chen, Kfir and Lewy, 1976).
- 3: <u>School religious affiliation</u>. Since the Israeli educational system consists of two main public sub-systems, the secular and the religious, and because of the assumed relationship between religiousness and attitude toward integration and achievement (Chen, 1975), the school's affiliation (secular vs. religious) was included.

b) Satisfaction and attitudes towards the school

The importance of the affective and tangible variables for the actual work in general, and teaching processes in particular, is midely recognized and discussed (Herzberg 1959, Blocker and Richardson 1962/63, Halpin and Croft 1963, Sergiovanni 1967, Grassie and Cars 1973, and Ziegler and Boss 1974), Furthermore, since we are dealing with a centry created situation (reform), it is essential to take teachers' estimates and feelings into consideration. Hence the second aspect is in this realm.



- 31 -

. .

- 1: Teachers' satisfaction from work in the school.
- 2: Teachers' perception of the degree of various problems in the school.
- 3: Teachers' perception of the degree to which the reform goals are implemented and achieved in their school.

c) Attitude towards the change

Since we are relating to climates in reformed school, teachers' attitudes towards the expected changes and their readiness to accept responsibility for its outcomes were important.

- 1: The degree to which teachers perceive the educational reform as an actual change in their teaching procedures.
- 2: The degree to which teachers perceive themselves as willing to absorb the necessary innovation and change in teaching methods.
- 3: Teachers' perception of who is responsible for implementing the reform goals.

d) <u>Pedagogical orientation</u>

The last aspect is directly concerned with the teachers' preferred pedagogical orientations.

- 1: Teachers' image of social role, i.e., how the teachers view their social role as educators.
- 2: Teachers' attitudes toward "individual encouragement".
- 3: Teachers' attitudes toward strictness and discipline (i.e., an authoritative approach).

These aspects represent a wide spectrum of teachers' attitudes toward policy orientation, through attitudes toward change and work setisfaction, to preferred pedagogical approaches relevant to the learning process in the classroom.



2) <u>Students' Variables</u>

Students' variables represent also attitudes which are directly relevant to the educational reform and the changes in the system which followed. The variables introduced here are: 1) declared school anxiety, 2) students' satisfaction with school as compared to their previous schools, 3) students' feelings of personal deprivation (the degree to which student feels himself deprived in the teachers' grades) and 4) students' locus of control. The other student variables are: 5) the average level of students' achievement, and 6) the percentage of students of Asian-African origin in the school.

The major question is: what are the relationships between these variables, and do these relationships configurate distinguishable educational climates?

3) <u>Procedure</u>

The interrelationships among all the variables will be explored through a multivariate non-metric technique: the Smallest Space Analysis (SSA). SSA addresses itself to the basic problem of representing adequately a body of data in the smallest space, when represectation is to be approached in geometric terms and the ability to tisualize is the key to comprehending a set of structured relationships. The distribution of the points in space is determined by the correlation of the variables. The closer the points, the higher the correlations (Guttman 1968 and Bloombaum 1970). The coefficient of alienation implies "goodness-of-fit" between the distances as calculated from the coordinate systems and the original coefficients. the smaller the coefficient of alienation, the better the fit. The devisions as to what constitutes adequate representations is quite erbitrary, but figures around .15 are generally considered adequate jartsman 1968 and Bloombaum 1970). In order to explore school climate as derived from teachers' attitudes and then to explore it as related



- 32 -

to students' attitudes, the analysis was carried out in two steps, first only the teachers' variables were introduced and second, teachers' and students' variables were analyzed simultaneously.

- 33 -

4) Results of SSA Analysis

۰,

a. Teachers' Attitude Configuration

Figure 5 presents a configuration of teachers' attitudes along two distinct poles which essentially represent different educational viewpoints. The clear distinction between the two attitude configurations which were revealed is to some degree surprising.

i) The <u>Achievement-Conservative Pole</u> is characterized by a preference for achievement, high degree of selectivity, religiousness, a low emphasis on the social image of the teacher's role, great emphasis on authoritative approach, and less on individual encouragement as an educational approach. At the same time, there is less readiness for change and a low perception of the change involved in the educational reform while responsibility for achieving the reform goal is placed on others (e.g. not the teachers). There is also a lower level of satisfaction from work in the school, a higher level of perceived problems, and dissatisfaction with the implementation of the main educational goals of the reform.



Areference of god's x (integrative) x Less problems More responsibility X X More satisfaction Less individual encouragement X Achievement X Less social role Authoritative (low) X Perceived change X Willingness to change

Figure 5: SSA of Teachers' Attitudes and School Policy*

* Coefficient of alienation = .104

ii) The Integrative-Open Pole is characterized by approaches emphasizing educational encouragement of the individual, the social image of the teacher's role, with less emphasis on authoritative approach. At the same time there is less selectivity and a preference for integration as the main goal of the reform, a higher level of satisfaction from work in school, perceived progress toward achieving the main goal of the reform, and a lower level of problems. The educational reform is perceived in terms of pedagogic innovation, readiness for change, and acceptance of responsibility for results.

b. Teacher-Student Configuration

Although the importance of the above findings cannot be overlooked, relating students' attitudes, achievements and school



composition to teachers' attitudes in the same schools may be even of a greater interest. Hence, a simultaneous analysis of teachers' and students' variables was employed, which revealed the following (Figure 6):

* Perceived change (high) Less social X roal * Authoritative (low) Less individual. x Satisfaction (high) -encouragement * Responsibility (high) x % Asia-African origin @ Religiousness X Less problems X Goal implementation Less feeling X Goal imprime intim Locus of control (internal) Selectivity x Preference of goals x -of deprivation & Level of achievement (achievement) Worse attitude * Willingness to change -to school Less school enxiety 8

Figure 6: SSA of Teachers' Attitudes, School Policy, Student Attitudes, Level of Achievement and Percentage of Students of Asian-African Origin*

(Student's variables are circled)

*Coefficient of alienation = .157

Again, a quite surprisingly clear picture is obtained. Schools with a high percentage of students from Asian and African origins, with a lower level of achievement, are characterized by teachers' "achievementconservative" set of attitudes, which in turn is directly related to a high level of students' school anxiety, unfavorable attitudes toward

45



- 35 -

school, strong feelings of deprivation by teachers and an external incus of control. The "integrative-open" pedagogical climate, on the other hand, is characterized by a low level of students' school anxiety, more favorable attitudes toward school, weaker feelings of grade deprivation and an internal locus of control, a lower percentage of students from Asian-African origin and a higher level of students' achievements. Although there are reasons to assume that teachers' attitudes (and behavior) create the school climate which in return influences students' attitudes (and behavior), no such conclusion wan be drawn from this analysis. We are dealing here only with intercorrelations, and the causal relations are very questionable, especially since school composition and level of achievement, which are included in the analysis, may be the cause of both students' and teachers' attitudes. Still, the fact that certain schools (and their stude.:ts) are exposed also to a distinguishable climate from the point of view of their teachers is in itself a significant phenomenon.

5) Further Procedures

Although the variables in the SSA are school means, they represent sets of attitudes only on the group level. There is still a need to show, methodologically, that the schools themselves can actually be distinguished according to these configurations. For this purpose two approaches are used, although each one of them is essentially sufficient to show the needed transformation. First, the positions of the variables and the cases are reversed. The variables (or characteristics) are conceived as cases and the cases (or schools), as variables. Thus, instead of computing correlations between variables as they differ by schools, they are computed between schools as they differ by their variables. The outcomes are revealed in Figure 7.



- 36 -



- 37 -

÷.

rigure 7: SSA of Schools' Profiles According to Teachers' Attitudes, Students' Attitudes, Levels of Achievement and Percentage of Students of Asian-African Origin*

*Coefficient of Alienation = .177

Second, in each of the two sets of attitudes the standardized scores were summarized, each school receiving two scores: one, the sum-score of the attitudes which constitute the integrative-open climate, and one sum-score of the attitudes which constitute the achievement-conservative climate (Figure 8). The schools could then be plotted according to their two scores as follows. (It should be insted that the numbers in Figures 7 and 8 represent school codes with each number standing for a school.)





- 38 -

Figure 8: Distribution of Schools According to their Sum-Scores in the Two Sets of Attitudes

In both graphs (7 and 8) two main groups of schools can clearly the differentiated, each group characterized by a different set of strictudes. In other words, it is possible to say that the schools in this study can be distinguished according to what Coleman (1958-59) termed the "boundaries of homogeneity", and can be classified into o a of two quite clearly different school climates. The advantage of these presentations is that they enable the observation of different degrees of what may be termed "climate intensity". It is not surprising that not all schools are unequivocally characterized by either one of the two climates. The distribution can be seen as indicating a continuum, not an either-or situation.

Soncluding Remarks

Although the present findings are limited by the nature of the sample, they provide some clear indicators to the phenomenon of school simulate. Empirically, two distinct school climates appear, which are



also related to the two main goals of the Israeli Educational Reform.

Since these dilemmas are also based on actual school policies, the Israeli Educational Reform can be seen as being implemented along two parallel lines: the achievement-oriented one and integrative one, which in turn are linked with "conservative" and "open" pedagogical orientations. Consequently any evaluation of the reform must take this into account. An overall view which presents national means might thus be misleading, since we can now reasonably anticipate two types of outputs, each one for a different group of schools, when the variation between the two types might turn out to be greater than the variation between schools.

At a more operative level, students of the low socio-economic status seem to attend schools with an "achievement-conservative" climate to a greater extent than do students of a higher socio-economic status. This raises an interesting question. Might their attitudes and, to some degree their poor achievement, be partially due to the school climate and <u>not</u> only to thair background? If we assume that climates derived from teachers' attitudes are relatively stable, and that these attitudes tend to strengthen each other, they may consequently have a cumulative effect and be that much more powerful. In any case, we obtain a vicious circle, where the less achieving student is put into pressing situations which seems to increase anxiety, feelings of deprivation, and maybe even increase the dropout level.

To sum up, this part of the study can be seen as a threefold contribution to the development of the concept of school climate. From the theoretical angle, it is an effort to build a frame of reference to explain the school climate phenomenon. The Smallest Space Analysis (SSA) method is employed, which, to the best of our knowledge, has not been used before in analyzing school climate. And, most important, empirically, this part of the study attempts to enrich our insight into the "real life" situations within the schools, which in the present case are going through a process of major changes and have to cope with the dilemma created by the need to maintain high standards of achievement as well as the integrative policy.

49



- 39 -

Chapter 3: Class Level Analysis

1) <u>Purpose</u>

As mentioned already, integration through new <u>class</u> composition was one of the main purposes of the Israeli Educational Reform. The homeroom class is considered the most relevant learning and social unit, especially in Israel where much of the educational activity revolves around the class. The variation in school compositions is the result of demographic limitation of the school zoning, while variations in class compositions within schools was the result of in-school policies in a system which has a relatively large degree of autonomy. Since manipulating class composition is considered a mean for social integration as well as for improving scholastic achievement of the disadvantaged population, the analysis on class level is important and could have implications beyond the Israeli experience.

- 40 -

The first question considered is the association between teachers' variables (conceived as school climate) and students' variables (conceived as classes' outcome). Is this relationship different for different class compositions? i.e., is there a different relationship between teachers' characteristics and attitudes and the classes' attitudes when the classes dealt with are comprised of high or low percentage of AA students? Furthermore, since various classes (in terms of their compositions) may exist in the same school, such a differential effect implies that the same school climate has a different meaning for different classes. And last, does the relationship investigated take a different form for the different class grades?

Analysis on the class level mixes data on two levels: students' data, which are based on class level computations (class means) and teachers' data which are based on school level elaborations (school means). There was no way to identify and relate specific teachers to specific classes. Hence, any analysis which is related to class



climate is essentially an application of the concept of school climate to the class.

Although this is a limitation, it turns out also to have some ad: added and some analyses it is possible to see how <u>similar</u> climates (based on school policy and teachers' variables) might be differently associated with different classes according to their student composition and class grade. And this is indeed one of the main purposes of the study.

2) The Variables

a. Teachers' Variables

Since we use analysis of variance and regression analysis with a relatively small sample size (when analyzing separately classes according to their student composition), it is important that the number of climate variables (the independent) should be kept small. Hence, four variables were chosen, which could be seen as representing different aspects of the school climate.

- (i) Teachers' mean level of education an input variable which can represent the quality of teachers, at least formally.*
- (ii) School level of selectivity this is a <u>school</u> variable, measuring the degree to which schools used excessive selection mechanisms within the school and the classes. This variable represents school policy in the realm most relevant to the structural integration within school.
- (iii) Teachers' preference of educational goal a major attitudinal variable representing teachers' orientation regarding the emphasis on achievement and integration.
- (iv) Authoritarian attitude teachers' pedagogical approach toward students and the learning process.

*This variable was not considered in the original, school-level analysis.

1 5.



- 41 -

- 42 -

b. Students' Variables

Six variables were applied here. The first, (i) class achievement level - mean achievement in objective tests (in 5 subjects). The other five variables are attitudinal variables which are considered, to a different degree, achievement-related.

- (11) Locus of control
- (iii) Aspirations educational and occupational (an index)
- (iv) Per cent of students in the class who desire to study in academic high school (planning academic school - PAS)*
- (c) Academic self-image
- (vi) Manifest school anxiety*

c. Class Composition

Three groups of classes were distinguished: classes with a relatively low percentage of students from Asian-African origin (less than 40%), relatively mixed classes (41-80% students from Asian-African origin), and classes with a majority of students from Asian-African origin (81-100%). Undoubtedly this categorization is not ideal. There are enough signs to show that a different grouping is more meaningful (Inbar 1981). However, in the secondary analysis the were tied to the organization of the original material, which did not allow a different distinction.

The following is the basic distribution of classes in the different schools.

These variables were measured at 7th and 9th grades only.



-40		4 1- 80	81+	Total	
	24	6	0	30	
¢ <i>1</i> ?	3	26	1	30	
	D	10	28	38	
	27	42	29	98*	
	ι7	-40 24 5 3 0 27	-40 41-80 24 6 3 26 0 10 27 42	-40 41-80 81+ 24 6 0 3 26 1 0 10 28 27 42 29	

Table 3: Class and School Distribution According to Student Composition

- 43 -

*For one school information on class composition is missing.

One can see that, even within the rather crude distinction of three compositional types, about 20% of the classes have a different composition than that of the school. This stems from various homogenizing precedures within the schools. Table 4 presents mean classes' achievement in the various composition categories, in 7th, 8th and 9th grades. It is obvious from this table that class composition is strongly related to its mean achievement. The higher the percentage of students from Asian-African origin the lower the class achievement mean. Still, the relatively high standard deviation among all classes calls for more attention.



		Class composition										
Srade	<u>All classes</u> Mean S.D.	-40 Mean S.D.	41-80 Mean S.D.	80÷ Mean S.D.								
γ	55.86 13.86	70.60 5.1	57.69 ~ 9.1	42.55 7.6								
8	54.73 16.21	71.23 7.1	55.83 12.2	40.24 10.6								
9	53.68 14.68	68.60 6.4	56.17 10.6	39.55 8.4								

- 44 -

Table 4: Achievements According to Class Composition (Percentage of Students from Asian-African Origin)

3) Class Achievement Distribution Between Schools

The following (Table 5) is the basic distribution of classes in each of the schools according to class composition and level of achieve-

Table 5

Again, as can be seen, the lower the percentage of students of Asian-African origin (AA) the higher the achievement level. This is a quite familiar and expected picture in schools. However, the interesting point is that there are clear differences in achievement level between vlasses and schools of the same composition. Similarly, there are clear differences between schools in the standard deviation of achievement.

As a result a few questions are raised. First, are these classes (according to composition) also characterized by other student variables? Second, are these classes associated with special kinds of teachers? Third, are climate characteristics (as chosen in this study) related to different class achievements? Fourth, if indeed climate is related to



	Percentage		10		41.	-80			81+	
School	AA IN SCHOOL	No. of Classes	Mean	s.D.	No. of Classes	Mean	S.D.	No. of Classes	Mean	s.D.
	//1_80				2	55.5	2.1	2	44.5	12.0
ו ס	41-00 81⊥	_	-	-	-	-	-	6	46.2	6.2
۲. ۲	-4N	4	71.0	1.8	3	57.3	15.0	-		-
4	-40	8	70.4	2.5	-		-	-	-	-
5	-40	4	75.5	2.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
- *6	-40	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
- 7	41-80	1	63.	0	1	48.0	0	-	-	-
В	41-80	2	64.5	•7	5	59.4	12.8	1	41.0	0
9	81+	-	-	-	 ¹	-	-	7	39.4	8.9
10	81+	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	45.7	7.7
11	41-80	1	59.0	0	7	55.0	7.3	-	-	-
12	-40	5	73.4	8.3		-	-	-	-	-
13	41-80	1	72.0	0	2	59.5	2.1	3	36.7	9.7
14	41-80	-	-	-	8	60.2	8.0	1	39.0	0
15	41-80	-	-	-	2	5 3. C	1.4	2	45.5	12.0
1ና	81÷	-	-	-	1	38.0) ()	4	38.0	6.9
17	81+	-	••••	-	-	-	-	5	45.0	5.1
18	41-80	1	70.0	0	4	65.5	5 2.4	1	43.0	0
19	-40	_ 3	69.7	6	-	-	- 	-	-	-

Table 5: Achievements According to Class Composition and Schools

*For one school, information on class composition is missing.

."



we revements, is this relationship differential in different class compositions? We investigate the first two questions through analysis of variance and intercorrelations between climate variables in the various class compositions.

4. Analysis of Variance: Teachers, Students, Class Composition and Crades

The following (Table 6) is a summary of the variables means and distribution and an analysis of variance by class composition.

Table 6

Some interesting points revealed through the analysis of varia.ce deserve special attention:

a. It turns out that in classes (schools) with a higher percentage of AA (81%), teachers' level of education is lower on the average, they tend to put more emphasis on achievement and selective processes are more pronounced. There were no differences in pedagogical approach. Similarly, in these classes students' locus of control proce external, their level of aspirations is lower, and a smaller percentage of them express wishes to continue in academic high school.

b. The level of anxiety in grade 7 is higher the higher the dercentage of AA students.

c. The locus of control becomes more internal the higher the grade. This is more pronounced in classes with a low percentage of AA than in classes with a higher percentage of AA students. Obviously, thus reflects children's age development, but the fact that the low percentage of AA classes has a differential growth may indicate class composition effect, too.

d. Interestingly, in heterogeneous classes, the level of asoration decreases in the higher grades (15.64, 13.42, 13.20)*

* ste that the range of the scales are different. See Appendix 1.

56



- 46 -

Variable	Total Mean	To 40% AA (1) Mean	41-80% AA (2) Mean	81+% AA (3) Mean	F	sig	Distinction*	
Teachar Education	12,44	13.39	12.75	11.50	17.02	.000	1,2/3	
Selectivity	1.98	1.45	1.97	2.42	14.13	.000	3/2/1	
Goal Preference	2.20	-3.45	-3.83	.47	8.39	.000	1,2/3	
Authoritarian Attitudes	2.88	2.86	2.94	2.86	2.58	.08	1,2/1,3	
7th Graje							- 1- 14	
Locus of Control	4.35	4.67	4.42	4.03	38.23	•000	3/2/1	
Aca de mic Self-image	15.61	15.49	15.63	15.72	45.68	n.s.	1- 1	
Aspirations	14.88	16.59	15. 64	12.81	46.28	.000	3/2/1	
Placement in High School	51.35	62.4	60.79	33.34	20.76	.000	2,1/3	
Anxiety	10.99	10.67	11.02	11.33	3.39	.037	1,2/2,3	
8th Grade								
Locus of Control	9.29	9.84	9.51	8.60	49.62	•000	5/2/1	
Academic Self-image	3.73	3.65	3.60	3.85	10.58	•000	1,2/3	
Aspirations	13.27	14.76	13.42	11.91	60.26	.000	3/2/1	2
9th Grade							U	
Locus of Control	9.46	10.08	9.68	8.72	38.91	.000	3/2/1	
Academic Self-image	3.65	3.67	3.59	3.72	1.53	n.s.		
Aspirations	12.88	14.66	13.20	11.12	53.07	.000	3/2/1	
Placement in High School	42.4	65.67	43.89	22.16	32.77	.000	3/2/1	
Anxiety	10.04	10.08	11.97	11.09	. 47	n.s.	.	

Table 6: Means and Analysis of Variance of Teachers' and Students' Variables in 7th, 8th, 9th Grades by Class Composition

ERIC

57

- 47 -

"indicates a significant difference between the groups (class compositions),

Do beterogeneous classes have a "leveling" effect on aspirations? ... homogeneous classes (up to 40% and 81+% AA), on the other hand, aspirations increase from grade 7th to 8th but decrease slightly from the 8th to the 9th grade.

- 48 -

e. The percentage of students who wish to continue in academic high school, an indicator of the more immediate educational plans, takes a different shape. Here, the level of aspiration in classes with less than 40% AA increases from the 7th to the 9th grade, but dramatically decreases in the other compositions. Is this trend just an effect of class composition? Or, can we assume a differential school climate impact in the various grades and class compositions, mediating such an outcome?

5) Intercorrelation of Teachers' Variables According to School Composition

The following are tables of intercorrelation between teachers' variables in various class compositions. Since teachers' variables are composites on the school level, and there is a high correlation between school composition and class composition, these figures essentially represent correlations on the school level.

Table 7: Correlation Matrix of Teachers' Variables for the Entire Population

		1	2	3	4	
1,	Mean Level of Education	1.00	-			
2 .	Goal Preference	45*	1.00			
3,	School Selectivity	47*	•46*	1.00		
<u>'</u> ,	Authoritarian Attitudes	 27*	•29 *	• 47*	1.00	

Significant at .05 level



In the entire class population, there is a significant relationship between selectivity, achievement orientation, authoritarian attitudes and a lower level of teachers' education.

Table 8: Correlation Matrix of Teachers' Variables According to Different Class and School Composition

**	1445 gang gang di Samara ang sang sang sang sang sang sang sang	Classes in schools with up to 40 % AA			Classes in schools with 40-80% AA			Classes in schools with 81+% AA					
		1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
1 o	Mean Level of Education	1.00				1.00				1.00			
s. 9	Coal Pref- erence	23	1.00			65*	1.00			.56*	1.00		
ζ,	School Selectivity	10	.27	1.00		24*	.76*	1.00		64*	76*	1.00	
4,	Authoritarian Autitud e s	.31*	28	.73* 1	1.00	45*	•34*	•64*	1.00	28	 68*	.81*	1.00

Significant at .05 level

Several points deserve emphasis: first, the lowest correlations appear in 'high-homogeneous' (less than 40% AA students) classes. If we consider the combination of several variables as an indicator of school climate, it seems that such a climate becomes more coherent in heterogeneous schools and schools with more than 81% of AA. More specifically the correlation matrix gives us the first indication for the tendency of a climate to develop in schools. This tendency could be either a pre-selection factor (certain teachers are being recruited into certain schools), or an indication of a dynamic development within the school through interpersonal or other influences.



- 49 -

Cenerally, it seems that a lower level of teachers' education, high level of selectivity, emphasis on achievement vs. integration and authoritarian attitudes appear as a characteristic cluster in schools. However, the picture differs somewhat when looking at the correlation within various schools by their students' composition. In schools with a minority of AA students most correlations are smaller and the correlation between teachers' level of education and educational attitude is reversed: higher level of education is related to higher authoritarian attitudes. The correlations in integrated (heterogeneous) schools are all stronger and in the same directions as for the entire population. The correlations in schools with a majority of AA students are also stronger, but almost all of them in the reverse direction, These schools (with 81+% AA students) are generally more selective, more achievement oriented, and have a lower level of teacher education (see means in Table 6). The question to be asked in the next step is whether and how different elements of climate relate to students' variables.

6) Class Educational Outcomes and School Climate - SSA

The first investigation of the possible differential impact of school climate is through studying the relationships between these two groups of variables in various classes by grade level and by student body composition.

We have used the SSA method in order to obtain a visual presentation of the interrelationships among the various variables in each class-type (by grade and composition) separately. Figures 9, 10 and 11 present the findings of these analyses.



- 50 -

a. Classes with less than 40% AA students



Coeff. of Alienation = .128





Coeff. of Alienation = .127





Figure 9: S.S.A. of School Climate Variables and Classes' Attitudes in Three Class Compositions: 7th Grade





a. Classes with less then 40% AA students



h. Classes with 41-80% AA students



Coeff. of alienation = .106

c. Classes with more than 80% AA students



Coeff. of alignation = .284

Figure 10: S.S.A. of School Climate Variables and Classes Attitudes in Three Class Compositions: 8th Grade*



63

"Two students variables (enxisty and % planning for academic high educal) were not measured in 8th grade and thus do not expess in the S.S.A. for this grade.

Anytety Aspirations 4 Ľ Gool preference Low and order 8 × Celectivity Self image 3 X X Locus of control * Plan academic High school Teachers' education Š 5 X

Coefficient of Alienation = .129

b. Classes with 41-80% AA students



Coefficient of Alienation = .80





Coefficient of Alienation = .167

Figure 11: S.S.A. of School Climate Variables and Classes' Attitudes in Three Class Compositions: 9th Grade

64



Ļ.,

a

a. Classes with less than 40% AA students

The pattern of interrelationships among the variables is essentially constant and repeats itself. It indicates the possibility of two distinctive climates. One cluster of variables is based on higher teacher education, more liberal educational approaches, integration as teachers' preference of educational goals, student internal locus of control, and a higher percentage of students aspiring to continue academic high schools. The opposite cluster is based on lower student academic aspiration, selectivity as school policy, and achievement as the teachers' preferred goal. This picture resembles very much the analysis on the school level. The variable of student anxiety turns out not to be directly related to any of the climate clusters and "moves" from one climate to another. In the integrated classes, i.e., with a heterogeneous composition, the coalescence of climate clusters is much clearer, and the climates are more polarized. Ιt seems that heterogeneity of classes, more than the grade level, contributes to a stronger correlation between teachers' and students' attitudes.

A hypothetical analysis might suggest that the climates represent the development of a dynamic vicious circle, when teachers' achievement orientation reinforcing school selectivity policy, both strengthening authoritative pedagogical approaches, which are then positively related to students' low academic self image, external locus of control, and low level of high school aspirations, all of which are again positively related to teachers' goal preference (achievement) and school policy. Such a hypothetical vicious circle can be shown graphically as follows (Fig. 12).

65



- 54 -



Figure 12: Vicious Circle in Selected Climate

7) School Climate and Educational Outcomes of Classes

In the following three sections we investigate the possible effects of climate variables on classes' educational outcomes through regression analyses. Though the foregoing regression analyses suggest a uni-directional relation, one has to be cautious in viewing these outcomes as the dependent variables. In the long run the variables interact. For instance, selectivity as a variable of school policy can be itself a function of previous students' success, i.e., achievement. The same can be said for other, achievement-related, attitudes such as aspirations and other behavioral aspects. However, since we assume that climate may affect students' behavior more than the latter affects climate, regression analyses were employed in which climate variables are the independent variables and their possible effect on different educational outcomes are tested.

The analyses were carried out in three stages. First, achievement was considered as the dependent variable. The regression of class achievement on climate variables, although indicating certain



interesting trends, did not produce very meaningful outcomes. Considering the well-established knowledge about the strong relationship between student's personal resources (ability and S.E.S.) and his achievement, this finding is not very surprising. Hence, in the second step we decided to investigate students' (classes) attitudes as related to school climate. It ay be that school policy and teachers' attitudes do not affect achievement directly, but they have an effect on students' attitudes. Since these attitudinal variables are achievement-related, if such an effect occurs, besides being important and meaningful on its own, one may argue that in the long run achievements will be affected, too.

In order to observe the relationships between climate variables and students' attitudes, since there is an association between those attitudes and achievement, students' achievement was introduced into the regressions as a control variable. This means that we introduced a very tight control into the analysis, especially since the analysis by class composition is in itself a certain control on achievement.

Five student variables were utilized here as dependent variables: aspirations, percentage of students planning to attend academic high school, locus of control, self-image and anxiety. Analyses were carried out for the entire class population and separately for the three different class compositions, the latter in order to detect the possibility of differential climate effects in different compositions. All analyses were carried out separately for 7th, 8th and 9th grade autcomes. Since selectivity, one of the four climate variables, is an indicator of operative school policy while the other variables are either teachers' resources or attitudes, the possible differential effect of climate in different levels of selectivity was studied in the third stage.

67



- 56 -

8) Achievement and Climate

Table 9 is a summary of the step-wise regression analyses of the relationship between several climate variables and two dependent variables: class mean scholastic achievement (in each of the three grade levels: 7th, 8th and 9th) and class mean high school track placement. The analysis is done separately for the three types of classes according to their composition (per cent of AA origin students).

Table 9

Four main points can be summarized:

a) Most of the independent variables do not contribute significantly to the variance in achievement in the different classes. Consequently, it will be more appropriate to consider the results as indications of possible trends only.

b) While achievement is measured through achievement tests, "placement in high school" measures the students' placement after middle school in various high school tracks. This placement, although related to achievements, is probably also affected by the relative position of the students in the class, or the relative position of the classes within the school. That may give some explanation to the reverse effects which appear in the regression analysis of "selectivity" and "goal preference" on achievement vs. high school placement. The small sample size (number of classes) and the weak effects should be emphasized again. The analysis should, thus, be looked upon cautiously and serve just as an indication to a more careful investigation in this direction.

c) There are indications that the explanatory power of climate variable decreases as the percentage of AA students in the classes increases.
d) In the homogeneous classes, with less than 40% AA, the explanatory



- 57 -

	Teacher of Educ	s' Level	_ Sele	ctivity _	Go: Prefe:	al rence	Autho	ritarian itudes	
		0	<u>a.</u>	<u>Classes_wi</u>	<u>th up նյ</u>	<u>40% AA.</u> 2	<u>N = 31</u>	2	2
Dependent Variable	В	R ²	В	RŹ	В	R ²	В	R	Total K
7th Grade Achievement	.33	.078	- .09	.003	.17	.022	.32	.122	•225
8th G r ade Achievement	.32	. 133≯	31	• 044	.09	.006	.40	• 1744	•227
9th G r ade Achievement	. 40*	. 218*	45	.090	.11	.009 🕔	•54*	.065	.382
High School Placement	.66*	•342*	•46*	. 116*			15	.009	.467
			<u> </u>	Classes wi		AA	N = <u>36</u>		
7th Grade Achievement	•41*	.160*	.16	.022		·	08	.006	.188
8th Grade Achievement	•54*	•205*	•04	.019	.19	.007	12	.003	.234
9th Grade Archievement	•53*	. 149*			.27	.030	09	.006	.:84*
High School Placement	.10	•064	•49	.046	49	•047	.09	.005	162
			<u> </u>	<u>Classes</u> wi		A	<u>N = 38</u>		
	· 18	 				.006	.09	.009	.038
Ath Crade Achievement	. 06	.006	07	.002	•27	.064	.16	.007	.080
	• • • •				.03	.001	.06	.003	.004
High School Placement	 15	•043	•37	.051	31*	.060	40	.024	.179

Table 9: Regressions of Achievements in the Various Class Compositions on Teachers' Variables

.

¹Empty spaces mean that the variable did not enter the regression due to its very low partial correlation with the dependent.

*p ∠.05 level

- 58 -

 $\mathbf{70}$

pover of the climate variables increases with grade-level. This might indicate that in such classes school climate has a cumulative effect on student achievement. Interestingly, we do not find such consistent relations in other class compositions. Likewise, achievement is related to a low level of selectivity in the various grades, but "placement in high school" is positively related to selectivity: a higher level of selectivity predicts a higher track placement in high school. In high-homogeneous classes and more distinctly in heterogeneous classes teachers' level of education is positively related to achievement. This relation does not appear in low-homogenecus classes, which might indicate a differential association in various class compositions of teachers' education and students' achievement. However, this relationship may be partly due to the colinearity between class composition and teachers' level of education. But one has to be cautious regarding this indication since this might be the product of some preliminary selective processes (of teachers) in such schools. In classes with a majority of AA students (more than 81%) a different picture can be observed. The relationship between achievement and climate is on the whole insig-Alficant, while the variance in track placement is best explained in this class composition. As far as achievement is concerned, this finding may be related to the tight control introduced by the specific cutting-point (81+% AA students) of class composition. As for track placement, the combination of non-authoritative approach, integrative orientation and higher level of selectivity as predictors of a higher track placement is very interesting though difficult to explain.

9) Student Attitudes and Climate

a. Aspiration as Dependent Variable

As can 'a seen in Table 10, level of achievement is directly



71

- 59 -

variable in all classes. Still, in all class-grades, aspiration is associated with teachers' goal preference: an integrative orientation is related to a higher level of aspiration. In 8th grade only, level of class aspiration is also associated with level of school selectivity: the higher the selectivity the higher the student aspiration.

	7th or		8th or	ade	9th ar	9th_ora de		
Variables	8	R ²	B	R ²	B	R ²		
Achievement	•71*	.534	.87*	.737	.81*	.651		
Selectivity	00	.000	.14*	.012	.01	.000		
Teachers' Education	08	.000	.01	.000	06	.002		
Goal Pref- erence	22*	.034*	 17	.014	- .15*	.015		
Althoritarian Attitude	•00	.001	.02	.001	12	.002		

Table 10: Regression of Aspirations on Achievements and Climate . Variables in 7th, 8th, 9th grades (for the Entire Class Population)

*c < ,05 level

Table 11

The separate analyses by grade level and class composition (Table 11) produced a complex picture. The table should be looked at vertically (class grade) and horizontally (class composition). The classic association between level of achievement and level of aspira-



i den finde konstanten en de kan de sense in den de de sense de la sense de la sense de la sense de sense de s	Up to AA	40%	41-8 AA	0%	81+ AA	%
ariables	В	R ²	В	r ²	В	R ²
<u>th Grade</u>		- <u></u>				
Achievement	•56*	.319*	•99*	. 370*	. 27*	. 053
Selectivity	.15	.010	11	.023		
Teachers' Education	.19	.028	.08	.007	27	.046
Cal Preference	.03	.001	13	.000	32*	.102
Authoritarian Attitudes	21	.009	11	.005	13	.014
Total R ²		.368		.406		.215
<u>8th Grade</u>				- •	
Astievement	. 39*	.313*	•68*	. 515*	.81*	.448*
Selectivity	• 25	.023	•33*	.036	• 34*	.016
Teachers' Education	。 38*	.067*	.06	.009	- .02	.000
Jual Preference	.17	.019	22	.010	56*	. 183*
Authoritarian Attitudes	.11	.13 2*	.21	.015	31*	. 043*
Total R ²		•552		.583		.69 0
9th Grade				454	C D X	0.7.4.*
Aphievement	.09	.006	•82*	.604	•50*	• 2 (4*
Selectivity	•22	.015	.15	.005	• U >	•000
Teachers' Education	. 47*	. 209*	13	.007	18	.029
Scal Preference	.09	.005	37*	.016	25	.047
Arthoritarian Attitudes	.19	.1 70*	•27*	.017	21	.016
Total R ²		.406		.651		.361

atle 11: Regression of Aspirations on Achievement and Climate Variables in Three Class Compositions (7th, 8th, 9th Grades)

^{*}p **<** .05 level



tions transpires again, but with some interesting modifications. First, in classes with fewer AA students (up to 40%), the association between achievement and aspirations diminishes from the 7th to the 9th grade. It appears as if in the 9th grade mean class aspiration is not related to its level of scholastic achievement. This point is emphasized by the opposite trend in homogeneous classes at the other end of the scale (80%+ AA) where the association is weaker in the 7th grade and much stronger in the 8th and 9th grades. Teachers' level of education appears to be positively associated with aspiration in classes with up to 40% AA students, an association which becomes stronger in the higher grades.

In heterogeneous classes (41-80% AA) aspirations are associated with teachers' preferences for integration, while teachers' authoritarian attitudes and their level of education have a negligible association with student aspiration. This is a most important point, since heterogeneous classes are one of the main structural means of desegregating students. The association between teachers' integrative orientation and level of aspirations, which appears mainly in heterogeneous classes, is thus worth noting.

In the third type of class composition (80%+ AA), student aspirations are also associated with teachers' preference for integration and with liberal pedagogical approaches. This may be an indicator that such teachers' attitudes are more suitable for this group.

Interestingly, school selectivity has the strongest association with aspiration in the 8th grade, for all class compositions. It may be that in the 7th grade selectivity as school policy has not yet a strong impact on students, and their attitudes are based more on personal variables. It peaks in the 8th grade, and in the 9th grade the impact is reduced. This may imply that school policy as a variable of school climate has the greatest impact in the intermediate grades; i.e., it does not have a cumulative but rather a curvi-



- 62 -

74

linear shape. This is in contrast to the theoretical suggestions analyzed above. In any case, this is an interesting line of investigation that should be pursued.

b, <u>Plans for Academic High School as Dependent Variable**</u>

While aspirations - in the former analysis - is an index of educational and occupational aspirations, we deal here with plans for academic high school. Both are indications of students' aspirations, but the former indicates long term aspirations which, as mentioned, includes occupational aspirations. The latter is a short-term variable relating to a choice that the students will have to make within two years (for 7th graders) or within a few months (for 9th graders).

Table 12: Regression of Percentage Who Plan Academic High School on Achievement and Climate Variables in 7th and 9th Grades

	7th g r a		9th g r a	de
ariables	В	R ²	В	R ²
Achievement	.49*	.285	.70*	.503
Selectivity	.25*	.032	.17*	.015
Teachers' Education	.11	.008	.05	.002
Soal Preference	23*	.029	18	.018
Authoritarian Attitudes	.10	.006	.07	.003

*p 🗶 ,05 level

** In Israel, matriculation exams and the certificate accompanying them ore prerequisites for academic studies and for many occupational positions. The passage through academic high school is planned to prepare students for these exams and the probability of a student acquiring the matriculation certificate is, thus, much greater if he studies in such a school.


Two trends seem worth noting in Table 12. First, direct plans to continue academic high school is, of course, associated with achievement level, but the association grows stronger from the 7th to the 9th grade unlike that with the former, general variable of aspiration. A possible explanation to this is that students' plans in the 9th grade for further academic high school are based on reality, i.e., their level of achievement in the 9th grade, which is only a few months away from high school. Secondly, plans for academic high school are associated with lower level of school selectivity. Two explanations can be offered. First, this relationship (low selectivity - high percentage of academic high school plans) is an artifact of the correlation between selective policy and percentage of AA students. The second possibility is that this is a real impact of school policy: when students are not sorted and differentiated during their middle school period (low selectivity) a higher percentage of them continue planning for academic high school.

On the other hand, as was mentioned above (Table 9), the actual placement in academic high school seemed to be related to a higher degree of selectivity. This may occur due to the process of dropping out or transferring weak students from the school as part of the selective policy, a process which affects the students' composition. In any case, if non-selective policy increases students' high school plans while in reality their eventual placement is on the average lower, this may cause frustration among students whose plans could apt be realized.

The following (Table 13) presents separate regressions in each of the compositional types.

- 64 -



76.

Table 13: Regression of Students Who Plan for Academic High School and Climate Variables in Three Class Compositions (7th and 9th Grades)

	Up to	40%	41-8	0%	81	÷%
lariables	В	R ²	В	r ²	В	R ²
7th C rade					.	
Achievement	. 20	.033	.37*	. 239		
Selectivity	.71*	.231	.34	.045	- .05	.001
Teachers' Education	.55*	.213	.06	.044	26	.027
Scal Preference	.04	.001	44	.009	17	÷026
Authoritarian Attitudes	.37	.029	05	.002	. 15	.027
Total R ²		.507		.339		.081
<u>eth Crade</u>					674	050+
Athlevement	07	.004	. 18*	.360	•62*	•259*
Selectivity	.34	. 114*	.38	.022	17	•132*
Teachers' Education	。 64*	. 358*	.08	.003	54*	°094*
Coal Preference	.09	.007	39	.024	33*	•O72*
Authoritarian Attitudes	•04	.001	12	.007	. 32*	•048*
Total R ²		. 484		.416		.605

significant at **(.**005



1. the homogeneous classes with up to 40% AA origin students it seems that there is almost no connection between achievement and percentage of students planning to go on to academic high school. The possibility that this is a result of little variance in the dependent (plans for academic high school) or the control (achievement) variables must be rejected (see Tables 4 and 6 above). The strong association with teachers' level of education both in the 7th and 9th grades may be partly due to its colinearity with achievement. In these classes there also appears a strong relationship with school policy, i.e., the higher the degree of selectivity the higher the rate of academic high school plans. In the heterogeneous classes, with 41-80% of AA students the main association is between students' level of achievements and aspirations, i.e., the higher the level of class mean achievement the higher the percentage of students planning academic high school. There is also a tendency of schools with stronger selective policies and teachers' integrative orientation to be related to a higher percentage of students planning academic high school. This is not statistically significant, but consistent for the 7th and 9th grades, Interestingly, in classes with 81%+ AA students there is association between any of the variables and level of aspiration in the 7th grade. However, an association becomes quite evident in the 9th grade, the last year before high school. The main association is between achievements and aspirations. But, beyond it, we can observe the complex association between aspiration and lower teachers' education, less selectivity, integrative orientation and a more authoritative pedagogic approach.

If any comparison between classes according to student composition can be made, then in the "good" classes, higher teachers' level of education and stronger selective processes are related to higher percentage of students planning academic high school. The opposite occurs in the "poor" classes, where low selectivity combined with authoritative pedagogical approaches seems to be related positively to the dependent variable.

78 -



- 66 -

c. Locus of Control as Dependent Variable

The results of the analyses of locus of control and climate are shown in Tables 14 (for the entire population) and 15 (by class composition) below.

Table 14: Regression of Locus of Control on Achievement and Climate Variables in 7th, 8th, 9th Grades

·····	 7th g	rade	8th gr	ade	9th gr	ade	
Variable	В	R ²	В	R ²	B	R ²	
Achievemant	.79*	.658*	.82*	.699*	.73*	.586*	
Selectivity	.14*	.011	.11	.007	.07	.002	
Teachers' Education	.03	.000	.04	.001	.04	.001	
Goal Pref- erence	 17*	.015	10	.006	14*	.015	
Authoritarian Attitude	 04	.001	- .20*	.035*	15*	.029	

*p 🕻 .05 level

Not surprisingly, locus of control is positively associated with level of achievement in all grades. Worth noting is the finding that both preference for integration (a more integrative as opposed to achievement orientation) and a less authoritative pedagogical approach are associated with an internal locus of control. We have here signs that teachers' integrative orientation and more progressive pedagogical approaches encourage the development of internal locus of control.



	68	
--	----	--

Table 15: Regression of Locus of Control on Achievement and Climate Variables in Three Class Compositions (7th, 8th, 9th Grades)

	Up to 40% AA		41-8 AA	0%	81+% AA	
Variables	В	R ²	В	r ²	В	R ²
7th G r ade						
Achievement	•04	.001	. 80*	. 777*	•51*	•268 *
Selectivity	.34	.040	.13	.019	.07	. 047
Teachers' Education	•53*	. 144*	.20	.017	11	.008
Goal Preference	.23	.037	• 04	.000	26*	. 066*
Authoritarian Attitudes	76*	. 132*	03	.000	. 11	.006
Total R ²		.354		•814		.395
8th Grade						
Achievement	.30	.067	•70*	.696*	.76*	•508*
Selectivity	.10	.004	.20	.019	.08	.002
Teachers' Education	•33*	. 144*	•23*	.046*	.02	.000
Coal Preference	.02	.000	. .10	.006	13	.012
Authoritarian Attitudes	48*	.108*	03	.000	25	.035
Total R ²		.323		.768		• 561
<u>9th Grade</u>						
Achievement	15	.021	•68*	. 605*	•64*	. 303*
Selectivity	34*	. 147*	. 14	.017	. 18	.011
Teachers' Education	•46*	.095*	.19	.020	• 04	.001
Ccal Preference	.25	.029			31*	. 071*
Authoritarian Attitudes	08	.002	04	.000	- .30*	.031
Total R ²		•294		•643 [°]		.417

*p 🕻 .05 level



As can be seen from Table 15, there is no significant relationship between achievement and locus of control in the high homogeneous classes (up to 40% AA students), while such strong association continues to appear for the other classes. The lack of association in the former may occur due to the low variation in locus of control in these classes. In whese classes (up to 40% AA students) teachers' level of education and non-authoritarian attitudes and in 9th grade low selectivity as well, are positively related to locus of control.

- 69 -

As already mentioned, the strong relationship between teachers' education and locus of control in this class composition should be cautiously interpreted, especially in light of the low association between control and achievement. This may happen due to the colinearity between teachers' education and class achievement and not a real effect of the level of education on students' control. In classes with 81+% AA students integrative orientation and non-authoritarian attitudes (as a trend) are the variables related to locus of control. In the mixed classes all these associations are very small and it is mainly the class achievement which is related to control.

What can be summarized from these analyses is, first, that there are enough indications to imply the possibility of climate effect on students' locus of control, beyond the effect of achievement, and secondly, that the effects of various climate variables/teachers' attitudes are differential in different class compositions and grade levels.



d. Academic Self-Image as Dependent Variable

Regressions of class academic self-image on achievements and the climate variables in 7th, 8th and 9th grades indicate almost no relationship between the dependent and the independent variables (Table 16).

	7th g	rade	8th gr	rade	9th gr	ade –	
Variable	В	R ²	В	R ²	B	R ²	
Achievement	08	•000	42*	.106	.02	.000	
Selectivity	.02	.000	.11	.001	.05	.002	
Teachers' Education	.04	.001	• 34*	.065	•01	.002	
Goal Pref- erence	17	.027	.18	.011	.00	.001	
Authoritarian Attitude	15	.038	.13	.022	04	.001	

Table 16: Regression of Self-Image on Achievement and Climate Variables in 7th, 8th, 9th Grades

* **c** .05 level

The same regressions in the three types of class compositions reveal mixed findings (Table 17).

It seems though that while in the low-homogeneous classes (81+% AA), self-image is not related to any of the independent variables, in the high-homogeneous classes self-image raises with level of achievement and with school selective policy. This is no consistent relation-ship between self-image and achievement in the heterogeneous classes, but the trend of positive relation with school selectivity also appears here.



Self-image is an attitude which seems to be determined comparatively. By comparing one's relative position, either internally within the class, or externally - between classes or schools, the student locates himself on a scale of status and accordingly develops his academic self-image (Dar and Resh, 1981). The consistent positive relationship in the high-homogeneous class may be due to external comparisons using the school as a frame of reference.

School selective policy is positively associated with self-image, in both the high-homogeneous and the mixed classes (as a trend). It seems that selectivity as the school policy emphasizes the differences among classes and it becomes a message to the students placed in "good" classes which affects their self-image. In any case, self-image is an affective variable which, at least on the class level, does not seem to be strongly affected by school climate variables, as defined in this study.

Table 17

e, Anxiety as Dependent Variable

Anxiety is the last of the affective variables for which the effect of school climate was tested. This, together with the former variable (academic self-image), are considered variables which indicate the level of the students' well-being in the class. While locus of control and aspirations are fairly established as achievement-related variables, the possible effect of these two variables on achievement is highly questionable. Still, in the eyes of many educators the well-being of the student has a merit of its own that should not be disregarded in any learning situation.

Tables 18 and 19 present the findings of the regression analyses regarding anxiety for the entire class population and for the various class compositions respectively.



- 71 -

				-		
	Up to AA	40%	41-4 A/	30% 3	81 81	+%
Variables	В	R ²	В	R ²	В	r ²
7th Grade				<u> </u>		
Achievement	. 48*	.159*	• 24	.033	11	.011
Selectivity	.08	.002	.18	.005		
Teachers' Education	.09	.003	24	.019	.03	.001
Goal Preference	•04	.001	71*	.164*	24	.052
Authoritarian Attitudes	35	.069	.14	.011	25	.061
Total R ²		•234		.233		.126
<u>8th Grade</u>						
Achievement	.29	•078*	35*	•099*	27	.050
Selectivity	.36	•265*	•23	.062	25	.012
Teachers' Education	20	.027	•60*	.059	.15	.046
Goal Preference	20	.011	.23	.010	.17	.017
Authoritarian Attitudes	.27	.008	•22	•078*	.16	.012
Total R ²		.390		.308		.136
<u>9th Grade</u>						
Actievement	•42*	•08 9 *	03	.001	.17	.026
Selectivity	•53*	•2 1 7*	.29	.033	07	.002
Teachers' Education	24	. 076*	. 14	•068*	08	.003
Ccal Preference	11	.008	45	009 ء	29	.061
Authoritarian Attitudes	16	•004	• 49*	•O63*	34	.109
Total R ²		•394		.174		.201

Table 17: Regression of Self-Image on Achievement and Climate Variables in Three Class Compositions (7th, 8th, 9th Grades)

*p **< .**05 level





	 7th gr	ade	9th gr	ade	
Variable	В	R ²	B	R ²	
Achievement	40*	.122	.16	.008	
Selectivity	.04	.000	07	.001	
Teachers' Education	.13	•010	· - • 10	.005	
Goal Prefere⊓ce	.02	.000	•14	.020	
Authoritarian Attitudes	.05	.002	.15	.034	

Table 18: Regression of Anxiety on Achievements and Climate Variables in the 7th and 9th Grades

*p **< .**05 level

As can be seen from Table 18, there is no significant relationship between climate variables and class anxiety. It is interesting to note that in the 9th grade, even achievement is not related to the level of anxiety. Probably, the younger age and especially the fact that this was the year of transference to the middle school account for the strong relation between achievement and anxiety in 7th grade.

The possibility of differential effect of climate in the different class compositions is tested in Table 19 below.

Table 19

The general finding is again of many non-significant relationships and especially in the low-homogeneous classes where only achievement (and in

FUITEXE Provided by ERIC

- 74 -

Table 19: Regression of Anxiety on Achievement and Climate Variables in 7th and 9th Grades and in Three Class Compositions

	Up to AA or	Up to 40% AA origin		41-80% AA origin		81+% AA origin	
Variables	В	R ²	В	R ²	В	R ²	
7th Grade							
Achievement	12	.013	.18	.015	43*	.181*	
Selectivity	.08	.003	39	₊ 041	.16	.017	
Teachers' Education	•51*	.139	•18	.051	.03	.001	
Goal Preference	.18	.015	•75×	.083	10	.010	
Authoritarian Attitudes	25	•020	23	.023			
Total R ²		.191		.175		.208	
9th Grade							
Achievement	.07	.004	.33	•069*	.11	.018	
Selectivity	82*	.097*					
Teachers' Education	.13	•154* [.]	13	.007	12	.023	
Goal Preference	19	.022	.26	•155 *	.09	.006	
Educational Attitudes	•73*	. 185*	.21	•028	.07	.003	
Total R ²		•463		.260		.052	

*p **< .**05 level



86

. ••

7th grade only) is related to anxiety. There are, though, a few exceptions which are of consequence. In the heterogeneous classes teachers' integrative orientation seems to be a conducive attitude with regard to anxiety: both in 7th and 9th grades anxiety is lower the more integrative oriented the teachers are. The opposite trends of the relation between teachers' authoritarian attitudes and anxiety in 7th vs. in 9th grade (although significant in 9th grade highhomogeneous classes only) is also worth noting. In the 7th grade the authoritarian attitudes are associated with low anxiety while the opposite appears in the 9th grade. The strong relation which appears between selectivity and anxiety (high selectivity-low anxiety) could be also interesting, but the fact that it appears significantly only once (9th grade, high-homogeneous classes) and that in practice we know that few such schools are highly selective (Chen, Lewy and Kfir, 1976) cause us not to put much weight on this finding.

10) <u>Differential Effect of Climate on Classes' Attitudes in Various</u> Levels of <u>School Selectivity</u>

The process of students' selection and placement within the school is an important operative indicator of school policy, particularly in the setting of the Israeli middle chool where integration is a major gor' ising selective mechanisms such as creating more homogeneous within the school and letting a relatively high percentage

of students drop out (or transfer) from the school, are measures which were clearly against the general educational policy. Selectivity was found to be higher in religious schools and in schools with a high percentage of AA students (correlated variables) (Chen, Kfir and Lewy, 1976). Up to now, selectivity has been considered as one climate variable. However, the importance of this dimension as an overall policy factor endorses the assumption that the other climate variables might be differently associated with class attitudinal outcomes in different policy settings. Hence, regression analyses were carried out separately according to the three levels of school selectivity (in the

87



_ 75 _

three grade levels), with the other climate variables as independent and class attitudes as the dependent ones.* As before, class level of achievement was controlled. Tables 20-23 below present the findings of the regression analyses for aspirations, percentage planning academic high school, locus of control and self-image, respectively.

a. Selectivity, Climate and Aspiration

Table 20

Overall, aspiration is, of course, closely associated with achievement in all classes and all policy settings.

Only in medium selective schools, in all grades, teachers' preference for integration is associated with higher student aspirations. The associations between teachers' level of education and students' aspirations have different, and intriguing, patterns in the various selectivity levels and grade levels, for which possible explanations seem to us premature.

b. <u>Selectivity, Climate and Percentage of Students Planning</u> Academic High School

Table 21

As can be observed a d expected, the best predictor of plans for academic high school is students' achievement. This association is generally stronger in the 9th grade. Another general trend appears



Ideally, we would separate the sample by the three class compositions as well, but due to the small sample we did not feel that this could be done.

	Low Selectivity		Medium Selectivity		High Select:	ivity
	B	R ²	В	R ²	В	R ⁴ .
ith Grade						
Class Achievement	. 83*	.580	.14	.321	1.07*	• • • • • •
Teachers' Education	04	.002	. 40*	•O32	49*	.125*
ical Preference	10	.007	29	•144 *	.03	.001
Authoritarian Attitudes	03	.001	. 39*	•036	- •02	.000
Total R ²		.590		•533		.6 90
8th Grade					0.5.1	17 4 O Y
Class Achievement	.80*	. 730*	. 68*	. 705*	.96*	. (42*
Teachers' Education	.17	.010	.03	.000	16	.018
Gaal Preference	.13	.012	- •35*	. 113*	 03	.001
Authoritarian Attitude	s 1 2	.010	.12	.012		
Total R ²		.762		.830		.761
9th Grade				650X	0 / X	570¥
lass Achievement	. 81*	. 679*	.79*	•65U*	•84*	•215 51 c
Teachers' Education	•24*	.023	31*	.041*	15	0055
Goal Preference	.04	.002	44*	. 054*	.10	.002
Authoritarian Attitude	s21*	.028			09	.008
Total R ²		.732		.745		.598

Table 20: Summary of Regression Analyses of Aspirations in 7th, 8th, 9th Grades in Various Levels of Selectivity

- 77 -

F < °05 level



	Low Selectivity		Medi Select	Medium Selectivity		h ivity
	В	R ²	В	R ²	В	R ² ́
7th_Grade						<u> </u>
Class Achievement	.61*	•227*	.17	•245 *	. 75*	•448*
Teachers' Education	.34	•048			14	.008
Goal Preference	24	.092*	•53*	. 175*	.09	.007
Authoritarian Attitudes	32	.068*	•20	.040	23*	•054*
Total R ²		.435		•460		.517
9th Grade						
Class Achievement	.09	•049 *	•26*	. 097*	.88*	•672*
Teachers' Education	•72*	. 300*	75*	.018	10	.005
Goal Pref e rence	.10	• O	58*	.079		
Authoritarian Attitudes	46*	. 170*	29*	.042*	12	.018
Total R ²		•527		.236		•695

Table 21: Summary of Regression Analyses of % Planning Academic High School in 7th and 9th Grades in Various Levels of Selectivity

*p **< .**05 level



1. the association between non-authoritarian attitudes and higher percentage of academic high school plans. Similarly teachers' integrative orientation is positively related to high school plan. This last trend is especially significant in medium selective schools. Furthermore for both variables, aspiration and plans for high school, teachers' attitudes seem to be more significant in the situation of medium selectivity.

c. Selectivity, Climate and Locus of Control

Table 22

The expected strong relationship between level of achievement and locus of control is clearly revealed in these analyses, as well. In schools with a high degree of selectivity teachers' progressive approach is related to a more internal locus of control and medium level of selectivity integrative orientation is associated with intertal control.

In low selective schools, only achievement is related to locus of control: the higher the achievement the more internal the locus of control. This association is reduced in higher grades, undoubtedly as a consequence of age development.

More interesting, in medium selective schools teachers' integrative orientation is also associated with internal locus of control.

In highly selective schools teachers' authoritative attitudes are associated with external locus of control. These observations give us another indicator of the possible differential impact of teachers' attitudes in schools of varying degrees of selectivity. t seems that when school policy is more selective, teachers' favorable attitudes may be a factor in eliciting a more internal control.



	Lou Select	Low Selectivity		um ivitv	Hig Select	h ivitv
	B	₂ 2	B	2	R	_2 2
	U		۵ 	N	U	
7th Grade						
Class Achievement	• 92*	. 706*	•76*	•763*	•59*	•500*
Teachers' Education	.06	.002	27*	<u>.</u> 022*	.16	.017
Goal Preference			43*	.066*	.10	.008
Authcritarian Attitudes	21*	•O27*			20	.021
Total R ²		•735		.851		.546
<u>8th Grade</u>						×.
Class Achievement	. 86*	•690*	•68*	. 716*	. 87*	. 653*
Teachers' Education			.13	.001	11	.007
Goal Preference	.03	.001	22	•074*	.12	.011
Authoritarian Attitudes	08	.005	.07	.003	34*	. 109*
Total R ²		.696		•794		.770
9th Grade						
Class Achievement	•79*	. 645*	. 69*	. 631*	•51*	.419*
Teachers' Education	.04	.001	03	.000	•20	.027
Goal Preference	.12	.009	30	•066*	.02	.000
Authoritarian Attitudes	10	.005	.10	.014	43*	.150
Total R ^{2 .}		.660		.711		.596

Table 22: Summary of Regression Analyses of Locus of Control in 7th, 8th, 9th Grades in Various Levels of Selectivity

*p **< .**05 level



.

d. Selectivity, Climate and Academic Self-Image

From the findings in Table 23 we can conclude the following: First, it seems that in low and medium selectivity there is a beautive relationship between achievement and academic image; the Figher the achievement the lower the image. This finding tallies with the contention that academic image is being elicited through interval comparisons and it is, thus, lower in "higher" classes. The opposite occurs in highly selective schools. There, the fact that classes are distinctly differentiated according to achievement <u>unttill</u> the school and students probably make the comparison <u>between</u> classes, i.e., externally, creates this opposite association: high achievement - high image.

Second, in the highly selective schools (and as a trend also 1: the medium selective ones), teachers' achievement orientation is associated with lower academic image.

Last, in low and medium selectivity teachers' authoritarian attitudes are (mostly) associated with low image and the opposite tread appears in the highly selective schools. All these findings auggest that the relationship between school climate and self-image te differential when a major component of school policy is differently practiced. It may, though, be just a function of studeuts' composition or of school affiliation.* At any rate, these accestions seem worthwhile for further investigation.

e. <u>Summary</u>

The set of regression analyses was carried out in order to meastigate the relationships between climate variables and a meriety of educational outcomes: achievement and achievementrelated attitudes. With the assumption that these relationships



belectivity was found to be higher in religious schools and in schools with a high percentage of AA students (Chen, Kfir and Lewy, 1976).

	82	-
--	----	---

	Low	ivit∨	Medi Select	um ivit∨	Hi Select	gh ivit∨
•	B	R ²	B	R ²	B	R ²
7th Grade						
Class Achievement	.15	.001	48	•049	• 34*	.008
Teachers' Education	•33*	. 101*	.25	. 134*	39	.059
Goal Preference	13	.012	19	.006	34*	. 129*
Authoritative Attitudes	53*	. 143*	14	.008	. 18	.027
Total R ²		.267		. 197		.223
8th Grade						
Class Achievement	41*	. 100*	50*	.3 19*	14	.001
Teachers' Education			27	.005	.30	.048
Coal Preference	07	.005	18	.011	10	.009
Authoritarian Attitudes	•27	.055	46*	. 109*	. 34*	.13 5*
Total R ²		.160		. 444		.193
<u>9th Grade</u>						•
Class Achievement	48*	. 134*	26	. 121*	•54*	. 194*
Teachers' Education	03	.001	43	.007	16	.015
Coal Preference	.27	. 074*	31	.034	35*	.095*
Authoritarian Attitudes	.08	.003	50*	. 089*	.22	.037
Total R ²		.212		.251		•341

Table 23: Summary of Regression Analyses of Self-Image in 7th, 8th, 9th Grades in Various Levels of Selectivity

*p **< .**05 level





may be differential for different class compositions in schools with different selective policies, the regressions were carried out separately within three compositional and three selective categories.

The relatively small sample size and the fact that we could not identify the specific teachers of a specific class is a serious limitation of these analyses. Hence, the finding should be viewed cautiously. Still, we feel that in these findings there are enough indications which hint at possible differential processes that work in the different classes.

11) Extreme School Climate: Assumptions and Methodology

The climate variables, empirically defined as mean teachers' attitudes, are diffuse and their association with various students' variables is equivocal. Furthermore, the greater the variance of the school climate index, the vaguer the application of the school climate concept, which may result in lower, insignificant and diffused associations with measured outcomes. Two attitudinal variables were used throughout the analysis of climate: preference of goals and authoritarian approach. One can conceive a situation where the school staff is relatively unified or relatively diverse in its attitudes. In the first case, the climate is homogeneous with regard to the specific attitude; in the second case, it is heterogeneous. If teachers' attitudes are part of the school climate and to the extent it has an effect on students' behavior and attitudes, we can assume that students are exposed to different climates not only as defined by teachers' means but also by the degree of diversification among them. We tried to investigate this possibility by categorizing each of these two climate variables according to both dimensions: level (mean) and degree of homogeneity (variance) of teachers' attitudes. The mean and variance of teachers' attitudes were dichotomized, hence creating four types of climate (see Figure 13) and classes were assigned as experiencing one of these climate types.



- 83 -

95



Figure 13: Types of School Climates According to Mean and Variance

Obviously, cases a and d are the extreme climates. In the first, the climate is characterized by a high mean score and in the second by a relatively low mean score, and both with relatively low variance, meaning a relatively homogeneous setting. The following is an analysis of classes' attitudes in the four climate types according to two teachers' attitudinal variables: goal preference, i.e., integrative vs. achievement orientation, and educational attitudes, i.e., authoritarian vs. progressive pedagogical approaches.

a. Extreme School Climate: Teachers' Goal Preference

From Table 24 it is evident that in schools characterized by high but <u>heterogeneous</u> integrative orientation, classes show consistently higher aspirations, have a higher rate of students who plan for academic high school, a more internal locus of control and lower level of anxiety. In order to improve our insight into this trend we added also attitudes towards school (which were measured only in 7th grade) to the analysis. The trend which appeared was similar. In homogeneous, achievement-oriented climates, the lowest level of aspiration, the lowest rate of academic high school plans, the most external locus of control, the highest level of anxiety



and the least favorable attitudes toward school are observed. Put differently, this analysis clearly shows that schools which are highly and homogeneously achievement oriented are associated with less "preferred" student attitudes. But the more salient point is that not the schools which are highly oriented toward integration (integrative - homogeneous) have a stronger association with "preferred" student attitudes, but rather the schools which are <u>heterogeneously</u> integrative oriented. This finding will be elaborated in the summarizing notes.

- 85 -

b. Extreme School Climate: Teachers' Pedagogical Approaches

With regard to teachers' educational approach, a clear distinction between extreme school climates is observed, quite consistently (Table 25). When teachers' educational attitudes are homogeneously non-authoritarian, classes show a more internal locus of control, higher aspirations, a lower level of anxiety and more favorable attitudes towards the school. The percentage planning academic high school is also relatively high, though not the highest of the four climate categories. The homogeneous authoritarian climate appears to be at the other end of the continuum with respect to all five students' attitudes.

c. Extreme School Climate: Analysis by Class Composition

Although the associations are interesting and might give meaningful insight into the possible effects of school climate, the findings should be considered with caution, since student composition (percentage of AA) may be a mediator which explains these results. This point will be partially examined in the next analysis. Still, the consistency of the association between climate types, categorized by <u>level and variance</u>, and students' attitudes is interesting. Even if we cannot be sure whether there is a causal relation between them, the very fact of such associations means that certain classes are exposed to and experience certain



Student Attitudes	Aspiration		% Pla demic	% Planning Aca- demic High School		Locus of Control		<u>Anxiety</u>		×.	Satisfaction with School		
Grade Climate	7th	8th	9th	7th	8th	9th	7th	8th	9th	7th	8th	9th	7th
Integrative - Homogeneous	15.06	15.10	14.73	42.7		34.6	4.32	7.23	9.4?	10.97		10.03	3 9.74
Integrative- Hetørogeneous	16.47	16.45	16.29	71.4		65.8	4.63	9.77	10.01	10.73		9.99	9.71
Achievement- Heterogeneous	14.51	15.11	14.57	50.2		39.4	4.33	9.29	9.20	11.02		10.0	2 9.12
Achievement- Homogeneous	13.81	14.6 6	14.53	45.3		36.0	4.(15	8.69	8.75	11.24		1ŭ.2	9 8.92

Table 24: Teachers' Goal Preference and Student Attitudes

.

.



Student Attitudes	Aspirations		% Pla demic	% Planning Aca- demic High School		Locus of Control		<u>Anxiety</u>			Satisfaction with School		
Grade Climate	7th	8th	9th	7th	8tH;	9th	7th	8th	9th	7th	8th	9th	7th
Authoritarian- Homogeneous	14.21	15.17	14.15	46.1		36.9	4.32	9.12	9.30	11.26		10.2	8 8.89
A∵thoritarian- Heterogeneous	14.79	15.63	15.51	59.2		50.0	4.34	9.16	9.33	10.85		10.0	1 9.13
Progressive- Heterogeneous	13.97	14.56	14 .3 2	46.8		38.9	4.21	9.14	9,30	11.05	m) ===	9.9	5 9.29
Progressive- Homogeneous	16.15	16.08	15,84	57.1		46.9	4.53	9.66	9.84	10.77		10.0	2 9.82
	·												101

Table 25: Teachers' Authoritarian Attitudes and Student Attitudes

,

 10^{-1}



- 87 -

climates in terms of their teachers' attitudes.

The finding that, with regard to preference of goals it is the heterogeneous, integrative orientation which is associated with the most "desirable" students' attitudes is especially intriguing. It may indicate that the heterogeneous-integrative atmosphere is the most conducive and the homogeneous-achievement orientation is the least conducive. Is it the case that although integrative orientation seems preferable, it should not be carried to the extreme? Perhaps some mixing of attitudes in this respect (different teachers - different attitudes) is in this case preferred to stimulate students?

As mentioned above, we still have to ask whether these findings vary in different class composition which might again emphasize the different impacts of school climate suggested here.

Table 26 below presents students' attitudes (class means) for five attitudinal variables: aspirations, planning for academic high school, locus of control, anxiety and attitude towards the school. This refers to three class compositions and teachers' goal preference, integration vs. achievement (level and dispersion).

Table 26

The analyses of variance, carried out for each class-grade and class attitude, reveal, as expected, the significant differences in the level of students' attitudes (aspirations, plans for academic high school, control and school attitudes) between the three class compositions. But, beyond this difference, the question in our case is whether teachers' attitudes also make some difference and whether this impact is differential for different class compositions. The findings in this respect can be summarized as follows:

a) In most cases, the heterogeneous integrative orientation of teachers is associated with the most favorable students' atti-



	Up to 40% AA	41-80% AA	81 + % AA	Analysis of Va	riance	
		Student Aspira	tions		<u> </u>	sig.
<u>7th Grade</u>						
Integrative-Homogeneous _.	15.89 (7)	15.40 (9)	13.53 (7)	Class Comp.	39.1	.001
Integrative-Heterogeneous	17.41 (8)	16.87 (6)	13.17 (3)	Teachers' Att.	1.98	.123
Achievement-Heterogeneous	16.35(14)	15.36(17)	12.60(21)	Interaction	.65	Π.S.
Achievement-Homogeneous	18.20 (1)	15.47 (3)	12.60 (7)	R = .72	$R^2 = .5$	51
8th Grade						
Integrative-Homogeneous	16.17 (8)	14.76(10)	14.23 (7)	Class Comp.	55.6	.001
Integrative-Heterogeneous	17.20 (8)	16.53 (6)	14.30 (3)	Teachers' Att.	3.5	.02
Achievement-Heterogeneous	16.80(14)	15.32(17)	13.82(21)	Interaction	1.3	Π.S.
Achievement-Homogeneous	17.40 (1)	15.97 (3)	13.67 (7)	R = .72	$R^2 = .5$	9
9th Grade						
Integrative-Homogeneous	15.91 (8)	14.93(10)	12.83 (7)	Class Comp.	50 .9	.001
Integrative-Heterogeneous	17.16 (8)	16.28 (6)	13.97 (3)	Teachers' Att.	3.7	.014
Achievement-Heterogeneous	16.79(14)	14.88(17)	12.84(21)	Interaction	.52	ñ.S.
Achievement-Homogeneous	(G)	15.63 (3)	14.03 (6)	R = .75	$R^2 = .5$	7
	% in C.	lass Who Plan 1	for Academic Hi	gh School		193
7th Grade						
Integrative-Homogeneous	37.0 (7)	49.3 (9)	31.9 (7)	Class Comp.	33.2	.001
Integrative-Heterogeneous	79.4 (8)	78.0 (6)	36.7 (3)	Teachers' Att.	5.9	.001
Achievement-Heterogeneous	62.8(14)	63.2(17)	31.2(21)	Interaction	1.6	.0 36
Achievement-Homogeneous	99.0 (1)	43.0 (3)	39.7 (7)	R = .70	$R^2 = .4$	9

Table 13: Students' Attitudes (Class Means) in Various Class Compositions and by Teathers' (but Preference Categorized by Mean and S.D. (in parentheses number of classes)

0

193

- 89 -

ERIC

	Up to 40% AA	41-80% AA	81+% AA	Analysis of Var	ciance	
	% in C	lass Who Plan t	for Academic High	n School (contd.)	<u>) F</u>	sig.
9th Grade						
Integrative-Homogeneous	43.8 (8)	35.0 (10)	24.3 (7)	Class Comp.	22.6	.001
Integrative-Heterogeneous	86.3 (8)	60.0 (6)	23.3 (3)	Teachers' Att.	6.9	.001
Achievement-Heterogeneous	б 6.4(14)	41.2(17)	20.0(21)	Interaction	2.4	Π.S.
Achievement-Homogeneous	(D)	56.7 (3)	26.7 (6)	R = .64	$R^2 = \cdot 4$	¥1
		Stud	ent Locus of Con	trol		
7th Grade						
Integrative-Homogeneous	4.51 (7)	4.34 (9)	4.06 (7)	Class Comp.	30.7	.001
Integrative-Heterogeneous	4.81 (8)	4.63 (6)	4.13 (3)	Teachers' Att.	2.9	.042
Achievement-Heterogeneous	4.67(14)	4.44(17)	4.02(21)	Interaction	.40	n.s.
Achievement-Homogeneous	4 .5 0 (1)	4.13 (3)	3.97 (7)	R = .69	$R^2 =$	48
8th Grade						
Integrative-Homogeneous	9.80 (8)	9.38(10)	8.31 (7)	Class Comp.	42.7	.001
Tateorative-Heterogeneous	10.03 (8)	9.95 (6)	8.73 (3)	Teachers' Att.	4.5	.005
Achievement-Heterogeneous	9 .7 9(14)	9.52(17)	8.78(21)	Interaction	.60	Π.5.
Achievement-Homogeneous	9.30 (1)	9.00 (3)	8.30 (7)	R = .75	$R^2 = .$	56
9th Grade			•			
Integrative-Homogeneous	10.05 (8)	9.49(1Ū)	8.70 (?)	Class Comp.	30.8	•001
Integrative-Heterogeneous	10.25 (8)	10.12 (6)	9.17 (3)	Teachers' Att.	2.3	.082
Achievement-Heterogeneous	10.00(14)	9.75(17)	8.72(21)	Interaction	• 41	d.5,
Achievement-Homogeneous	(0)	9.07 (3)	8.57 (6)	R = .69	R [∠] ≕ •	48

Typle wi: (continued)

- 06 -

Table . . (continued)

	Up to 40% AA	41-80% AA	81+% AA	Analysis of Va	iance	
		Student	Anxiety		<u>F</u>	sig.
<u>7th Grade</u> Integrative-Homogeneous Integrative-Heterogeneous Achievement-Heterogeneous	10.36 (7) 10.97 (8) 10.84(14) 9.40 (1)	11.01 (9) 10.37 (6) 11.17(17) 11.07 (3)	11.69 (7) 10.40 (3) 11.08(21) 11.61 (7)	Class Comp. Teachers' Att. Interaction R = .269	2.70 .32 1.9 R ² = .0	.07 n.s. .07
Achievement-Homogeneous Achievement-Heterogeneous Achievement-Heterogeneous Achievement-Heterogeneous	9.97 (8) 10.60 (8) 9.84(14) (0)	9.90(10) 9.48 (6) 10.13(17) 10.83 (3)	10.34 (?) 9.40 (3) 10.08(21) 10.12 (6)	Class Comp. Teachers' Att. Interaction R = .121	.58 .21 5.0 R ² ≕ .9	3.5. 3.5. .001
		<u>Attitudes</u>	towards School			
<u>7th Grade</u> Integrative-Homogeneous Integrative-Heterogeneous Achievement-Heterogeneous Achievement-Homogeneous	10.03 (7) 9.26 (8) 9.94(14) 11.20 (1)	9.76 (9) 9.65 (6) 9.22(17) 8.70 (3)	9.40 (7) 11.00 (3) 8.49(21) 8.89 (7)	Class Comp. Teachers' Att. Interaction R = .32	3.1 1.2 3.2 R ² = .	.05 n.s. .05 175 104





tudes, even after controlling class composition. On the other hand, the findings with regard to Homogeneous achievement orientation are not as consistent: they are not always associated with the least favorable students' attitudes.

b) Out of the eleven comparisons made, six show a significant effect of teachers' attitude and three, a significant interaction. Although these effects are much weaker than the class composition one, their significance should not be overlooked. The significant main effects are all related to the first three attitudes: control, aspirations and plans for academic high school. Contentwise, they imply that irrespective of class composition, heterogeneous integrative orientation is associated with a more intermal locus of control, a higher level of aspiration and a higher rate of plan for academic high school. In this last variable there is also a significant interaction term (significant in 7th grade and not significant, but showing the same trend, in 9th grade) implying that in the disadvantaged classes (over 80 per cent AA students) it is the homogeneous achievement orientation which is associated with a higher rate of academic high school plans. With regard to school attitudes, the trend is not very consistent, but students are more satisfied in an integrative orientation climate than in the achievement oriented one. With regard to anxiety the relationship changes: in "high" classes (up to 40% AA) it is highest when teachers are heterogeneously integrative oriented while such an orientation is associated with low anxiety in the other two compositions. It should be noted that anxiety and school attitudes are much less related to class composition or to teachers' attitudes.

This table shows clearly that the school climate which is characterized by more integration-oriented teachers who are relatively heterogeneous in this attitude is, in most cases, associated with stronger motivation on the part of the students. This is con-

sistent in all class compositions, but it is strongest in <u>hetero-</u> <u>geneous</u> classes (41-80% AA). To emphasize this point the following table (27) shows the differences between class attitudes in integrative-heterogeneous and achievement-homogeneous classes. As can clearly be seen, the main differences are in heterogeneous classes.

Table 27: Differences Between Class Means in Different School Climates (Integrative-Heterogeneous vs. Achievement-Homogeneous)

	Up to 40% AA	41-80% AA	81+ AA		
		Student Aspiration			
7th Grade	79	1.40	.57		
8th Grade	20	.56	.63		
9th Grade		.66	06		
		% in Class Who Plan for _Academic High School			
7th G r ade	-19.6	35.0	-3.0		
9th G r ade		3.3	-3.4		
		Student Locus of Control			
7th Grade	.31	.50	.14		
8th Grade	.73	.95	.43		
9th Grade		1.05	.60		

Although the difference in the locus of control variable increases the higher the grade, this tendency does not stand for the other two variables: The differences in student aspiration and percentage planning for academic high school in the various school



- 93 -

climates decrease the higher the grade. To reemphasize one more point, as can be seen in Tables 26 and 27, the highest percentage of students planning for an academic high school in classes with 81%+ AA is consistently in those associated with an achievementhomogeneous school climate. Hence, this supports one of our basic hypotheses, that school climates are differently associated with motivational variables according to class composition, a point that should be examined further.

In order to complete these analyses, we have looked at the distribution of classes exposed to different climates with regard to teachers' goal preference (integration vs. achievement) in different classes by composition. In classes of up to 40% AA, the distribution is 50%-50% between achievement-oriented and integration-oriented teachers; in integrated classes (between 41-80% AA) it is 55%-45% respectively, and in classes with 81+% AA, it is 74%-26%. It seems that there is a tendency of certain teachers with certain attitudes to concentrate in certain classes. Whether this happens because of pre-selection or as a result of the teaching process, one cannot say in this context. However, the trends and the significant associations with student attitudes which are shown in the analysis of this relatively small sample indicate that this avenue of investigation might be worthwhile.

12) Class Composition and Relative Class Position

Up to now we have investigated the relationship between school climate variables and students' achievement and achievement-related attitudes in various class compositions. In this section we attempt to look at relative class position within the school as a factor in student attitudes. A high-homogeneous class (up to 40% AA) may be one of a number of similar classes in a school whose overall student composition is the same. It might also be a "high" class composed in a school with a selective policy, whose overall population composition is 41-80% AA students. Similarly, a heterogeneous class



111

- 94 -

(with 41-80% AA students) may be one of a few other such classes in a school with the same composition, a "high" selective class in a school whose overall composition is above 81% AA students, or a "low" class in a school with an up to 40% AA students.

Table 28 presents the distribution of classes by their ethnic composition in the various schools' compositions.

Table 28: Class Distribution According to Class and School Composition

				_
School	Up to 40% AA	Classes 4 1- 80% AA	81%+AA	
Up to 40% AA	24	3	0	
41-80% AA	6,	26	10	
81+ AA	0	1	28	
	30	30	38	

*For one school information on class composition is missing The concentration of classes in the diagonal of the table suggests that, at least in these crude categories, most classes represent the composition of the schools' student population. Yet, twenty out of 98 classes (about 20%) deviate from this pattern by being either "higher" or "lower" than the overall composition of their school. Does the relative location of the class within the school have an effect on students' self-perception and on their attitudes and behavior? The "deviation" of a class composition relative to other classes created in the process of sorting is probably relevant both for the students in that class and for those in the other classes.

The relative position of the class may become in itself a message to the students about their evaluation and their educational



prospects as the school defines them, exactly as assigning students into ability groups within each class constitues a message to them about their evaluation and prospects relative to the other students in the class. In that respect, a class comprised of 41-80% AA students in a school where there are less than 40% AA students (and thus having classes composed of less than 40% AA and none of 81%+ AA) may be perceived quite differently compared to the same class in a school with more than 81% AA students. The former is the relatively "low" class in its school context and the latter is the "higher" class relative to the other parallel classes in the school. Such an approach to the investigation of class composition and its effects does not exist yet in the studies of school climate and integration. A possible analogy to such an approach (in studies on the individual level) is in the theory of the "frog pond" and relative deprivation (Davis 1959, Davis 1966, Runciman 1966). The fact that the number of classes which "deviate" in composition from their school composition is almost the same in heterogeneous and low-homogeneous schools tallies with the finding that the most selective schools are those with a religious affiliation and with a high percentage of AA students (Chen, Kfir and Lewy 1976)*

It was not possible to go into a detailed analysis in this study on the possible effects of the class relative position on student behavior and attitudes (mainly due to the small number of classes) but the findings in Table 29 are an enlightened indication of the significance that this phenomenon may have.



Considering the width of the ability range in the heterogeneous school compared to that of the homogeneous ones, one would expect that in the former there will be a higher pressure for a greater differentiation of classes.

Attitude	School Com Class Comp.	position −40% AA	41-80% AA	81+% AA
7th Grade				
Aspirations	-40% AA 41-80% AA 81+% AA	16.79 15.67 	15.78 15.63 12.65	 15.90 12.87
Total		16.66	15.01	12.96
% Who Plan for Academic High School	-40% AA 41-80% AA 81+% AA	63.5 30.3	58.2 63.5 37.1	 57.0 32.0
Total		59.8	57.2	32.9
Locus of Control	-40% AA 41-80% AA 81+% AA	4.68 4.33 	4.62 4.46 . 4.07	 3.40 4.01
Total	·	4.64	4.40	3.99
Self-Image	40% AA 41-80% AA 81+% AA	15.53 14.20 	15.15 15.76 15.33	 16.00 15.85
Total		15.42	15.51	15.86
Anxiety	-40% AA 41-80% AA 81⊹% AA	9.63 10.00 	9.42 10.06 10.21	9.97 10.24
Total		9.63	10.01	10.23

Table 29: Class Means in Various Students' Attitudes, by School and Class Compositions



Table 29 (contd.)

School Composition									
Attitude	Class Comp.	-40% AA	41-80% AA	81+% AA					
8th Grade									
Aspirations	–40% AA 41–80% AA 81+% AA	16.86 15.13 	16.33 15.46 13.81	 15.10 13.94					
Total		15.63	15.22	13-98					
Locus of Control	-40% AA 41-80% AA 81+% AA	9.88 9.38 	9.63 9.57 8.49	 8.30 8.64					
Total		9.81	9.35	8.63					
Self-Image	-40% AA 41-80% AA 81+% AA	14.40 13.70 	15.20 15.00 16.00	 14.00 16.60					
Total		14.30	15.20	16.60					
9th Grade									
Aspirations	-40% AA 41-80% AA 81+% AA	16.73 14.87 	16.30 15.28 13.41	 14.20 13.02					
% Who Plan for Academic High School	-40% AA 41-80% AA 81+% AA	67.2 22.5 	58.0 47.1 20.0	30.0 23.0					
Locus of Control	-40% AA 41-80% AA 81÷% AA	13.9 14.0 	14.1 14.0 14.2	 14.5 13.8					
Self-Image	-40% AA 41-80% AA 81+% AA	14.72 12.25 	14.40 14.10 14.50	 13.00 15.41					



115

.

Since the ethnic composition of a class is generally an approximation (although not identical) to both its S.E.S. level and its academic level, we refer in our discussion of the finding to classes with up to 40% AA students as "high" and those with 81+% AA as "low" classes. The findings in the table seem to indicate that the relative position of the class in the school is related to its students' attitudes (also expressed by class means).

a. <u>Aspirations</u>: The findings on this variable are mixed. In some cases the relative position of the class seems to have an effect on aspirations, in other cases it seems that the class composition as such - unrelated to its ranking among the classes in the school - does not have an effect and the school composition is the major determinant of mean aspirations.

b. <u>Per cent planning for academic high school</u>: This finding appears also when checking the percentage who plan to go on to academic high school in the various class compositions within the different school compositions. In any case, the differences which do appear as related to the class ranking seem smaller than those which exist between the various classes according to their composition and between schools according to their composition.

c. Locus of control: Here, the classes which are "lower" in relation to their school composition have a more externalized locus of control than similar classes whose composition is the same as their school's. Those differences are greater in 8th grade than in 7th grade and disappear at the end of 9th grade. Still, it is quite clear that both school composition and class composition - within the specific school - are associated with a clear ranking of locus of control.

d. <u>Self-image</u>: The differences in mean self-image among the various schools are very small with a tendency for image to be lower in high-homogeneous schools. This, by itself, is an indication that self-image is probably elicited through a process of internal.com-parison.



_ 99 _
The mean self-image of a class whose composition is "lower" relative to the overall school composition is lower than that of a similar class in a school composition that "fits" the class composition. Thus, for instance, the self-image of a heterogeneous class (41-80% AA) in a school with up to 40% AA is lower than that of the same composition class in a heterogeneous school (41-80% AA). The same is true for classes with more than 80% AA in heterogeneous schools as compared to such classes in schools with similar composition.

e. <u>Anxiety</u>: The dominating factor which affects the level of anxiety is the percentage of AA students, either in school or class, and it is not affected by class ranking in school.

A possible explanation for these findings can be found in considering the normative and the comparative dimensions as educational processes which mediate educational outcomes. In their mormative function, reference groups act as norms-definers influencing their members to conform to those norms and behave accordingly. In their comparative function they are used as a scale against which members can compare themselves. Another, maybe complementary explanation is in viewing the class ranking as a symbolic message for its members, which defines for them their position in the system and their future opportunities, and, thus, influences behavior as an outcome of this definition (St. Joha 1975, Spady 1973, Dar 1980). If the home room class, which in Israel is the most significant educational and social unit, is our unit of analysis, then other classes in the school may serve as a reference for comparison, while the level of the class itself (its composition, in this case) and/or the level (composition) of the school to which the class belongs serves as the normative reference.

In this sense, and in relation to our findings, it seems that the three variables, self-image, locus of control and aspirations,



could be viewed as a continuum of motivational variables when the first (self-image) is effected mainly through an <u>internal</u> comparative process and the latter mainly through a normative process. In the first case, the class's self-image is dependent on its relative position in the school, either through comparing itself with other classes or through the symbolic message that such a position carries. The class's self-image is lower when it is relatively "low" in its school context. Locus of control and aspirations even more, on the other hand, seem to be more affected by the class, or the school, as a normative reference and less by the ranking of the class within the school.

Undoubtedly, we should consider the analysis very cautiously, since it is mainly descriptive. Furthermore, the comparison itself is based on a relatively small sample and quite crude compositional categories. Nevertheless, since this is a relatively new angle of analysis, it seemed worthwhile studying even under these restrictions.



13) Summary and Conclusions

Although learning is a function of individual effort in the school system, it cannot be treated as a separate phenomenon detached from the atmosphere of the whole school. This holds particularly true for the disadvantaged. Here, the teacner's attitude, peer group behavior and school policy in general may turn out to be vitally important components in the learning process. Although school climate is a fundamental phenomenon in educational research it is a highly perplexing one. A survey of the literature clearly reveals that no common conceptual framework or classification of school climate has yet emerged. Each researcher has developed his own concept and definition of the phenomenon; yet essentially, they refer to the same thing: the interrelation-mental unit in which he functions.

In Israel, efforts towards narrowing the cognitive and social gap between pupils have been directed towards integration through structural changes in the educational system, along with expected changes in the educational process as well. School climate is one indicator of the educational process and its effect may be particularly important in the context of the integrated school. Hence, the main purpose of this study is to analyze the relationships between the "school climate" and the different educational outcomes for students in various class compositions, i.e., various integrational situations.

The elements of school climate in this study reflect the quality of teaching input (teachers' level of education) and school educational policy. School policy could be viewed on two levels: First, as reflected through declarations, i.e., staff attitudes toward central components of the learning process; and second, as reflected in actual patterns of the implementation of integration policy. The former is represented in this study by teachers' attitudes toward the main goals of the reform (achievement vs. integration) and their pedagogical



approach (authoritarian vs. progressive). The latter is represented by the level of school selectivity.

The findings reported are based on a secondary analysis of data from the Junior High School Study, which was aimed at evaluating the reform in the Israeli educational system (Chen, Lewy and Adler 1978). It also draws on the conclusions of a case study of five integrated junior high schools (Resh, Adler and Inbar 1980).

The first phase of analysis was carried out on the school level. The central policy of the Ministry of Education regarding the reform explicitly suggests that all formed middle schools will be integrative, that integration will be carried out at the homeroom class level, that the middle school will be attached to a prestigious high school and teachers will be authorized academic teachers. However, the specific demographic and structural situation in each district * limited the possibility of carrying out such a unified policy and resulted in a variety of schools in terms of both their student body and their staff compositions. An SSA analysis based on teachers' variables revealed the existence of two distinctive climates in schools: an achievementconservative climate and an integrative-open one. These two poles were related also to students' variables: locus of control, aspirations, achievement and anxiety. The elements which consistute the tip types of climate were also related to student body composition, revealing that the achievement-conservative climate prevails more in schools with a higher percentage of AA students. One cannot infer from this analysis a causal relationship between climate and students' outcomes (achievement and attitudes). Yet, the mere fact that such relationships exist is important and illuminating.



120

- 103 -

The e is an uneven dispersion of the population in terms of both its ethnic and socio-economic composition in various districts. This fact is even more pronounced in the two sub-systems: the secular and the religious public schools.

Moreover, an in-depth case study of five heterogeneous middle schools suggests that differences exist even between schools which are relatively similar in their composition. Schools are given a relatively high degree of autonomy; and school policy, which appears to be determined to a great extent by the principal's orientation, ability, determination and leadership, is related to many facets, e.g., the school climate, the organization of classes, and staff orientations. Knowing that class ethnic composition is not always a mirror of the school composition (as a result of intra-school policy) and hypothesizing that different classes may be differentially sensitive to school climate, we moved in the second phase to a set of analyses on the class level. The class ethnic composition gave us explicit information on the percentage of AA students in the class, but the high correlation, on the aggregate level, between ethnic, socioeconomic and intellectual composition, suggests that the different classes represent different educational environments for their students. It is important to learn about the relationship between school climate and various educational outcomes in such varying class environments.

The analysis of variance between the three class compositions (up to 40% AA, 41-80% AA, and above 80% AA students) and the correlational analysis in these three class types, revealed different associations between teachers' variables in the various class compositions and differences between class compositions in students' variables. These were the first indications that different educational processes may be taking place in various classes and that it may be worthwhile to analyze them separately.

Regression analyses of academic achievement on climate variables - for the entire class population and by class composition - did not produce very meaningful findings. Taking into account the tight control on previous achievement and on class composition together with the fact that climate effects are usually not very strong, this is



quite understandable. Still, it is interesting to note that in classes with less than 40% Afro-Asian students the explanatory power of climate increases as grade level rises.

Further regression analyses were carried out (in the above manner) on classes' attitudinal variables: aspirations, plans for high school, locus of control, self-image and anxiety. Findings suggest that level of aspiration and plans for high school are related to teachers' integrative orientation, and locus of control was more internal with the more integrative oriented teachers. There are also indications that classes may be differentially sensitive to the effects of school climate in different grade levels and according to their ethnic composition. For example, aspirations are more related to school climate in the 8th and 9th grades than in the 7th grade. In classes with up to 40% AA students, teachers' integrative crientation is not related to class aspirations, while in the other two compositions it is relevant. The more integrative oriented the teachers are, the higher the level of aspirations. A similar trend appears with regard to plans for academic high school.

The relationships between classes' attitudes and climate were also analyzed separately in the three types of school selectivity the measurement of actual school policy. The findings were not very consistent, but there were indications that the effect of climate is different in the various policy contexts and that it is strongest in schools with medium level selectivity. It may be that when school policy is carried out in an extreme and clear-cut fashion, this in itself defines the climate, while in the less clear situation medium selectivity - other climate variables are more relevant and have thus an effect on students' attitudes.

A different approach to climate was used in a further analysis. Here, we assumed that not only the <u>mean level</u> of teachers' attitude is relevant but also its <u>variance</u>. Whether the school staff is more



unified in their educational attitudes or more diversified in their opinions, may be relevant to the climate created in the school and to a degree also have different effects on classes. Thus, each of the two teachers' attitudinal variables (goal preference and authoritarian vs. progressive approach) were categorized both by level and by variance. This created four climate categories for each of these variables: high-homogeneous, high-heterogeneous, low-homogeneous, and low-heterogeneous atmosphere.

- 106 -

In relating these categories to students' attitudes, it appears that a more unified (homogeneous) progressive attitude in the school is associated with a higher degree of internal control, higher level of aspirations, less anxiety and a more positive attitude toward teachers and school. At the other end of the continuum, in terms of these students' attitudes, was the homogeneous-authoritarian category.

As far as the "goal preference" is concerned it seems that variation in teachers' attitudes, which on the average tend to be more integrative oriented, is the most conducive climate, and the comogeneous-achievement prientation is the least conducive climate in terms of students' attitudes. These findings are quite consistent but it seems to be stronger in heterogeneous classes (41-80% AA).

In the last analysis, an entirely different approach was applied. Teachers' variables as indicators of climate were altogether disregarded. Instead, relative position of the class within the school was related to students' outcomes. Since about 25% of our classes had a different composition than the school composition, we could determine their relative position in the school ("higher" or "lower" relative to the other classes in the same school). The findings suggest that the relative position of the class distinguishes between classes' outcomes mainly with regard to self-image and locus of control and, to a lesser extent, to aspirations. Probably class location within the confines of the school carries a message in itself



123.

which may affect students' attitudes and behavior. The effect for self-image is different than that for the other attitudes, indicating that the first is probably affected through comparative reference, while the latter are more affected through normative references.

Although teachers' variables were not used in this analysis, the very fact that classes in the same school are differentially composed is an indicator of school policy which probably has implications for a wider range of educational processes in the school. Schools which formed more homogeneous classes usually tend also to use other selective mechanisms (grouping and streaming) excessively. Teachers' accountability for various groups of students becomes differential and in many cases the teaching process within the classes is differential, catering mainly to the "better" classes with the more promising students.

It should be remembered that in the whole set of analyses students' outcomes are measured as classes' means. On the one hand, any significant relationship found between climate variables and classes' outcomes is meaningful; it means that school policy and teachers' educational attitudes have some effect on these classes. On the other hand, such an analysis does not allow any specification of the relationships between climate and a specific group of students in a specific class situation (for instance, disadvantaged students in a heterogeneous class).

The findings seem to produce some evidence that question the uni-effect of school climate in all class contexts. It may very well be that school climate has a differential effect on different types of classes (by grade and composition), on the one hand. On the other hand, class composition in a certain school context (class relative position) is in itself an outcome of school policy and may constitute a symbolic message to the students affecting, as a result, their attitudes.





This line of investigation would be worthwhile in future research with less restricted data and better design which the secondary analysis in this case could not afford. Further research in this direction should be based on a much more intimate knowledge of the unique organizational context of each school, as in the five case studies of Resh, Adler and Inbar (1980).

Two more points should be emphasized for future reference. First, the generalized approach toward school climate tends to overlook the degree of heterogeneity of climate, i.e., the degree to which school climate is an homogeneous phenomenon which reflects the attitudes and behaviors of all or most of the participants concerned. It is a combination of more than one set of coherent attitudes. In our case, it can be seen in the differential approach toward the main goals of the educational reform (achievement vs. integration).

Second, and this complements the above line of thinking, an emalysis of the effects of school climate should be dealt with in a longitudinal approach. Undoubtedly this might create great difficulties to further research, but the trends revealed in this study imply that school climate might have changing effects with time.



125

- 108 -

Appendix 1: The Variable List

A. Teachers' and School's Variables

Variable range Description of the Variable Variable's Name 1 - low selectivity An index composed of 2 1. Selectivity A school variable. 3-2 - high selectivity drop-out rate and the dichotomous items: degree to which homeroom classes are homogeneous. 1 - low School's mean of teachers' education. 2. Teachers' Level 27 - high of Education (-3) - integrated oriented An index constructed from teacher's answer 3. Teachers' Pref-(+3) - achievement oriented about the degree of importance which he relates erence of Educato the educational goals of the reform. tional Goals 1 - progressive A composite index of 5 items referring to 4. Authoritarian required homework, punishment, discipline, 5 - authoritarian Attitude involvement in students' decisions, etc. A composite index of 6 items which refer to 1 - conservative 5. Progressive 5 - progressive educational approaches such as encouragement Attitude of students' initiative, leadership, criticism, independence, participation in decisions, etc. 1 - low satisfaction A composite index of 5 items on teacher's 6. Satisfaction 5 - high satisfaction level of satisfaction in his work. in Work 1 - very difficult problem An index composed of 13 items referring to 7. Perception of teacher's perception of the degree to which 4 - no problem Problems in various problems exist in his school School (students' background, discipline).

127

109 -

126

۰.



B. <u>Students' Variables</u>

Var	iable's Name	Description of the Variable	Variab	le range
1.	Locus of control	The individual's sense of controlling his destiny. The index was constructed of 3, 6, 6 questions in 7th, 8th and 9th grades respectively.	3-6 - 6-12 - 6-12 - low - high -	7th grade 8th grade 9th grade external internal
2.	Academic Self- image	Based on 4 questions referring to the student's image of his academic performance.	4-24 - 1-6 - 1-6 - low - high -	7th grade 8th grade 9th grade very weak excellent
3.	Anxiety	A composite index of 8 questions, based on Sarason's school anxiety questionnaire (1960).	8 - 16 -	- low anxiety - high anxiety
4.	Aspirations	A composite index based on questions about the student's educational and occupational aspirations.	4-19 - 4-17 - 4-17 - low - high -	• 7th grade • 8th grade • 9th grade • low aspiration • high aspiration
5.	% Who Aspire to Continue in Academic High School	The percentage of students in the class who plan to continue their studies (after middle school) in academic high school.		
6.	Satisfaction with school	A composite index of 4 questions related to the student's satisfaction with social life and the learning situation in his school.	4 · 12 ·	- not satisfied - satisfied
17 1 9	Achievements	The mean achievement (% of correct answers) in six standardized tests.		
8.	Placement in Wigh School (PHS)	The type of high school in which the student is studying in 10th grade (retrieved from the follow- up of the students after they moved to high school).	1 <u>F</u> ;	- does not study does not work - academic high school

128

Full Text Provided by ERIC

.

) | |

•

<u>Bibliography</u>

- Adams, R.S., Kimble, R.M., and Merlin, M. School size, organizational structure and teaching practice, <u>Educational Administration Quarterly</u>, 1970, <u>6(3)</u>, 15-31.
- Adler, C. Education and the integration of immigrants in Israel. The International Migration Review, 1969, <u>3(3)</u>, 3-18.
- 3. Alexander, K.L., Fennessey, J., McDill, E.L., and D'Amico, J.R. School SES influences - composition or context? <u>Sociology</u> of Education, 1979, <u>52</u>, 222-237.
- 4. Alwin, D.F. Assessing school effects: some identities. Sociology of Education, 1974, 49, 294-303.
- Amir, Y. The effects of interpersonal relationships on the reeducation of ethnic prejudices. <u>Megamot</u>, 1968, <u>16</u>, 5-25. (Hebrew)
- Amir, Y. Ethnic interactions and intergroup attitudes and relations: a review and reevaluation. <u>Megamot</u>, 1977, <u>23</u>, 41-78. (Hebrew)
- 7. Amir, Y., Rich, Y., and Ben-Ari, R. Problems of social integration in the junior high school, gain and loss to pupils, and proposed solutions. <u>Studies in Education</u>, 1978, 18, 15-36. (Hebrew)
- 8. Amir, Y., Sharan, S., Bizman, A., Rivner, M., and Ben-Ari, R. Personal and group factors in change of ethnic attitudes of students in Israel. <u>Megamot</u>, 1977, <u>23</u>, 174-188. (Hebrew)
- 9. Anderson, B.D. An application of the bureaucratic model to the study of school administration. <u>The Journal of</u> <u>Educational Administration</u>, 1974, <u>12(1)</u>, 63-75.
- Anderson, C.S. The search for school climate: a review of the research. <u>Review of Educational Research</u>, 1982, <u>52(3)</u>, 368-421.
- 11, Anderson, G.J. <u>Bureaucracy in Education</u>. The Johns Hopkins Press, Baltimore, MD., 1968.
- Anderson, G.J. and Walberg, H.Y. Classroom climate and group learning. <u>Inter. J. of Educ. Sciences</u>, 1968, <u>2</u>, 175-180.
- Anderson, G.J. Effects of classroom social climate on individual learning. <u>Disser. Abs. Internat.</u>, 1969, <u>30(2A)</u>, 575.



- Anderson, G.J., Walberg, H.Y., and Welch, W.W. Curriculum 14. effects on the social climate of learning. A new representation of discriminant functions. Amer. Educ. Res. J., 1969 (May), 6(3), 315-328.
- Anderson, G.J. Effects of course content and teacher sex on 15, the social climate of learning. Amer. Educ. Res. J., 1971 (Nov), <u>8(4)</u>, 649-663.
- Anderson, G.J. The Assessment of Learning Environment: A 16. Manual for the Learning Environment Inventory. The My Class Inventory. Atlantic Institute of Education, Halifax, Nova Scotia, Canada, 1973.
- 17. Arzi, Y. and Amir, Y. Personal adjustments and scholastic gains of culturally deprived children in homogeneous and heterogeneous classrooms. <u>Megamot</u>, 1976, <u>22</u>, 279-287. (Hebrew)
- Ascher, C. How to make school desegregation work some advice 18. from research. ERIC/Cue Fact Sheet no. 5, 1981.
- Astin, A.W. A re-examination of college productivity. Journal 19. of Educational Psychology, 1961, 52, 173-178.
- Astin, A.W. and Holland, J.L. The environmental assessment 20. technique: a way to measure college environments. Journal of Educational Psychology, 1961, 52, 308-316.
- Astia, A.W. Productivity of undergraduate institutions. 21. Science, 1962, <u>131</u>, 129-135.
- Astin, A.W. An empirical characterization of higher education 22, institutions. <u>J. of Educ. Psychology</u>, 1962, <u>53(5)</u>, 224-235.
- Astin, A.W. Undergraduate achievement and institutional 23. excellences. <u>Science</u>, 1968, <u>161</u>, 661-668.
- Back, R. Spacial meaning and the properties of the environment, 24. In Proshanzky, H.M. et al. (Eds.), Environmental Psychology, Man and his Physical Setting. Holt, Rinehart and Winston, Lac., 1967, 134.
- Barclay, J.R. Approach to the measurement of teacher "press" in 25. the secondary curriculum. J. of Counsel. Psychol., 1976, 14(6), 552-567.
- Barker, R.G. and Gump, P.V. <u>Big School, Small School: High</u> School Size and Student Behavior. Stanford, California: 26. Stanford University Press, 1964.
- Barker, R.G. <u>Ecological Psychology</u>. Stan^eord, California: 27. Stanford University Press, 1968.



- 112 -

- 28. Barker-Lunn, J.C. <u>Streaming in the Primary School</u>. Slough, Bucks: N.F.E.R., 1970.
- 29. Bashi, J. Effects of ethnic class composition on self-concept. <u>Megamot</u>, 1977, <u>23</u>, 124-133. (Hebrew)
- 30. Battle, E.S. and Rother, J.B. Children's feelings of personal control as related to social class and ethnic group. Journal of Personality, 1963, 31, 482-490.
- 31. Bloom, B.S. Stability and change in human characteristics: implication for school organization. <u>Educational</u> <u>Administrative Quarterly</u>, 1966, <u>11(1)</u>, 35-49.
- 32. Bloombaum, M. Doing Smallest Space Analysis. <u>Journal of Conflict</u> <u>Resolution</u>, 1970, <u>14(3)</u>, 409-416.
- 33. Blou, P.M. Structural effects. <u>American Sociologý Review</u>, 1960, <u>25(2)</u>, 178-193.
- 34. Bidwell, E. and Kasarda, D. School district organization and student achievement. <u>American Sociological Review</u>, 1975, <u>40</u>, 55-70.
- 35. Boldridge, J.V., Deal, E.F. and Oncell, Z.M. <u>Managing Change in</u> <u>Educational Organization</u>. Berkeley, Calif.: McCuthcan Pub. Co., 1975, Ch. 4.
- 36. Boocock, S.S. Toward a sociology of learning: a selective review of existing research. <u>Sociology of Education</u>, 1966, <u>39(1)</u>, 1-45.
- 37, Bossert, J. Tasks, group management and teacher control behavior: a study of classroom organization and teacher style. <u>School</u> <u>Review</u>, 1977, <u>85</u>, 552-555.
- 38. Boulding, E. <u>The Image</u>. Ann-Arbor: The University of Michigan Press, 1956.
- 39. Boyd, W.L. and Crowson, R.L. The changing conception and practice of public schools administration. <u>Review of Research in</u> <u>Education</u>, 1981, 9, 311-373.
- 40 Boyle, P. The effect of the high school on students' aspirations. <u>The American Journal of Sociology</u>, 1965, <u>71</u>, 628-639.
- 41. Brookover, B., Schweitzer, H., Schneider, M., and Beady, E. Elementary school climate and school achievement. <u>American</u> Educational Research Journal, 1978, <u>15</u>, 301-318.
- 42. Brophy, J.E. and Good, T.L. Teachers' communication of differential expectations for children's classroom performance: some behavioral data. <u>Journal of Educational Psychology</u>, 1970, <u>61</u>, 365-374.



- 43. Brown, F.A. and House, H.J. The organizational components in education. <u>Review of Educational Research</u>, 1967, <u>37(4)</u>, 399-417.
- 44, Campbell, D.T. and Fiske, D.W. Convergent and discriminant validation by the multimethod matrix, in Fishbein, M. (Ed.) <u>Readings in Attitude Theory and Measurement</u>. New York: John Wiley and Sons, Inc., 1967.
- 45. Campbell, J.P. (Eds.) <u>Managerial Behavior Performance and</u> Effectiveness. McGraw Hill Book Co., 1970.
- 46. Carson, McB. (Ed.) <u>Environmental Education Principles and</u> Practice. Edward Arnold Publishers Ltd., 1978.
- 47. Centra, Y.A. and Rock, D. College environments and student academic achievement. <u>Amer. Educ. Res. J</u>., 1971, <u>8(4)</u>, 623-634.
- 48. Centran, A.J. and Potter, A.D. School and teacher effects on interrelational model. <u>Review of Educational Research</u>, 1980, 50(2), 273-291.
- 49. Chen, M. and Fresco, B. The interaction of school environment and student tracts. San Francisco: <u>AERA</u>, Annual Meeting, April 19-23, 1976.
- 50. Chen, M., Kfir, D., and Lewy, A. Coping with a heterogeneous school population in the junior high school. <u>Megamot</u>, 1976, <u>22</u>, 379-396. (Hebrew)
- 51. Chen, M. and Kfir, D. Educational counseling in junior high school: processes and influence. <u>Israeli Journal of</u> <u>Psychology and Counseling in Education</u>, 1973, 8, 24-36. (Sebrew)
- 52. Chea, M. and Kfir, D. The students who meet the counselor in the junior high school. <u>Israeli Journal of Psychology and</u> Counseling in Education, 1979, 10, 24-29. (Kebrew)
- 53. Chen, M., Lewy, A., and Adler, C. <u>Process and Outcome in</u> <u>Education: Evaluating the Contribution of the Middle</u> <u>School to the Educational System</u>. Israel: Schools of Education, Tel Aviv University and Hebrew University, Jerusalem, 1978.
- 54. Chen, M., Lewy, A., and Kfir, D. The possibilities of interethnic group contact in the junior high schools: implementation and results. <u>Megamot</u>, 1977, <u>23</u>, 101-123. (Hebrew)
- 53. Cobby, J.A. Relationship discrete classroom behaviors to fourthgrade academic achievement. <u>Journal of Educational</u> <u>Psychology</u>, 1972, <u>63</u>, 74-80.



133

- 56. Cohen, E. and Sharan, S. Modifying status relations in Israeli youth. <u>Journal of Cross Cultural Psychology</u>, 1980, <u>11</u>, 364-384.
- 57. Coleman, J.S. Relational analysis: the study of social organization with survey methods. <u>Human Organization</u>, 1958, <u>17</u>, 28-36.
- 58. Coleman, J.S. <u>Social Structures and Social Climates in High</u> <u>Schools</u>. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Office of Education, September, 1959.
- 59. Coleman, J.S. The adolescent subculture and academic achievement. <u>American Journal of Sociology</u>, 1960, <u>65</u>, 337-347.
- 60. Coleman, J.S. <u>The Adolescent Society</u>. New York: Crowell-Collier and Macmillan, Inc., 1961.
- 61. Coleman, J.S. Comment on three climates of opinion studies. Public Opinion Quarterly, 1961, <u>25</u>, 607-610.
- 62. Coleman, J.S. <u>Introduction to Mathematical Sociology</u>. New York: The Free Press of Glencoe, 1964.
- 63. Coleman, J.S. <u>Adolescent and the Schools</u>. New York: Basic Books, 1965.
- 64, Coleman, J.S., Campbell, E.Q., Hobson, C.J., McPortland, J., Mood, A.M., Weinfeld, F.D., and York, R.L. <u>Equality of</u> <u>Educational Opportunity</u>. Washington, D.C.: Office of Health, Education and Welfare, U.S. Government Printing Office, 1966.
- 65. Conant, B.J. <u>The American High School Today</u>. New York: McGraw Hill Book Co., Inc., 1959.
- 66. Cotteril, A., Gordon, B., and Sears, S. Attitudes, practices and quality. <u>Bull. of the Bureau of School Services</u>, College of Education, University of Kentucky, <u>XL</u>, 2, 1962.
- 67. Creager, Y.A. Academic achievement and institutional environments. Two research strategies. <u>J. of Exper. Education</u>, 1971, 40(2), 9-23.
- 68. Cronbach, L.J. Beyond the two disciplines of scientific psychology. <u>American Psychologist</u>, 1975, 30(20), 116-126.
- 69. Dar, Y. Educational consideration and social context factors determining teacher attitudes toward homogeneous grouping. <u>Megamot</u>, 1977, <u>23</u>, 248-260. (Hebrew)
- 7], Dar, Y., In collaboration with Resh, N. Homogeneity and heterogeneity in education. Report to the Ford Foundation, 1981.

۲.



- 115 -

- 71. Davis, J.A. A formal interpretation of the theory of relative deprivation. Sociometry, 1959, 22, 280-286.
- 72, Davis, J.A. The campus as a frog pond: an application of the theory of relative deprivation to career decisions of college men. <u>Am. Journ. of Soc</u>., 1966, <u>72</u>, 17-31.
- 73. Davis, J., Spaeth, J.L., and Husen, C. A technique for analyzing the effects of group compositions. <u>American Sociology</u> <u>Review</u>, 1961, <u>26</u>, 215-225.
- 74. Davis, A.J. Intellectual climates in 135 American colleges and universities. <u>Sociology of Education</u>, 1963, <u>37</u>, 110-128.
- 75. Deutch, M. The offect of cooperation and competition upon group proc. <u>Human Relations</u>, 1949, <u>2</u>, 129-152.
- 76. Dyer, H.S. School factors and equal educational opportunity. Harvard Educational Review, 1968, <u>38</u>, 38-56.
- 77. Erikson, E.H. <u>Childhood and Society</u>. New York: Norton and Company, 1963.
- 78. Eshel, Y. and Klein, Z. The effects of integration and open education on mathematics achievement in the early primary grades. <u>American Educational Research Journal</u>, 1978, <u>15</u>, 319-323.
- 79. Eshel, Y. and Klein, Z. School integration, academic selfimage and achievement of lower-class elementary school pupils. <u>Megamot</u>, 1977, <u>23</u>, 134-145. (Hebrew)
- 80. Evan, E.M. Indices of the hierarchical structure of industrial organizations. <u>Management Science</u>, 1963, <u>9</u>, 468-477.
- 81. Fiedler, F.E. <u>A Theory of Leadership Effectiveness</u>. New York: McGraw Hill Book Co., 1967.
- 82. Firestone, G. and Brody, M. Longitudinal investigation of teacher-student interaction and their relationship to academic performance. <u>Journal of Educational Psychology</u>, 1975, 67, 544-550.
- 83. Forehand, C.A. and Gilmer, B.H. Environmental variation in studies of organizational behavior. <u>Psychological Bulletin</u>, 1964, 2, 361-382.
- 84. Freedman, M.B. <u>The Student and Campus Climates of Learning</u>. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Health, Education and Welfare, 1967.
- 85. Gat, A. Teachers' attitudes toward the educational reform and its implementation. Haifa: Technich Institute, 1972. (Habrew)



- 86, Ceorgopoules, B.S. Normative structive variables and erganizational behavior. <u>Human Relations</u>, 1965, <u>18</u>, 115-170.
- 87. Cetzels, J.W. and Thelen, H.A. The classroom as a unique social system. <u>National Society for the Study of</u> <u>Education Yearbook</u>, 1960, <u>59</u>, 53-81.
- 88. Glass, G.V. Primary, secondary and meta-analyses of research. Educational Research, 1976, <u>18</u>, 83-98.
- 89. Cood, J.L., Sikes, J.N., and Brophy, E. Effects of teacher sex and student sex on classroom interaction. <u>Journal of</u> <u>Educational Psychology</u>, 1973, <u>65</u>, 74-87.
- 90. Crassie, M.C. and Cars, B.W. School structure, leadership quality and teacher satisfaction. <u>Educational Administra-</u> <u>tive Quarterly</u>, 1973, <u>9</u>, 15-28.
- 91. Cuttman, L.A. General nometric technique for finding the smallest coordinate space for a configuration of points. <u>Psychometrika</u>, 1968, <u>33</u>, 469-506.
- 92. Outman, Y., Gur, A., Kaniel, S., and Wall, D. The influence of grouping on achievements and psycho-social development. Jerusalem: The Henrietta Szold Institute, Research Report no. 150, 1972. (Hebrew)
- 93, Hall, D.T. and Schneider, B. <u>Organizational Climates and</u> Careers, Seminar Press, 1973.
- 94. Hall, V.C., Huppertz, J.W., and Levi, A. Attention and achievement exhibited by middle and lower class black and white elementary school boys. <u>Journal of Educational Psychology</u>, 1977, <u>E9</u>, 115-120.
- 95, Halpin, A.W. and Croft, D.B. <u>Organizational Climate of Schools.</u> Chicago: Midwest Administration Center, University of Chicago, 1963.
- 96. Harman, R. Lindsey. On decision-making in high school. <u>The</u> Bulletin of the National Association of Secondary School Principals, 1962, <u>46</u>, 71-81.
- 97. Hearn, C.C. and Moss, R.H. Subject matter and classroom climate: a test of Holland's Environmental Propositions. <u>Amer. Educ</u>. <u>C.</u>, 1978, <u>15(1)</u>, 111-124.
- 98 Heist, P., McConnell, J.R., Matsler, F., and Williams, P. Personality and scholarship. <u>Science</u>, 1961, <u>133</u>, 362-367.
- 99, Hamphil, H.K. and Westie, C.M. The measurement of group dimensions. <u>J. of Psychol</u>., 1950, <u>29</u>, 325-342.



- 100. Herzberg, F., Maumer, B., and Snyderman, B. <u>The Motivations to</u> Work. New York: John Wiley and Sons, 1959.
- 101. Hoge, D.R. and Cace, S. Predicting academic achievement from classroom behavior. <u>Review of Educational Research</u>, 1979, <u>49(3)</u>
- 102. Heyt, P.D. Size of high school and college grades. <u>Personnel</u> and <u>Guidance Journal</u>, 1959, <u>37</u>, 569-573.
- 103. Inbar, D. Structural aspects and trends in the operation of the reform in the Hebrew education. <u>Megamot</u>, 1975, <u>21</u>, 295-305. (Hebrew)
- 104. Imbar, D. Organizational role climates: success-failure configurations in educational leadership. <u>Journal of Educa-</u> tional <u>Administration</u>, 1980, <u>18(2)</u>, 231-234.
- 105. Inbar, D. The paradox of feasible planning: the case of Israel. <u>Comparative Education Review</u>, 1981, <u>25(1)</u>, 13-27.
- 106. Enbar, D., Adler, C., and Resh, N. Ethnic composition, integration, achievement and school climate. <u>Megamot</u>, 1977, <u>23</u>, 230-237. (Hebrew)
- 107. Indik, B.P. Organizational size and member participation. Some empirical facts of alternative explanations. <u>Human</u> Relations, 1965, 18, 339-350.
- 108, Johnson, W.D. <u>The Social Psychology of Education</u>. New York, San Francisco: Holt, Rinehart & Winston, Inc., 1970.
- 109. Johason, W.D. and Johason, R.T. <u>Learning Together and Alone</u>. Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey: Frentice Hall, Inc., 1975.
- 110. Kaye, S., Trickett, E., and Quinlan, D. Alternative for environmental assessment: an example. <u>Amer. J. of Com-</u> <u>munity Psychol</u>., 1976, <u>4</u>, 367-377.
- 111. Klein, Z. and Eshel, Y. The Nachlaot project: the 'open' class in an integrated school. Jerusalem: Israel Ministry of Education and Culture, <u>Trends in "Open" Education</u>, 1973, 119-133.
- 112. Klein, Z. and Eshel, Y. Toward a psycho-social definition of school integration. <u>Megamot</u>, 1977, <u>23</u>, 17-40. (Hebrew)
- 113. Klein, Z. and Eshel, Y. <u>Integrating Jerusalem Schools</u>. Jerusalem: Academic Press, 1980.
- 114. Kleinberger, A.F. <u>Society, Schools an Progress in Israel</u>. London: Pergamon Press, 1969.
- 115, Knapp, R.H. and Greenbaum, J.J. <u>The Younger American Scholar</u>. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1953.



- 116. Lahaderne, H.M. and Jackson, P.W. Withdrawal in the classroom: a note on some educational correlates of social desirability among school children. <u>Journal_of Educational Psychology</u>, 1970, 61, 97-101.
- 117. Lamm, Z. Teaching and alienation. Megamot, 1966, <u>14</u>, 163-171.
- 118. Lamm, Z. Social integration and educational policy. <u>Molad</u>, 1974, 25, 589-596.
- 119. Lazarsfeld, P.F. and Menzel, M. On the relation between individual and collective properties, In Amitai Etzioni (Ed.) <u>Complex Organizations</u>. New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston, 1969, 422-440.
- 12D. Levin, M.L. Social climates and political socialization. <u>Public Opinion Quarterly</u>, 1961, <u>25</u>, 596-606.
- 121. Levin, B. and Lawley, W.D. School desegregation: lessons of the first twenty-five years. <u>Law and Contemporary</u> Problems, 1978, 42(3,4), (the whole issue).
- 122. Levin, J. and Chen, M. Sociometric choices in ethnically heterogeneous classes. <u>Megamot</u>, 1977, <u>23</u>, 189-208. (Hebrew)
- 123. Lewy, A. Class composition and school progress. <u>Megamot</u>, 1977, <u>23</u>, 88-100. (Hebrew)
- 124. Lippitt, R. On experimental study of the effect of democratic and authoritarian group atmospheres. University of Iowa: Studies in Child Welfare, 1940, <u>16</u>, 143-195.
- 125. Litwin, C.H. and Stringer, R. The influence of organizational climate of human motivation. Paper presented to the Conference on Organizational Climate, Foundation Research on Human Behavior, Ann Arbor, Michigan, 1966,
- 126. Luee, S. and Hoge, R.D. Relations among teachers' rankings, pupil-teacher interactions and academic achievement. American Educational Research Journal, 1978, <u>15(4)</u>, 484-500.
- 127. McDill, E.L., Meyers, E.D., and Rigsby, L.C. Institutional effects on academic behavior of high school students. Soc. of Education, 1967, <u>40(3)</u>, 181-199.
- 128. McDill, S.M., Stinchcombe, C., and Walker, A. Segregational and educational disadvantage: estimates of the influence of different segregating factors. <u>Sociology of Education</u>, 1968, 41(3), 239-245.
- 129. McDill, L.E. and Rigsby, C.L. <u>Structure and Process in</u> <u>Secondary Schools</u>. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press, 1973.
- 130. McDill, E.L., Meyers E.D., and Rigsby, L.C. Educational climates of high schools: their effects and sources. <u>The American</u> <u>Journal of Sociology</u>, 1969, <u>74</u>, 567-586.



- 131. McKinney, J.D., Mason, J., Parkerson, K., and Clifford, M. Relationship between classroom behavior and academic achievement. <u>Journal of Educational Psychology</u>, 1975, <u>67</u>, 198-203.
- 132. Michael, A.J. High school climates and plans for entering college. Public Opinion Quarterly, 1961, 25, 585-595.
- 133. Miles, B. and Charters, W.W. <u>Learning in Social Settings</u>. Boston: Allyn and Bacon, Inc., 1970.
- 134. Minkovich, A., Davis, D., and Bashi, Y. <u>An evaluation study</u> of educational achievement in Israeli elementary school. Jerusalem: School of Education, The Hebrew University, 1977. (Hebrew)
- 135. Minuchin, P., Biber, B., Shapiro, E., and Zimiles, H. <u>The</u> <u>Psychological Impact of School Experience</u>. New York: Basic Books, Inc., 1969.
- 136. Mitchel, J.V., Jr. Dimensionality and differentness in the environmental press of high schools. <u>American</u> <u>Educational Research Journal</u>, 1968, <u>5</u>, 513-531.
- 137. Moos, R.H. Conceptualization of human environments. <u>Amer</u>. <u>Psychologist</u>, 1973, <u>28(8)</u>, 652-665.
- 138. Moos, R.H. and Trickett, E.J. <u>Classroom Environment Scale</u> Manual. Yale University, 1973.
- 139, Moos, R.H. <u>Evaluating Treatment Environments</u>. New York: John Wiley and Sons, Inc., 1974.
- 149. Moos, R.H. and Trickett, E.J. <u>Classroom Environmental</u> <u>Scale Manual</u>. Palo Alto, Calif.: Consulting Psychological Press, 1974.
- 141. Moos, R.H. and Moos, B.S. Classroom social climate and student absences and grades. <u>J. of Educational Psychol.</u>, 1978, 70(2), 263-269.
- 142. Moos, R.H. A typology of junior high and high school classrooms. <u>American Educational Research Journal</u>, 1978, <u>15(9)</u>, 53-66.
- 143. Moos, R.H. <u>Evaluating Educational Environments</u>. San Francisco: Jossey Bass, 1979.
- 144. Newcomb, M. <u>Student Peer Group Influence</u>. New York: John Wiley and Sons, Inc., 1962.
- 145. Pace, C.R. and Stern, G. An approach to the measurement of psychological characteristics of college environments. <u>Journal of Educational Psychology</u>, 1958, <u>49</u>, 269-277.



- 146. Pace, R.C. The measurement of college environment, in Tagiuri, R. and Litwin, G.H. (Eds.) <u>Organizational Climate.</u> Boston: Harvard University, 1968, 129-147.
- 147. Pascarella, J.E. Student faculty informal contact and college outromes. <u>Review of Educational Research</u>, 1980, <u>50(4)</u>, 545-597.
- 148. Perkins, H.V. Classroom behavior and underachievement. <u>American Education Research</u>, 1965, <u>3</u>, 1-12.
- 149. Porter, L.W. and Lawler, E.E. Properties of organizational structure in relation to job attitudes and job behavior. Psychological Bulletin, 1965, <u>64</u>, 23-51.
- 150. Pugh, D.S., Nickson, D.J., Minings, C.R., and Turner, C. Dimensions of organization structure. <u>Administrative</u> Science Quarterly, 1968, <u>13</u>, 65-105.
- 151. Resh, N., Adler, H., Chen, M., and Inbar, D. Teacher and educational policy in junior high schools. <u>Studies in Education</u>, 1979, 23, 39-52. (Hebrew)
- 152. Resh, N., Adler, C., and Inbar, D. Parents and educational integration. <u>Megamot</u>, 1977, <u>23</u>, 221-229. (Hebrew)
- 153. Resh, N., Adler, H., and Inbar, D. Initiatives and innovations in coping with heterogeneous student population in junior high schools. Jerusalem: Center for Innovation in Education, Hebrew University, 1980. (Hebrew)
- 154. Resh, N., Adler, C., and Inbar, D. Teachers' perception of problems in junior high schools. <u>Studies in Educational</u> Administration, 1982, <u>10</u>, 69-84. (Hebrew)
- 155. Robinson, W.S. Ecological correlation and the behavior of individuals. <u>American Sociological Review</u>, 1950, <u>15</u>, 351-357.
- 156. Rose, P.I. The myth of unanimity: student opinions on critical issues. Sociology of Education, 1963, <u>37</u>, 129-149.
- 157. Resenstein, E. and Gat, E. Teachers' orientation to the educational reform and its implementation. <u>Megamot</u>, 1975, <u>21</u>, 202-218. (Hebrew)
- 138. Resental, R.J. <u>Pygmalion in the Classroom: Teacher Expectation</u> <u>and Pupil's Intellectual Development</u>. Holt, Rinehart and Winston, 1968.
- 159. Runciman, W.G. <u>Relative Deprivation and Social Justice</u>. London: Routledge and Kegan Paul, 1966.



- 160. Ryan, F.R. and Davies, S.J. Social acceptance, academic achievement and attitude among high school students. <u>Journal of</u> Education Research, 1958, <u>52</u>, 101-106.
- 161. Sarason, S.B. <u>The Culture of the School and the Problem of</u> Change. Boston; Allyn and Bacon, Inc., 1971.
- 162. Schmuck, R. Some aspects of classroom social climate. Psychology in the School, 1966, 3, 59-65.
- 163. Schmuck, R. and Runkel, P. <u>Handbook of Organization Development</u> in Schools. Palo Alto, Calif.: National Press Books, 1972.
- 164. Submeider, B. and Bartlett, C.J. Individual differences and organizational climate. <u>Personnel Psychology</u>, 1968, <u>21</u>, 323-334.
- 165. Seashore, S.E. <u>Group Cohesiveness in the Industrial Work</u> <u>Group</u>. Ann Arbor, Michigan: University of Michigan, Survey Research Center, 1954.
- 166. Secord, P.E. and Backman, C.W. <u>Social Psychology</u>. McGraw-Hill Books, Inc., 1964.
- 167. Sergiovanni, J.T. Factors which affect satisfaction and dissatisfaction of teachers. <u>The Journal of Educational</u> <u>Administration</u>, 1967, <u>5</u>, 66-82.
- 168. Staran, S. Cooperative learning in small groups: recent methods and effects on achievement, attitudes and ethnic relations. <u>Review of Educational Research</u>, 1980, <u>50</u>, 241-271.
- 169. Sharp, R. and Green, A. <u>Education and Social Control</u>. London, Boston: Routledge and Kegan Paul, 1975.
- 170. Shayeroft, M. A mational longitudinal study of American youth. Pittsburgh: American Institute for Research, Project Talent, Bulletin 6, 1967.
- 171. Shayaraft, M. Project Talent, the high school years: growth in cognitive skills. American Institute for Research and School of Education, University of Pittsburgh, 1967, Interim Report 3.
- 172. Sheehan, S.P. Classroom climate in integrated language arts classrooms. <u>Journal of Educational Research</u>, 1978, 71(6), 349-254.
- 173. Silbergeld, S., Koenig, G.R., and Manderscheid, R.W. Classroom psycho-social environment. <u>Journal of Education Research</u>, 1975, <u>69</u>, 151-155.
- 174. Slavin, R.E. and Oickle, E. Effects of cooperative learning terms on student achievements and race relations: treatment by rare interaction. <u>Sociology of Education</u>, 1981, <u>54</u>, 174-180.



175. Smilansky, S. and Shephatiah, L. Socio-cultural integration and other classroom variables as related to achievement in grades one and two. <u>Megamot</u>, 1977, <u>23</u>, 79-87. (Hebrew)

÷. •

- 176. Stahl, A., Agmon, T., and Mar-Haim, M. Teacher attitudes toward the culturally disadvantaged. <u>Studies in Education</u>, 1976, <u>11</u>, 45-58. (Hebrew)
- 177. Stanton, C.M. Techniques for assessing the characteristics of campus environments. <u>Irish J. of Educ.</u>, 1971, <u>5(2)</u>, 107-115.
- 178. St. John, H.N. <u>School Desegregation Outcomes for Children.</u> New York: John Wiley and Sons, 1975.
- 179. Stogdill, R.M. <u>Handbook of Leadership</u>. London: Collier Macmillan Publishers, 1974.
- 180. Street, P., Powell, H., and Hamblen, J.W. Achievement of students and size of school. <u>Journal of Educational</u> <u>Research</u>, 1962, 55, 261-265.
- 181. Swan, A.J. and Stapp, B.W. <u>Ervironmental Education</u>. New York: Sage Publications Inc., 1974.
- 182. Talacchi, S. Organizational size, individual attitudes and behavior: an empirical study. <u>Administrative Science</u> <u>Quarterly</u>, 1960, <u>5</u>, 398-420.
- 183. Tannenbaum, A.S. and Backman, J.G. Structural versus individual effects. <u>The American Journal of Sociology</u>, 1964, 69.
- 184. Thistlethwaite, D.L. and Wheeler, N. Effects of teacher and peer subcultures upon student aspirations. <u>Journal of</u> <u>Educational Psychology</u>, 1966, <u>57</u>, 35-47.
- 185. Thistlethwaite, D.L. College press and student achievement. <u>Journal of Educational Psychology</u>, 1959, <u>50</u>, 183-191.
- 186. Thorndike, R.L. <u>The Concept of Over and Under Achievement</u>. Bureau of Publication, Teachers College, Columbia University, 1963.
- 187. Trickett, E.J. and Moos, R.H. Social environment of junior high and high school classrooms. <u>J. of Educ. Psychol</u>., 1973, <u>65(1)</u>, 93-102.
- 188. Trickett, E.J. and Moos, R.H. Personal correlates of contrasting environments: student satisfactions in high school classrooms. <u>Amer. J. of Community Psychol.</u>, 1974, <u>12(1)</u>
- 189. Trickett, E.J. Toward a social ecological conception of adolescent socialization: normative data on contrasting types of public school classrooms. <u>Child Development</u>, 1978, 49, 408-414.

- 190. Trow, M. Student cultures and administrative action, in R.L. Sutherland, W.H. Holtzman, E.A. Kolir, and B.K. Smith (Eds.) <u>Personality Factors on the College</u> <u>Campus</u>, Austin, Texas: University of Texas Press, 1962, 203-226.
- 191. Walberg, H.J. <u>Classroom Climate Questionnaire</u>. Cambridge: Harvard University, 1966.
- 192. walberg, H.J. Teacher personality and classroom climate. Psychology in Schools, 1968, 5, 63-69.
- 193. Walberg, H.J. Structural and affective aspects of classroom climate. <u>Psychology in Schools</u>, 1968, <u>5</u>, 247-253.
- 194. Walberg, H.J. and Anderson, G.J. Classroom climate and individual learning. <u>Journal of Educational Psychology</u>, 1968, <u>59</u>, 413-420.
- 195. Walberg, H.J. and Anderson, G.Y. The achievement creativity dimension and classroom climate. <u>J. of Creative Behavior</u>, 1968, <u>2(4)</u>, 281-291.
- 196. Walberg, H.J. and Anderson, G.Y. Classroom climate and individual learning. <u>J. of Educ. Psychol</u>., 1968b, <u>59(6)</u>, 414-419.
- 197. Walberg, H.J. Social environment as mediator of classroom learning. <u>J. of Educ. Psychol.</u>, 1969, <u>60(6)</u>, 443-448.
- 198. Walberg, H.J. Social environment and individual learning: a test of the Bloom model. <u>J. of Educ. Psychol</u>., 1972, 63(1), 69-73.
- 199. Walberg, H.J. <u>Educational Environment and Effects</u>. U.S.A.: McCuthean Pub., 1974.
- 200. Waller, W. <u>The Sociology of Teaching</u>. New York: John Wiley and Sons, Inc., 1932.
- 201. Walz, G. and Miller, Y. School climates and student behavior: implications for counselor role. <u>The Pers. and Guid.</u>, 1969, 47(9), 859-867.
- 202. Ward, J. An observational study of integration and progress for the immigrant in school. <u>Educational Studies</u>, 1978, <u>4</u>, 91-98.
- 263. Webster, H.M. and Freedman, H.P. Personality changes in college students, in N. Sanford (Ed.) <u>The American College, A</u> <u>Psychological and Social Interpretation of Higher Learning</u>. New York: John Wiley and Sons, Inc., 1962, 811-847.

- 204. Weinstein, S.C. The physical environment of the school. Review of Educational Research, 1979, 49, 577-610.
- 205. Weiyne, G. <u>The Social System of the High School.</u> Glencoe, Illingis: The Free Press, 1957.
- 206. White, R. and Lippit, R. Leader behavior and member reaction in three social climates, in D. Cartwright and A. Zander (Eds.) <u>Group Dynamics</u>. Evanston, Illinois: Row Peterson and Co., 1960, Ch. 28.
- 207. Wilson, B.A. Residential segregation of social classes and aspirations of high school boys. <u>American Sociology</u> <u>Review</u>, 1959, 836-845.
- 208. Worthy, G.C. Organizational structure and employee morale. American Sociological Review, 1950, 15, 169-179.
- 209. Vakil, R. Classroom climate, pupil achievement and attitude. Disser. Abs. Internat., 1971, <u>32(3A)</u>, 1351.
- 210. Veldman, D.J. <u>Fortran Programming for the Behavioral</u> <u>Sciences</u>. New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston, 1967.
- 211. Vreeland, R.S. and Bidwell, C.E. Classifying university departments: an approach to the analysis of their effects upon undergraduates' values and attitudes. Sociology of Education, 1966, 39(3), 237-254.
- 212. Zak, I. The evaluation of school organization climate, In A. Lewy and D. Nevo (Eds.) <u>Evaluation Roles in</u> Education. Gordon and Breach, 1981.



School of Education The Hebrew University of Jerusalem

PUBLICATIONS OF THE INSTITUTE

1. In Hebrew

Adler, Chaim. 1980. "The Disadvantaged - An Ethnic or Social Definition". in: Adiel, Shraga; Shalom, Haim; Arieli, Mordechai (eds.), Fostering Deprived Youth and Residential Education. Tcherikover Publishers Ltd., Tel Aviv. pp. 60-68. Adler, Chaim; Inbar, Dan. 1972. An Evaluation of the Israeli School Reform. 5 p. Adler, Chaim; Peleg, Rachel. 1975. Educational Achievements of Compensatory Education. The NCJW Research Institute for Innovation in Education, School of Education, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem. 157 p. Adler, Chaim. 1982. "MANOF and KEDMA - Intensive Educational Experiments with Disattached Youth". Alim, 50-53. Balgur, Raphael. 1974. "A Basic Word List from Newspapers for the Cultural Disadvantaged." Megamot, 20(3):225-253 (Summary in English). Baumgarten, Dorit. 1982. A Survey of Community Activities in Secondary School. The NCJW Research Institute for Innovation in Education, School of Education, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem. 137 p. Ben-Yishai, Rachel. 1977. Evaluation of Toy Libraries. The NCJW Research Institute for Innovation in Education, School of Education, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem. 232 p. Bilsky-Cohen, Rachel; in collaboration with Melnik, Noah. 1972. The Use of Creative Movement for Promoting the Development of Concept Formation and Intellectual Ability in Young Culturally Disadvantaged Children. The NCJW Research Institute for Innovation in Education, School of Education, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem. 113 p. (2nd Edition 1978). Also published in English (1974). 89 p.

Chen, Michael; Levi, Aryeh; Adler, Chaim. 1978. <u>Process and Outcome in Education: Evaluating the Contribution of the</u> <u>Junior High School to the Educational System</u>. School of Education, Tel-Aviv University, and The NCJW Research Institute for Innovation in Education, School of Education, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem. 201 p.

ERIC Full Ext Provided by ERIC

Cohen, Jane; Klein, Zeev; Inbar, Michael; Eshel, Yohanan; Adler, Chaim. Undated. <u>A Position Paper on Educational Integration Programs</u>. The NCJW Research Institute for Innovation in Education, School of Education, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem. Wagner, Naomi (ed.), 7 p.

145

Druck, Kari; Ben-Yishai, Rachel; Lombard, Avima D. 1981. "Evaluation of Game Libraries". Megamot, 26(3):321-338 (Summary in English). Eiger, Hinda; Kunik, Naomi. 1977. "Teacher Guidance for Rehabilitation of the Thinking Process of the Underprivileged Pupil". Studies in Education, 15:23-38 (Summary in English). Eshel, Yohanan; Klein, Zeev; Brickner, Ariela; Persitz, Rachel. 1972. The Influence of School Integration and the Activity Classroom on Self-Image and Scholastic Achievements in Early Grades of the Elementary School (Nachlaot Project). The NCJW Research Institute for Innovation in Education, School of Education, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem. 30 p. Felsenthal, Ilana; Suchi, Ruth; Adler, Chaim. 1977. An Experiment in Implementing a Service for Young Leaders in a Development Town. The NCJW Research Institute for Innovation in Education, School of Education, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem. 47 p. Goor, Amos. 1976. Description and Evaluation of an Experiment for the Enhancement of Creativity in a Summer Camp. The NCJW Research Institute for Innovation in Education, School of Education, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, and Youth Division, The Ministry of Education and Culture, Israel. 17 p. Goor, Amos; Rimor, Rivka. 1978. The Relationship Between Behavioral Indices and Creativity and Between Creativity Tests and Intelligence Tests in Children at the End of Elementary School. The NCJW Research Institute for Innovation in Education, School of Education, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem. 26 p. Gordon, Daniela. 1973. "Attentiveness and Educational Achievements in Disadvantaged and Middle-Class 8th-Grade Pupils." Chavat Daat, 2:14-22. Gottlieb, Avi. 1983. The Rehabilitation of Marginal Youth in Residential Institutions: MANOF and KEDMA. The NCJW Research Institute for Innovation in Education, School of Education, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem. 119 p. Handelman, Don; Sprinzak, Dalia; Basker, Eileen. 1981. The "Miftan" as a Rehabilitative and Educational Institution. I. Evaluation of The Miftan. The NCJW Research Institute for Innovation in Education, School of Education, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem. 64 p. Inbar, Dan. 1973. Structural Aspects and Trends in the Operation of the Reform in Hebrew Education. The NCJW Research Institute for Innovation in Education, School of Education, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, and School of Education, Tel-Aviv University. 20 p. Also published as an article in: Megamot, 21(3):295-305 (Summary in English). Inbar, Dan; Adler, Chaim; Resh, Nura. 1976. Teachers' Attitudes Towards the School Reform: "Optimism-Pessimism." The NCJW Research Institute for Innovation in Education, School of Education, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, and School of Education, Tel-Aviv University. 20 p. 146RIC Inbar, Dan; Adler, Chaim; Resh, Nura. 🚔 1977. "Ethnic Composition, Integration, Achievement and School Climate."

Megamot, 23(3-4):230-237 (Summary in English)

Kahane, Reuven. 1974. Guidelines for a Sociological Analysis of Informal Youth Organization. The NCJW Research Institute for Innovation in Education, School of Education, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem. 20 p. Also published as an article in: Megamot, 21(1):36-46 (Summary in English). Kahane, Reuven. 1981. "Multi-Code Schools: A Theoretical Framework for the Analysis of Boarding Schools." Alim, 3-5. Kahane, Reuven; Rapoport, Tamar; Zusman, Yaakov. 1975. Guidelines for the Planning of Summer Camps. The NCJW Research Institute for Innovation in Education, School of Education, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, and Youth Division, The Ministry of Education and Culture, Israel. 79 p. Kahane, Reuven; Rapoport, Tamar. 1978. An Analysis of the Summer Camp Program and its Influence on Disadvantaged Youth: A Descriptive Survey Made on the Basis of Participant Observation. The NCJW Research Institute for Innovation in Education, School of Education, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem. 59 p. Kahane, Reuven; Starr, Laura. 1973. Some Dilemmas of the Vocational-Technological Education System in Israel. The Work and Welfare Studies Institute and School of Education, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem. 91 p. Kahane, Reuven; Starr, Laura. 1980. Informal Socialization in Technological Educational Systems. The NCJW Research Institute for Innovation in Education, School of Education, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem. 13 p. Kahane, Reuven; Starr, Laura; Polachek, Avri; Kabachnik, Clive. 1982. A Comparative Analysis of Vocational Education Curricula: Their Potential Capacity to Promote Vocational Roles. The NCJW Research Institute for Innovation in Education, School of Education, The Hebrew University of

Kareev, Yaakov; Silberstein, Paula. 1977. <u>A Report on Interviews with Kindergarten Teachers of Children who had</u> <u>Participated in HIPPY for One Year</u>. The NCJW Research Institute for Innovation in Education, School of Education, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem. 7 p.

Jerusalem. 20 p. + Tables and Appendices.

Kareev, Yaakov; Silberstein, Paula.
<u>A Follow-Up of the Educational Achievements of the HIPPY Program</u>. The NCJW Research Institute for Innovation in Education, School of Education, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem.
1977. Interim Report, No. 1: Basic Characteristics of Elder Siblings. 7 p.
1978. Interim Report, No. 2: Basic Characteristics of the Research Population in Terms of Hebrew and Arithmetic Achievement Tests and a Classroom Behavior Inventory, and of a Sub-Population on a Test of Concept Formation. 20 p.
1978. Interim Report, No. 3: 25 p.
1979. Interim Report, No. 4: 25 p. (Davis, Dan; Consultant).

Klein, Zeev; Eshel, Yohanan. 1973. "The Nachlaot Project": The Activity Classroom in the Integrated School." <u>Megamot Bachinuch Hapealtani</u>, The Ministry of Education and Culture, Israel. pp. 119-133.

Klein, Zeev; Eshel, Yohanan. 1976. <u>School Integration, Academic Self-Image and Achievement of Lower-Class Elementary School Pupils</u>. The NCJW Research Institute for Innovation in Education, School of Education, The Hebrew University, of Jerusalem. 13 p. Also published as an article in: <u>Megamot</u>, 23(3-4):134-158 (1977) (Summary in English).

Klein, Zeev; Eshel, Yohanan. 1977. "Towards a Psycho-Social Definition of School Integration." <u>Megamot</u>, 23(3-4):17-40 (Summary in English).

Klein, Zeev; Eshel, Yohanan. 1980. Integration in Elementary Education: Achievements and Implications (Nachlaot Project). The NCJW Research Institute for Innovation in Education, School of Education, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem. 34 p.

Kleinberger, Aharon F.; Resh, Nura; Inbar, Dan; Adler, Chaim. 1973. <u>The Junior High-School Teachers</u>. The NCJW Research Institute for Innovation in Education, School of Education, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, and School of Education, Tel-Aviv University. 29 p.

Lombard, Avima; Presser, Bina; Druck, Kari. 1979. "Home Instruction Program for Preschool Youngsters." <u>Studies in</u> <u>Education</u>, 23:67-78 (Summary in English).

Mar'i, Sami Khalil. 1974. "School and Society in the Arab Village in Israel." <u>Studies in</u> <u>Education</u>, 4:85-104 (Summary in English).

Minkowich, Abram; Davis, Dan; Bashi, Joseph. 1980. <u>An Evaluation Study of Israeli Elementary Schools</u>. School of Education, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, and The Ministry of Education and Culture, Jerusalem. Magnes Press, The Hebrew University. 425 p. Also Published as a Research Report in English (1977). 464 p.

The NCJW Research Institute for Innovation in Education, School of Education, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem. 1974. <u>Summary of Research and Activity</u>. 47 p. 1980. <u>Newsletter</u>. 19 p. 1981. Report on Research and Activities No. 6 1981-1982. 63 p.



The NCJW Research Institute for Innovation in Education, School of Education, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem.

- 1970. The First Marcus Conference: <u>Perspectives for the Disadvantaged</u>: A Social and Educational Challenge. 36 p.
- 1975. The Second Marcus Conference: <u>New Directions in the Education of</u> Disadvantaged Youth. 44 p.
- 1981. The Fifth Marcus Conference: Compensatory Education in the 80's: A Look to the Past and at New Developments. Druck, Kari (ed.), 25 p.

Nissan, Mordechai.

1973. The Center for Pre-Academic Studies for Soldiers of Disadvantaged Background: Description, Evaluation and Attempted Analysis. The NCJW Research Institute for Innovation in Education, School of Education, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem. 186 p.

Ortar, Gina.

1974. "Components of Mothers' Language Contributing to Children's I.Q." Megamot, 21(1):5-12 (Summary in English).

Ortar, Gina. 1975. "An Experiment to Prevent Cultural Retardation in Children by Improving Their Mothers' Speech." <u>Megamot</u>, 21(3):269-276 (Summary in English).

Persitz, Rachel. 1977. <u>Development of Self-Image Among Middle-Class and Lower-Class</u> Elementary School Pupils. M.A. Dissertation submitted to The Hebrew University of Jerusalem. 113 p. (Summary in English).

Rapoport, Tamar. 1972. Components of Satisfaction and Assessment in Summer Camps. The NCJW Research Institute for Innovation in Education, School of Education, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem. 45 p.

Rapoport, Tamar. 1980. The Structure of Informal Frameworks and Their Impact on Youth: Case Study of Summer Camps. Ph.D. Dissertation submitted to The Hebrew University of Jerusalem. 480 p. (Summary in English).

Rapoport, Tamar; Appelbaum, M.; Kahane, Reuven. 1975. Participation in an Informal Educational Structure (the Summer Camp) and its Impact on the Development of Resources: Analysis of Participants' Essays. The NCJW Research Institute for Innovation in Education, School of Education, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem. 35 p.



- 6 -

Rapoport, Tamar; Kahane, Reuven. 1977. The Perception of Informal Organizations: Case Study of Summer Camps. The Ministry of Education and Culture, Jerusalem, and the NCJW Research Institute for Innovation in Education, School of Education, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem. 39 p.

Rapoport, Tamar; Kahane, Reuven. 1978. <u>The Mutual Relations Between Structural Dimensions of Informal</u> <u>Educational Organizations</u>. The NCJ. Research Institute for Innovation in Education, School of Education, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem. 21 p.

Rapoport, Tamar; Peled, Yigal; Sheinberg, Andre; Kahane, Reuven. 1977. The Measurement of Structural Dimensions of Informal Youth Organizations: Criteria for Participant Observation in Summer Camps. The NCJW Research Institute for Innovation in Education, School of Education, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem. 19 p.

Rapoport, Tamar; Soffer, Laura; Kahane, Reuven. 1978. <u>Role Perception in Informal Organizations</u>. The NCJW Research Institute for Innovation in Education, School of Education, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem. 39 p.

Rapoport Tamar; Zadok, Yael. 1972. <u>Preliminary Report on "Oded" Summer Camp, 1972</u>. The NCJW Research Institute for Innovation in Education, School of Education, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem. 38 p.

Resh, Nura; Adler, Chaim; Chen, Michael; Inbar, Dan. 1976. <u>Teachers and Junior High-School Policy</u>. The NCJW Research Institute for Innovation in Education, School of Education, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, and School of Education, Tel-Aviv University. 14 p.

Resh, Nura; Inbar, Dan; Adler, Chaim. 1977. "Teachers' Attitudes Toward Educational Aims and Social Integration in the Junior High-School." <u>Megamot</u>, 23(3-4):221-229 (Summary in English).

Resh, Nura; Adler, Chaim; Chen, Michael; Inbar, Dan. 1979. "Teachers and Junior High-School Policy." <u>Studies in Education</u>, 23:39-52 (Summary in English).

Resh, Nura; Adler, Chaim; Inbar, Dan. 1980. Educational Initiatives and Innovations in Junior High-Schools Coping with Heterogeneous Student Populations. The NCJW Research Institute for Innovation in Education, School of Education, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem. 151 p.



Resh, Nura; Adler, Chaim.

1981. The Development and Activity of a New Junior High-School: A Follow-Up and Scientific Consultation in the Sieff Junior High-School. The NCJW Research Institute for Innovation in Education, School of Education, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem. 15 p.

Resh, Nura; Adler, Chaim; Inbar, Dan. 1982. "Teachers' Perception of Problems in Junior High Schools". Studies in Educational Administration, 10:69-84.

Shamgar-Handelman, Lea; Belkin, Ruth. 1977. <u>Patterns of Space Allocation in Dwelling Units in Jerusalem</u> <u>Neighborhoods</u>. The NCJW Research Institute for Innovation in Education, School of Education, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem. 40 p.

Shamgar-Handelman, Lea; Belkin, Ruth.

1978. <u>Family Functions and Children's Achievement in School</u>. The NCJW Research Institute for Innovation in Education, School of Education, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem. 42 p. Also published in English (1979). 64 p.

Sharan, Shlomo. 1971. The Development of Sorting Ability of Preschool Disadvantaged Children. The Ministry of Education and Culture, Jerusalem, 83 p.

Silberstein, Paula.

1978. The Effect on Tutors of Participating in HIPPY in terms of Variables Related to Childrearing. The NCJW Research Institute for Innovation in Education, School of Education, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem. 97 p. (M.A. Dissertation submitted to The Hebrew University of Jerusalem). (Summary in English).

Silberstein, Paula; Kareev, Yaakov; Davis, Dan. 1982. <u>An Evaluation of the Educational Impact of HIPPY (Home Instruction</u> <u>Program for Preschool Youngsters</u>). The NCJW Research Institute for Innovation in Education, School of Education, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem. 19 p.

Smilansky, Jonathan; Baumgarten, Dorit. 1981. <u>Community Schools - a Description and E-luation</u>. The NCJH Research Institute for Innovation in Education, School of Education, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, 152 p.

Sprinzak, Dalia. 1976. <u>Evaluative Research of the Tutorial Program</u>. The NCJW Research Institute for Innovation in Education, School of Education, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem. 153 p.

Starr, Laura; Kahane, Reuven. 1977. <u>Socialization and Formation of Occupational Status:</u> The Influence of Technological Education in Israel on its Pupils' Expectations. The NCJW Research Institute for Innovation in Education, School of Education, and the Eshkol Institute, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem. 35 p.



- 7 -

Tamir, Pinchas. undated. Teaching Science to First-Grade Pupils in Israel by the Audia-The NCJW Research Institute for Innovation in Education, Tutorial Method. School of Education, and The Amos De-Shalit Science Teaching Centre, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem. 13 p. Also published as an article in English in Science Education, 59(1):39-49 (1975). 2. In English Adler, Chaim. undated. Deprivation and Disadvantage in Israel. The Hebrew University of Jerusalem. 169 p. Adler, Chaim. "Education and the Integration of Immigrants in Israel". The 1969. International Migration Review, III: 3-19. Adler, Chaim. 1974. "Social Stratification and Education in Israel". Comparative Education Review, 18:10-23. Adler, Chaim. 1976. "Educational Fostering and Social Integration: A Sociologist's View". In: Frankenstein, C., (ed.) Teaching as a Social Challenge. School of Education, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem. pp. 95-100. Adler, Chaim. 1979. "Education of the Disadvantaged in Israel, Assessment and Future Challenges". In: The United States-Israel Educational Policy Colloquium Papers. Jerusalem. 7p. + tables. Adler, Chaim. 1980. "The Evaluation of the Israeli School Reform". In: Goldstein, S., (ed.), Law and Equality in Education. The Van Leer Jerusalem Foundation, Jerusalem. pp. 53-59. Adler, Chaim; Ichilov, Orit; Kahane, Reuven; Lotan, Michael. 1972. An Action Statement. Center for Research in the Education of the Disadvantaged, School of Education, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem. 60 p. Adler, Chaim; Kahane, Reuven; Avgar, Amy. 1974. A Master Plan for Research in the Education of the Disadvantaged in Israel. The NCJW Research Institute for Innovation in Education, School of Education, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem. 187 p.



Adler, Chaim; Kahane, Reuven; Avgar, Amy.⁽¹⁾ 1975. The Education of the Disadvantaged in Israel: Comparisons, Analysis and Proposed Research. The NCJW Research Institute for Innovation in Education, School of Education, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem. 209 p. Adler, Chaim; Ohayon, Yaacov; Fr Ind, David. (1a) 1981. "MANOF and KEDMA: Intensive Educational Experiences for Marginal Youth". In: Residential Education in Israel, Report of the Israeli-

American Seminar on Out of School Education. Ministry of Education and Culture, Jerusalem. pp. 143-152.

Bilski-Cohen, Rachel; in collaboration with Melnik, Noah.⁽²⁾ 1974. The Use of Creative Movement for Promoting the Development of Concept Formation and Intellectual Ability in Young Culturally Disadvantaged Children. The NCJW Research Institute for Innovation in Education, School of Education, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem. 89 p. Also published in Hebrew (1972, 1978). 113 p.

Dar, Yehezkel; in collaboration with Resh, Nura. 1981. Homogeneity and Heter; geneity in Education. The NCJW Research Institute for Innovation in Education, School of Education, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem. 194 p.

Davis, Dan; Kugelmass, Judith.⁽³⁾ 1974. Home Environment: The Impact of the Home Instruction Program for Preschool Youngsters (HIPPY) on the Mother's Role as Educator. The NCJW Research Institute for Innovation in Education, School of Education, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem. 41 p.

Davis, Dan; Cahan, Sorel; Bashi, Joseph. 1977. "Birth Order and Intellectual Development - The Confluence Model in the light of Cross-Cultural Evidence". Science, 196:1470-1472.

Eshel, Yohanan; Klein, Zeev.

1977. Development of Academic Self Concept of Lower-Class and Middle-Class Primary School Children. The NCJW Research Institute for Innovation in Education, School of Education, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem. 21 p.

Goldberg, Harvey. 1976. Evaluation Research on a Program for Disadvantaged Youth in an Israeli Development Town. The NCJW Research Institute for Innovation in Education, School of Education, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem. 32 p.

Goldberg, liarvey. 1979. "A Program for Disadvantaged Youth in an Israeli Development Town: An Evaluation". Anthropology and Education Quarterly, X:21-42.

1. ERIC ED 164 629 la. ERIC ED 215 049 2. ERIC ED 164 681 3. ERIC ED 164 680



Goor, Amos. 1977. The Concrete Attitude and the Abstract Attitude in Creative Thinking. Ren Gurion University of the Negev, Beersheba. 17 p. Goor, Amos. Time and Tension in the Incubation Phase of the Creative Process. 1978. The NCJW Research Institute for Innovation in Education, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem. 17 p. Goor, Amos; Rapoport, Tamar. 1977. Enhancing Creativity in an Experimental Summer Camp: A Context-Content Analysis of Creativity in an Informal Education Framework. Youth Division of the Ministry of Education and Culture, Jerusalem, and The NCJW Research Institute for Innovation in Education, School of Education, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem. 20 p. Goor, Amos; Rapoport, Tamar. "Enhancing Creativity in an Informal Educational Framework". Journal 1977. of Educational Psychology, 69:636-643. Goor, Amos; Rimor, Rivka. 1978. Indices of Creative Behavior in Preadolescent Children. The NCJW Research Institute for Innovation in Education, School of Education, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem. 21 p. + tables. Green, Henry A.; Cohen, Jane.⁽⁴⁾ Research in Action. The NCJW Research Institute for Innovation in 1979. Education, School of Education, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem. The Hamakor Press, Jerusalem. 131 p. Handelman, Don; Basker, Eileen. The Referral Process, 1976-1977: Miftan Ramle, Miftan Jerusalem. 1977. The NCJW Research Institute for Innovation in Education, School of Education, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem. 37 p. Handelman, Don; Sprinzak, Dalia; Basker, Eileen. 1981. The "Miftan" as a Rehabilitative and Educational Institution. 11. The Organization of Education and Social Relations in Three Vocational Schools (Miftanim) for Elementary School Dropouts. The NCJW Research Institute for Innovation in Education, School of Education, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem. 357 p. Inbar, Dan. 1981. "The Paradox of Feasible Planning: The Case of Israel". Comparative Education Review, 25:13-27.

Inbar, Dan; Resh, Nura. 1983. <u>Learning of the Disadvantaged and School Climate</u>. The NCJW Research Institute for Innovation in Education, School of Education, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem. 125 p.

154
Inhar, Michael. 1976. The Vulnerable Age Phenomene . New York, Russel Sage Foundation Basic Books. 54 p. Inbar, Michael. 1981. Some Effects of Stress During Grade School Years. The NCJW Research Institute for Innovation in Education, School of Education, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem. 56 p. Tobar, Michael. Images or Aberrations? Human Judgment and Insight as Reflected in 1982. Current Regression Analyses. The NCJW Research Institute for Innovation in Education, School of Education, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem. 71 p. Inbar, Michael; Adler, Chaim. 1976. "The Vulnerable Age: A Serendipitous Finding". Sociology of Education, 49:193-200. Inbar, Michael; Adler, Chaim. 1977. Ethnic Integration in Israel: A Comparative Case Study of Moroccan Brothers who Settled in France and Israel. Transaction Books, New Brunswick, N.J., 144 p. Kahane, Reuven. 1974. Structures and Uses of Informal Youth Educational Organizations: An Analytical Framework. Department of Sociology and School of Education, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem. 36 p. Kahane, Reuven. 1975. "Informal Youth Organizations: A General Model". Sociological Inquiry, 45(4):17-28. Kahane, Reuven. (4a) 1981. "Multi-Code Schools: A Theoretical Framework for the Analysis of Boarding Schools". In: Residential Education in Israel, Report of the Israeli-American Seminar on Out of School Education. Ministry of Education and Culture, Jerusalem. pp. 111-116. Kahane, Reuven; Rapoport, Tamar; Soffer, Laura. 1979. The Impact of Informal Educational Frameworks on Disadvantaged Youth: The Case of Summer Camps. The NCJW Research Institute for Innovation in Education, School of Education, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem. 50 p. Kahane, Reuven; Rapoport, Tamar. 1981. The Impact of Informal Educational Frameworks on Disadvantaged Youth. The NCJW Research Institute for Innovation in Education, School of Education, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem. 15 p.



Kahane, Reuven; Starr, Laura. 1974. Education under Cross Pressures: The Case of Vocational-Technological Education in Israel. The Hebrew University of Jerusalem. 33 p. Kahane, Reuven; Starr, Laura. 1975. Toward Prediction of Role Commitment: The Case of the Technical Teacher. The Hebrew University of Jerusalem. 32 p. Kahane, Reuven; Starr, Laura. 1976. "The Impact of Rapid Social Change on Technological Education: An Israeli Example". Comparative Education Review, 20(2):165-178. Kahane, Reuven; Starr, Laura. 1976. School Attractiveness, Pupil Image and Expectations of Program Completion: The Case of the Technoloigcal Educational System in Israel. The NCJW Research Institute for Innovation in Education, School of Education, and the Department of Sociology, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem. 20 p. Kareev, Yaakov; Davis, Dan; Silberstein, Paula. 1982. An Evaluation of the Educational Impact of HIPPY (Home Instruction Program for Preschool Youngsters). The NCJW Research Institute for Innovation in Education, School of Education, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem. 23 p. Klein, Zeev; Eshel, Yochanan. A Study of an Integration and Special Intervention Project in 1971. Elementary Schools. The Hebrew University of Jerusalem. 24 p. Zeev; Eshel, Yochanan.⁽⁵⁾ Klein. A Further Investigation of the Effects of Integration and Special 1976. Educational Intervention in the Early Primary Grades. The NCJW Research Institute for Innovation in Education, School of Education, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem. 19 p. Klein, Zeev; Eshel, Yochanan. 1977. The Effects of Integration and Special Educational Intervention in the Early Primary Grades. The NCJW Research Institute for Innovation in Education, School of Education, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem. 12 p. + tables. Klein, Zeev; Eshel, Yohanan. 1977. The Open Classroom in Cross-Cultural Perspective. The NCJW Research Institute for Innovation in Education, School of Education, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem. 16 p. Also published as an article in Sociology of Education, 53:114-121 (1980). Klein, Zeev; Eshel, Yohanan. 1980. Integrating Jerusalem Schools. Academic Press, Inc. 175 p. Klein, Zeev; Eshel, Yohanan. "The Nachlaot Project: An Israeli Experiment in School Integration". 1980. In: Goldstein, S., (ed.), Law and Equality in Education. The Van Leer Jerusalem Foundation, Jerusalem. pp. 69-83.

ERIC Pull Text Provided by ERIC

156

٤

Lombard, Avima, D.⁽⁶⁾ 1973. Home Instruction Program for Preschool Youngsters (HIPPY). The NCJW Research Institute for Innovation in Education, School of Education, The Hebrew University of Jerusale... 130 p. Lombard, Avima; Kugelmass, Judith. 1976. Home Activities for Toddlers and their Families (HATAF). The NCJW Research Institute for Innovation in Education. The Hebrew University of Jerusalem. 47 p. Lombard, Avima. HIPPY: Home Instruction Program for Preschool Youngsters. The 1981. NCJW Research Institute for Innovation in Education, School of Education, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem. 7 p. (to be published as a chapter In: Nir-Janiv, N.; Spodek, B. & Steg, D., (eds.), Early Childhood Education: International Perspectives. Plenum Press, 1981. Lombard, Avima.⁽⁷⁾ Success Begins at Home: Educational Foundations for Preschoolers. 1981. Lexington Books, D. C. Heath and Company. 176 p. Minkowich, Abram; Davis, Dan; Bashi, Joseph. 1977. An Evaluation Study of Israeli Elementary Schools. School of Education, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem. 464 p. Also published as a book in Hebrew (1980). 425 p. Minkowich, Abram; Klein, Zeev; Last, Uriel. (8) 1977. Two Types of Cognitive Functioning in Primary and Secondary Retardation. The NCJW Research Institute for Innovation in Education, School of Education, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem. 13 p. The NCJW Research Institute for Innovation in Education, School of Education, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem. Annual Report No. 1 , see the first Marcus Conference in the Hebrew list. 1970. Annual Report No. 2, 36 p. 1971. 1971-1972 No. 3, 27 p. 1972. Annual Report Bi-annual Report 1973-1974, No. 4, 58 p. 1974. Bi-annual Report 1975-1976, No. 5 , 53 p. 1976. Report on Research and Activities No. 6 1981-1982. 83 p. 1982. Ortar, Gina. 1969. An Analysis of Mother's Speech as a Factor in the Development of Children's Intelligence. The Hebrew University of Jerusalem. 111 p. Peleg, Rachel; Adler, Chaim. 1977. "Compensatory Education in Israel: Conceptions, Attitudes and Trends". American Psychologist, 32(11):945-958. 6. ERIC ED 164 679 7. ERIC ED 210 119 157



8.

ERIC ED 164 682

Silberstein, Paula; Kareev, Yaakov; Davis, Dan. 1982. <u>An Evaluation of the Educational Impact of (HIPPY) (Home Instruction</u> <u>Program for Preschool Youngsters</u>). The NCJW Research Institute for Innovation in Education, School of Education, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem. 23 p.

Shamgar-Handelman, Lea; Belkin, Ruth.⁽⁹⁾ 1979. <u>Family Functioning and Children's Achievements in School</u>. The NCJW Research Institute for Innovation in Education, School of Education, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem. 64 p. Also published in Hebrew (1978), 42 p.

Starr, Laura; Kahane, Reuven. 1976. <u>Technoloigcal Education and Vocational Identity: A Case Study</u>. The NCJW Research Institute for Innovation in Education, School of Education, and Department of Sociology, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, 25 p.

Tannenbaum, Abraham; Lombard, Avima.

1969. Early Intellective Stimulation Project. The NCJW Research Institute for Innovation in Education, School of Education, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem. 11 p.

